	NAME	PRACTICE	CITY	SPECIALTY
1	Andrew O'Connor, DO	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Monroe	Nephrologist
2	Anjali Singla, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
3	Benjamin Hippen, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
4	Charles Stoddard III, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Concord	Nephrologist
5	Chris Fotiadis, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
6	Christopher Buehrig, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
7	Daniel Tierney, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
8	Donald Berling, M.D.	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
9	Ernest F. Johnson, III, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Salisbury	Nephrologist
10	George Hart, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
11	Gregory Merten, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
12	Jeffery Nielsen, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Morrisville	Nephrologist
13	Joel Bruce, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
14	John Dashiell, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
15	John S. Gerig, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Concord	Nephrologist
16	Jonathan Planer, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Gastonia	Nephrologist
17	Kimberly Yates, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Huntersville	Nephrologist
18	Matthew Elliott, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist

Letters in Support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for Demonstration Project - Vascular Access Ambulatory Surgery Centers for ESRD Patients

	NAME	PRACTICE	CITY	SPECIALTY
19	Maurice Gene Radford, Jr., MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Gastonia	Nephrologist
20	Mehul Patel, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Concord	Nephrologist
21	Michael Etomi, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
22	Nancy Gritter, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
23	Nathan Woolwine, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Salisbury	Nephrologist
24	Paul Blake, DO	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
25	Paul Cheifetz, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Gastonia	Nephrologist
26	Peale Chuang, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
27	Steve Haigler, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Monroe	Nephrologist
28	Suzanne Katsanos, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Gastonia	Nephrologist
29	Thomas R. Smarz, Jr., MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
30	Todd Griffith, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
31	Verachai Lohavichan, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
32	Vivek Sanghani, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
33	Douglas Nigbor, MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Gastonia	Nephrologist
34	Edward Carl Fisher, Jr., MD	Metrolina Nephrology Associates	Charlotte	Nephrologist
35	Kristel J. McLawhorn, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
36	M. Carney Taylor, Jr., MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
37	Manuel Montero, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	New Bern	Nephrologist

	NAME	PRACTICE	CITY	SPECIALTY
38	Maxwell E. Fisher, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
39	Graham V. Byrum, Jr, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
40	J. Clint Parker, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Interventional Nephrologist
41	Nathan Saucier, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	New Bern	Interventional Nephrologist
42	Nauman Shahid, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
43	Nawaf G. Atassi, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Kinston	Nephrologist
44	Ram Sapsetty, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Kinston	Nephrologist
45	Rekha John, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Kinston	Nephrologist
46	Richard D. Blair, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	New Bern	Interventional Nephrologist
47	Scott A. Kendrick, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
48	Stuart Jennings, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	New Bern	Nephrologist
49	Thomas E. Burkart, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	New Bern	Nephrologist
50	Vernon Chiu, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Kinston	Nephrologist
51	Walter J. Newman, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	New Bern/Mooreh ead City Area	Nephrologist
52	William T. Kendrick, MD	Eastern Nephrology Associates	Greenville	Nephrologist
53	Ajay Shreenath, MD	Carolina Nephrology, P.A.		Nephrologist
54	K. V. George Thomas, MD	Southeastern Nephrology Associates	Jacksonville	Nephrologist
55	Richard D. Blair, MD	Carolina Kidney Care, PA	Fayetteville	Nephrologist

	NAME	PRACTICE	CITY	SPECIALTY
56	Karn Gupta, MD	North Carolina Nephrology	Raleigh	Interventional Nephrologist
57	Milagros Cailing, MD	Coastal Nephrology, P.O.	Jacksonville	Nephrologist
58	Nirav M. Jasani, MD	Will Bynum MD PA	Wilson	Nephrologist
59	Tariq Abo-Kamil, MD	DLP Maria Parham Physician Practices LLC	Henderson	Nephrologist
60	Byron C. Abels, MD	Regional Vascular Associates	Cary	Vascular Surgeon
61	Anwar D. Al-Haidary, MD	Wilson Nephrology – Internal Medicine, PA	Wilson	Nephrologist





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Monroe, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

-l. Olon

Andrew O'Connor, DO





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Dingle

Anjali Singla, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Esic the min

Benjamin Hippen, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Concord, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Charles Stoddard III, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Chris Fotiadis, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

5 But

Christopher Buehrig, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Daniel Tierney, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Nei

Donald Berling, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Salisbury, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

(M M)

Ernest F. Johnson, III, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

en M. Hant mo

George Hart, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Gregory Merten, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Mooresville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Jeffery W Nielem MD

Jeffery Nielsen, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Joel Bruce, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

is Lie

John Dashiell, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Concord, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Le S Herzy m

John S. Gerig, MD August 8, 2017





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Gastonia, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

wether ATRon Mb

Jonathan Planer, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Huntersville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Kimberly Yates, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Matthe Alut

Matthew Elliott, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Gastonia, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

M. Am Vh

Maurice Gene Radford, Jr., MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Concord, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Mehul Patel, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Michael Etomi, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

May Afertons

Nancy Gritter, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Salisbury, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Nathan Woolwine, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

1 Bleff.

Paul Blake, DO





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Gastonia, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Paul Cheifetz, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Peale Chuang, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Monroe, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

1 June Car

Steve Haigler, MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Gastonia, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Symme L. Katsanos

Suzanne Katsanos, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Thomas R Sings

Thomas R. Smarz, Jr., MD





Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access services to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Todd Griffith, MD August 8, 2017





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Vili Jolu

Verachai Lohavichan, MD





Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Vivek Sanghani, MD




August 8, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Gastonia, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

aufo ADil, MD

Douglas Nigbor, MD





August 8, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Charlotte, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

edul an fry mo

Edward Carl Fisher, Jr., MD

August 8, 2017



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Cortificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Sorvice Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional caro needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, casicr scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Kristel J. McLawhorn, MD

Greenville Office Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Stacl Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany-M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone - (252) 752-8880 Fax - (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucler, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office Nawaf G, Atassl, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetly, M. D. Vernon Chlu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP

608-A Airport Road Kinston, N. C. 28504 Phone – (252) 523-8513 Fax – (252) 317-2096

Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BO

New Bern Office

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D.

M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D.

William T. Kendrick, M. D.

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

based to ren

Sincerely,

M. Carney Taylor, Jr., MD





August 1, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in New Bern. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely.

Manuel Montero, MD MM/dwc

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Nauman Shahid, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany Lee, FNP

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

MZih

Maxwell E. Fisher, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP

July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am an Interventional Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

J. Clint Parker, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am an Interventional Nephrologist with a practice in New Bern, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—.ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely

Nathan Saucier, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Nauman Shahid, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Kinston, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—.ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

annafat m Nawaf G. Atassi, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Kinston, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—.ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely, Ram Sapasetty, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Kinston, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—.ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Rekha John, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP

July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am an Interventional Nephrologist with a practice in New Bern, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Pietron Blain

Richard D. Blair, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Ano A Kenduite

Scott A. Kendrick, MD

Greenville Office Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D.

M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone -- (252) 633-9262 Fax -- (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



August 1, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in New Bern. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely, un Stuart Jephings, MD

Stuart Jennings, M SJ/dwc/

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Nauman Shahid, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany Lee, FNP

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



Eastern Nephrology Associates

August 1, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in New Bern. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely

Thomas E. Burkart, MD TEB/dwc

M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Nauman Shahid, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD

Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D.

Greenville Office

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany Lee, FNP

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Kinston, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—.ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely.

Vernon Chiu, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D.

Brenda L. Poole, FNP





August 1, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in the New Bern/Morehead City area. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely Walter J Newman, MI WJN/dwc

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Nauman Shahid, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany Lee, FNP

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP



July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Greenville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with co-morbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

WIN T. Kerdrich

William T. Kendrick, MD

Greenville Office

Graham V. Byrum, Jr., M. D. M. Carney Taylor, Jr., M. D. William T. Kendrick, M. D. Maxwell E. Fisher, M. D. J. Clinton Parker, M.D. Scott A. Kendrick, M.D. Kristel J. McLawhorn, M.D. Heather H. Jones, M.D. Gilda E. Everett, FNP-C Staci Streeter-Moye, ANP-BC Neeraja Rao, PA-C, PhD Sharlyn K. Williams, MSN, ANP-BC Greg Cannon, ACNP Tiffany M. Lee, FNP-BC

511 Paladin Drive Greenville, N. C. 27834 Phone – (252) 752-8880 Fax – (252) 317-2092

New Bern Office

W. Joseph Newman, M. D. Manuel Montero, M. D. Thomas E. Burkart, M. D. Richard D. Blair, M. D. Nathan Saucier, M. D. Stuart Jennings, M. D. Candace C. Wishon, FNP, CDE Suzi Holton, NP

970 Newman Road New Bern, N. C. 28562 Phone – (252) 633-9262 Fax – (252) 317-2094

Kinston Office

Nawaf G. Atassi, M. D. Rekha John, M. D. Ram Sapasetty, M. D. Vernon Chiu, M.D. Brenda L. Poole, FNP

Carolina Nephrology, P.A.

Toll Free for All Offices 877-293-1973



R. David Kemp, M.D. Ajay I. Shreenath, M.D. Marisa M. Montgomery, FND

1704 Λ Wayne Memorial Dr. Coldsboro, NC 27534 (919) 580-1026 Fax # 580-1027

> 516 Beamon &t. Clinton, NC 28328 (877) 293-1973

201 N. Breazeale Ave. Mt. Olive, NC 28365 (877) 293-1973

110 Eastwood Dr. Wallace, NC 28466 (877) 293-1973 August 07, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Goldsboro, N.C. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, (Triangle Vascular Associates), Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists, vascular surgeons and vascular interventional radiologists who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce

Aug. 7.2017 3:12PM

Carolina Nephrology

HPCON - redeived & 8/10/2017

Carolina Nephrology, P.A. Toll Free for All Offices 877-293-1973

Page 2



R. David Kemp, M.D. Ajay I. Shreenath, M.D. Marisa M. Montgomery, FND

1704 A Wayne Memorial Dr. Goldsboro, NC 27534 (919) 580-1026 Fax # 580-1027

> 516 Beamon &t., Clinton, NC 28328 (877) 293-1973

201 N. Breazeale Ave. Mt. Olive, NC 28365 (877) 293-1973

110 Eastwood Dr. Wallace, NC 28466 (877) 293-1973 better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Ajay Shreenath, M.D. 1704 A Wayne Memorial Dr. Goldsboro, N.C. 27534 (919) 580-1026 August 1, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Jacksonville. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely

K. V. George Thomas, MD KVGT/dwc

August 9, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Fayetteville NC and surrounding 5 counties. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, (Triangle Vascular Associates), Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists, vascular surgeons and vascular interventional radiologists who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Emily X Sun, MD Carolina Kidney Care, PA 557 Sandhurst Dr. Fayetteville, NC 28314

HPCON - received - 8/10/2017

Date: July 31, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project.

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am an interventional nephrologist with a practice in Raleigh, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely, Karn Gupta, MD North Carolina Nephrology Raleigh, NC

Coastal Nephrology, P.A. Milagros Cailing, MD 123 Pompano Pl, Ste 300, Jacksonville, NC 28546 P: 910-238-2181; F: 910-238-2185

August 7, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Jacksonville, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists and vascular surgeons who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely, hitap: er Milagros Cailing, MD-

August 9, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich,

I am a Nephrologist with a practice in Wilson NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, (Triangle Vascular Associates), Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists, vascular surgeons and vascular interventional radiologists who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital

setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

onour

Nirav M. Jasani, M.D. Will Bynum MD PA 2402 Camden St, 700 Wilson NC 27893

08/04/2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Henderson, North Carolina. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, (Triangle Vascular Associates), Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists, vascular surgeons and vascular interventional radiologists who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

15 Sincerely,

Tariq Abo-Kamil, MD

568 Ruin Creek Road,

Henderson, NC 27536

August 7, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a vascular surgeon with a practice in Cary, NC. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, (Triangle Vascular Associates), Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists, vascular surgeons and vascular interventional radiologists who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely,

Byron C. lwich, Jr. mp.

Byron C. Abels

2501 Weston Parkway, Suite 201

Caty, NC 27513

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP - Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

WILSON NEPHROLOGY - INTERNAL MEDICINE, PA

2503 Wooten Boulevard Wilson, North Carolina 27893 Telephone 252-243-2268 • Fax 252-243-2917

Anwar D. Haidary, M.D., M.Sc., M.R.C.P. American Board Certified in Nephrology

Lorie T. Collier, ANP

Mailing Address P.O. Box 3127 Wilson, NC 27895

August 4, 2017

Christopher Ulrich, MD Chair, State Health Coordinating Council Healthcare Planning and Certificate of Need Section North Carolina Division of Health Service Regulation 2704 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Letter of Support for Petition for Adjusted Need Determination for the 2018 SMFP -Vascular access ASC Demonstration Project

Dear Dr. Ulrich:

I am a nephrologist with a practice in Wilson. I appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter in support of the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination submitted by American Access Care of NC, PLLC, (Triangle Vascular Associates), Eastern Nephrology Associates, PLLC, Metrolina Nephrology Associates, PA, North Carolina Nephrology, PA, and Fresenius Vascular Care, Inc. d/b/a Azura Vascular Care, requesting the addition of a vascular access ASC demonstration project to the 2018 State Medical Facility Plan ("SMFP").

As a physician who treats patients with End Stage Renal Disease ("ESRD"), I am aware of the important role vascular access centers play in providing ESRD patients with much-needed care. Without a dialysis access (fistula, graft or catheter)—and the care needed to keep the access site viable—ESRD patients cannot receive the life-sustaining hemodialysis on which they depend. Unfortunately, recent Medicare reimbursement cuts have put physician office-based vascular centers at risk, as it is no longer financially feasible for many of these locations to remain in operation. Permitting existing vascular access centers to apply for a certificate of need to operate a single-specialty ASC that provides vascular access services to ESRD patients would ensure the continued availability of necessary services for ESRD patients.

Additionally, the creation of vascular access ASCs will permit ESRD patients to undergo the vascular access creation procedure, as well as any additional care needed to maintain the viability of the access site, in the outpatient setting. A specialized vascular access ASC setting has a number of benefits, including lower cost structures, easier scheduling for urgent cases, oversight of patient care by nephrologists, vascular surgeons and vascular interventional radiologists who focus on ESRD patient care, and less risk of hospital-acquired infections, which collectively produce better outcomes at less cost than providing the same care in a hospital

0003/0003

setting. While there will always be ESRD patients with comorbidities that require hospital care, treating the majority of these patients in an ASC would be a better, more effective use of health care resources.

I urge the State Health Coordinating Council to approve the Petition for Adjusted Need Determination.

Sincerely; Anwar D. Al-Haidary, MD