March 21, 2014

Mr. Jerry Parks, Chairman
North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council
c/o Division of Health Service Regulation
Medical Facilities Planning Branch
2714 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-2714

Re: Comments Opposing Petition Filed by Rex Healthcare to Change the Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology

Dear Mr. Parks and Members of the State Health Coordinating Council:

WakeMed appreciates the opportunity to comment on the petition filed by Rex Healthcare to change the Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology for the 2015 State Medical Facilities Plan (SMFP). For the reasons outlined below, WakeMed believes this petition should be denied.

Rex seeks to impose significant changes to the Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology, particularly in Steps 5 and 6, and adds a section for “Qualified Applicants” that would exclude any facility from applying from a need determination in its service area that does not perform at least 1,200 diagnostic-equivalent procedures per unit of equipment.

This request is at best premature, and at worst may never be needed. Cardiac catheterization volume trends are declining, and this petition would unnecessarily modify the methodology.

Cardiac Catheterization Volumes Declining

Based on information provided in annual License Renewal Applications, the number of cardiac catheterization procedures has been declining in recent years both statewide and in Wake County. In 2009, a total of 114,740 weighted, diagnostic-equivalent cardiac catheterization procedures were performed in North Carolina facilities. In 2013, total volume had declined to 108,486, a 5.5 percent decrease. Total diagnostic-equivalent cardiac catheterizations have decreased statewide each year since peaking in 2010. Please see Attachment 1. Based on 2013 utilization, no cardiac catheterization equipment service area in the state will generate need for additional cardiac cath equipment in the 2015 SMFP.

Among Wake County facilities, diagnostic-equivalent cardiac catheterization volume declined 14.5 percent from 2009-2013. Mirroring the statewide trend, total cardiac catheterization procedures have also decreased each year since 2010. Please see the following table.

---

1 Diagnostic cardiac catheterizations weighted at 1.00, interventional cardiac catheterizations weighted at 1.75, pediatric cardiac catheterizations weighted at 2.00.
WakeMed's hospitals operate a total of 17 units of fixed cardiac catheterization equipment. Based on 2013 utilization, the aggregate Wake County Service Area need is for 11.89 units, a surplus of 5 units when rounded to the nearest whole number. Please see the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duke Raleigh Hospital</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>-41.9%</td>
<td>-12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rex Hospital</td>
<td>3,489</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>3,132</td>
<td>3,875</td>
<td>5,029</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WakeMed Cary Hospital</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>-31.7%</td>
<td>-8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WakeMed Raleigh Campus</td>
<td>12,108</td>
<td>12,618</td>
<td>12,130</td>
<td>10,535</td>
<td>8,570</td>
<td>-29.2%</td>
<td>-9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,692</td>
<td>16,969</td>
<td>16,288</td>
<td>15,058</td>
<td>14,268</td>
<td>-14.5%</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2010-2014 License Renewal Applications

The declines in cardiac catheterization utilization in Wake County and in North Carolina are also being experienced nationally, and are projected to continue. The Advisory Board Company projects that inpatient cardiac cath procedure volumes will decrease 22 percent nationally from 2012-2017, and that outpatient cardiac caths will decline 7 percent. Percutaneous coronary intervention volumes are projected to decline 15 percent over the same period.2

Rex Healthcare's Cardiac Catheterization Equipment Can Absorb Additional Volume

While Rex’s 2013 utilization suggests that it currently needs 4.19 units of cardiac catheterization equipment, this equates to 83.8 percent utilization, based on capacity of 1,500 weighted diagnostic-equivalent procedures per unit [calculation: 5,029 diagnostic-equivalent procedures ÷ (1,500 x 4) = 0.838]. This is the first year Rex’s cardiac catheterization equipment utilization has exceeded its

---

planning inventory since 2006, and is its highest diagnostic-equivalent procedure volume since 2004. Please see the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cardiac Cath Planning Inventory</th>
<th>Weighted Cardiac Cath Procedures</th>
<th>Units Required at 80% Utilization</th>
<th>Percent Utilization</th>
<th>Cath Procedures Per Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,206</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>1,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,897</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
<td>1,299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,015</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>1,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,557</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>1,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,581</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td>1,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,489</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,132</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,875</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5,029</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
<td>1,257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2006-2013 SMFPs, 2014 License Renewal Application

Rex has operated at higher utilization in prior years, and has indicated that it could do so with its current inventory of cardiac cath equipment. In its response to comments filed during the 2011 Wake County Acute Care Bed CON Review, Rex indicated that its cardiac catheterization lab utilization could be extended well beyond its current utilization. As evidenced in the passage below, Rex acknowledges that it believes it can operate its cardiac catheterization equipment well above the 80 percent threshold:

Moreover, Rex is currently taking immediate steps to increase its cardiac cath capacity by implementing its approved fourth cardiac cath on an interim basis in administrative space and by extending cath lab hours to 9 pm. These actions will allow Rex to achieve greater cath capacity than WakeMed has assumed at an earlier date. While WakeMed contends that 1,500 procedures per lab is the maximum capacity, its historic experience as well as that of other providers suggests that cath labs can operate well above that level:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Weighted Procedures</th>
<th>Current Cath Lab Inventory</th>
<th>Weighted Procedures per Lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>High Point Regional</td>
<td>8,443</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional</td>
<td>6,421</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Frye Regional</td>
<td>5,727</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional</td>
<td>6,189</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Frye Regional</td>
<td>5,353</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional</td>
<td>5,975</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>WakeMed</td>
<td>11,984</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Frye Regional</td>
<td>4,593</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Calculation: \([\text{Weighted cardiac cath procedures} \div 1,200]\).
4 Calculation: \([\text{Weighted cardiac cath procedures} \div (\text{Cardiac cath planning inventory} \times 1,500)]\).
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Source: 2007 to 2010 SMFPs

In addition, WakeMed has projected or exhibited greater than 100 percent utilization of similar assets in prior CON applications. In the 2010 WakeMed Cary OR Application (Project ID# J-8463-10), WakeMed Raleigh projected, on page 68, to provide 31,319 surgical hours in 2015 with 13 ORs or over 100 capacity as defined in the SMFP (103 percent = 31,319 ÷ 9 hours per day ÷ 260 days per year ÷ 13 ORs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>IP Cases (from Table II.27)</th>
<th>OP Hours (Cases x 3.0)</th>
<th>OP Cases (from Table II.27)</th>
<th>OP Hours (Cases x 1.5)</th>
<th>Total Cases</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
<th>ORs Needed (Total Hrs ÷ 1872)</th>
<th>Current Surgical OR Inventory</th>
<th>OR Surplus/Deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7,774</td>
<td>23,321</td>
<td>3,658</td>
<td>5,487</td>
<td>11,432</td>
<td>28,808</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8,109</td>
<td>24,327</td>
<td>3,816</td>
<td>5,724</td>
<td>11,925</td>
<td>30,051</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>8,451</td>
<td>25,353</td>
<td>3,977</td>
<td>5,966</td>
<td>12,428</td>
<td>31,319</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See page 68.

Similarly, in its 2007 application to add one cardiac cath unit (Project ID# J-8018-07), WakeMed stated that had been operating its cardiac cath equipment above 100 percent of capacity for four years:

**Cardiac Catheterization Utilization at WakeMed Raleigh Campus Using Data from Hospital License Renewal Application**

Counting only the diagnostic and interventional cardiac catheterization procedures recognized in the annual Hospital License Renewal Application, utilization of cardiac catheterization equipment at WakeMed Raleigh Campus has been consistently high in recent years. WakeMed Raleigh Campus’s cardiac catheterization diagnostic-equivalent procedure utilization was above 95% of capacity as defined by the State since 2000, and was over 100% capacity from 2000-2004. Please see the following table.

See page 45.

Given that there is significant evidence that other providers have exceeded the maximum capacity that WakeMed assumes and maintained that level of utilization over time, Rex believes it too can provide more than 1,500 diagnostic equivalent procedures per lab, if necessary. Rex recognizes that this is not ideal, but as the historic utilization of other providers shown above demonstrates, it can be achieved and will be achieved in order to treat Rex’s patients. If Rex
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operates at such a high level of utilization, then a need for additional cardiac cath labs in Wake County would be generated and Rex would apply to develop those resources.\(^5\)

[emphasis added]

Please see Attachment 2 for the pages referenced above.

In a deposition taken during the Contested Case that followed the Wake County Acute Care Bed Review, a consultant for Rex Healthcare provided his opinions regarding "capacity" of cardiac catheterization equipment:

Page 113
11 And this approach is taken for--in three
12 different iterations. The next is Pages 228580
13 and 228581 with the distinguishing factor being
14 the capacity of a cath lab. In this--the next
15 page you'll see on Page--in Table 5 Column C,
16 we've identified the capacity of a cath lab to be
17 1,712. And that is referenced in the
18 Agency--references the Agency file on Page 854,
19 which is our response to comments. And that is
20 actually what WakeMed has achieved in 2005. So
21 WakeMed in 2005 provided 1,712 caths per lab.
22 Using that analysis, we show the occupancy
23 rates below average. There's not much
24 distinguishing factors between that.

Page 114
1 The final analysis uses the cath
2 capacity--I'm sorry, the capacity of a cath lab
3 from Frye Regional in the same year that we are
4 discussing for WakeMed, 2,296 caths per lab.\(^6\)

[emphasis added]

Please see Attachment 3 for the pages referenced above.

---

\(^5\) Excerpted from "Response to Comments on Rex Hospital's CON Applications to Develop Additional Acute Care Beds in Wake County (Project Nos. J-8667-11, J-8669-11 and J-8670-11)", submitted to Certificate of Need Section June 20, 2011, pages 15-16.

\(^6\) Excerpted from the deposition of Nathan Marvelle, March 6, 2012, pages 113-114, in Case Nos. 11 DHR 12727, 11 DHR 12794, 11 DHR 12795 and 11 DHR 11796, filed at the Office of Administrative Hearings.
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This testimony, along with comments made by Rex during the 2011 Wake County Acute Care Bed CON Review, makes it apparent that Rex believes the capacity of a cardiac catheterization lab may be 1,712-2,296 procedures per unit. It is clear that Rex believes it can operate its cardiac cath equipment well above the State’s definition of capacity (1,200 diagnostic-equivalent procedures). Modification of the SMFP’s Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology when Rex has been utilized above 80 percent for only one year is premature and unnecessary.

Proposed Modification to Methodology Would Only Benefit Rex Healthcare

Petitions filed during the Spring for consideration for the next year’s SMFP are typically reserved for requests that involve changes in policies or methodologies that may have a statewide effect, which the SHCC and its committees have the opportunity to consider during the planning year. Upon closer analysis of Rex Healthcare’s proposed modifications to the Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology, it becomes apparent that Rex would be the only likely beneficiary of the changes. For counties with more than one provider of fixed cardiac catheterization, Rex was the only provider with utilization of greater than 1,200 procedures per unit (see Attachment 1). If adopted as proposed, Rex’s modifications of the Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology would preclude all providers in Wake County, except Rex, from even applying for additional cardiac cath equipment.

Rex’s assertion on page 5 that “it is unlikely that that many providers will generate a need in the near future” casts into doubt why this petition is being proposed in the first place. Over the last five years, only 4 units of fixed cardiac catheterization equipment have been allocated statewide in the annual SMFPs – only one of these allocations resulted from a need determination generated through the Cardiac Catheterization Need Determination Methodology (Craven/Jones/Pamlico Service Area - 2013 SMFP). In 2013, New Hanover Regional Medical Center filed a petition for an Adjusted Need Determination to eliminate the allocation of one unit of cardiac cath equipment for New Hanover County.

Approval of Petition Would Have Adverse Effects

The Rex petition represents an unnecessary modification to a need methodology that has served the State well in its current iteration. According to Rex on page 6, “[a] provider could operate above the utilization standards indefinitely and not be able to acquire additional capacity, if another provider in its community was sufficiently underutilized.” Rex further contends that filing a petition for an adjusted need determination “would, at best, result in a one-time allocation and would fail to address the problematic aspects of the current methodology” and “would not address potential issues in other counties or issues that arise in future years” (page 7). These are precisely the circumstances that are typically addressed by petitions for adjusted need determination.

The proposed Step 6(a) would trigger a need determination in a service area in the next year’s SMFP when a single provider calculates a deficit threshold of 0.1 or greater. There are inherent problems with this step. First, a provider need have only one year of sufficiently high utilization to trigger the need determination, regardless of their utilization in prior years. Second, the 0.1 deficit threshold is barely above 80 percent utilization, particularly if a provider has several cath labs. The current methodology sums the number of machines required for all facilities in a service area (rounding to the nearest whole number), then subtracts that number from the total planning inventory for the service area to determine number of units of cardiac catheterization equipment needed.
The proposed addition of “Qualified Applicants” effectively shuts out any potential applicant for a need allocation save for the provider that created the need determination. If adopted, this would create a form of inequity with “haves” and “have-nots” – essentially, providers with lower utilization would likely never generate sufficient volume to create a need determination of their own, and they would not be eligible to apply for the need determinations generated by other providers. The obvious by-product of this change would perpetuate underutilization of existing equipment and unnecessary duplication of resources.

The reality is that, given the trend of declining fixed cardiac catheterization equipment utilization locally and nationally, Rex’s petition is unnecessary. Modification of the need methodology would have no impact on cost, quality or value. Physicians can and do perform procedures in more than one facility in a service area.

Summary

In conclusion, the Rex Healthcare petition would do little, if anything, to improve access to fixed cardiac catheterization in North Carolina. The petition is unnecessary, untimely, seeks to correct a problem that does not exist, and represents bad health policy. WakeMed respectfully requests that the petition be denied. Thank you for your consideration of these comments. If you have questions or require additional information, please call me at 919-350-8108.

Sincerely,

W. Stan Taylor
Vice President, Corporate Planning
### Diagnostic-Equivalent Cardiac Catheterization Procedures by Service Area and Facility
#### 2009-2013
Includes Adult & Pediatric Diagnostic Cardiac Caths, Percutaneous Coronary Interventions
Sources: 2014 State Medical Facilities Plan (Tables 9S, 9T and 9V), 2014 License Renewal Applications on file at DHSR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alamance</td>
<td>Alamance Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>1,398</td>
<td>1,133</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>-17.6%</td>
<td>-4.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buncombe/Graham/Madison/Yancey</td>
<td>Mission Hospital</td>
<td>5,818</td>
<td>5,586</td>
<td>5,485</td>
<td>5,492</td>
<td>5,238</td>
<td>-10.0%</td>
<td>-2.6%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke</td>
<td>CMC-Blue Ridge</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabarrus</td>
<td>CMC-NorthEast</td>
<td>2,067</td>
<td>2,238</td>
<td>2,414</td>
<td>2,172</td>
<td>2,103</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>Caldwell Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catawba</td>
<td>Catawba Valley Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frye Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>5,171</td>
<td>5,473</td>
<td>4,612</td>
<td>4,662</td>
<td>4,408</td>
<td>-14.8%</td>
<td>-3.9%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Service Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,720</td>
<td>5,918</td>
<td>5,052</td>
<td>5,217</td>
<td>5,066</td>
<td>-11.4%</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland</td>
<td>Cleveland Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>-23.0%</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craven/Jones/Pamlico</td>
<td>CarolinaEast Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>2,722</td>
<td>3,205</td>
<td>2,538</td>
<td>2,304</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>Cape Fear Valley Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>3,558</td>
<td>3,405</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>4,005</td>
<td>3,906</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham/Caswell</td>
<td>Duke Regional Hospital</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>-28.4%</td>
<td>-8.0%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duke University Hospital</td>
<td>6,696</td>
<td>7,451</td>
<td>7,232</td>
<td>7,366</td>
<td>6,739</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Service Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,860</td>
<td>8,497</td>
<td>8,247</td>
<td>8,324</td>
<td>7,573</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsyth</td>
<td>North Carolina Baptist Hospital</td>
<td>3,376</td>
<td>3,129</td>
<td>3,268</td>
<td>3,176</td>
<td>3,361</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Novant Health Forsyth Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>5,667</td>
<td>5,101</td>
<td>4,550</td>
<td>4,511</td>
<td>4,612</td>
<td>-18.6%</td>
<td>-5.0%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Service Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,043</td>
<td>8,230</td>
<td>7,818</td>
<td>7,687</td>
<td>7,973</td>
<td>-11.8%</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaston</td>
<td>Caromont Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>3,672</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>3,766</td>
<td>3,929</td>
<td>3,188</td>
<td>-13.2%</td>
<td>-3.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Diagnostic-Equivalent Cardiac Catheterization Procedures by Service Area and Facility

#### 2009-2013

Includes Adult & Pediatric Diagnostic Cardiac Caths, Percutaneous Coronary Interventions

Sources: 2014 State Medical Facilities Plan (Tables 95, 9T and 9V), 2014 License Renewal Applications on file at DHSR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guilford</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Diagnostic Ctr.</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>-16.3%</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilford</td>
<td>Cone Health</td>
<td>5,044</td>
<td>5,261</td>
<td>5,793</td>
<td>5,701</td>
<td>5,245</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilford</td>
<td>Greensboro Heart &amp; Sleep Ctr. [CLOSED]</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilford</td>
<td>High Point Regional Health</td>
<td>5,552</td>
<td>5,252</td>
<td>4,870</td>
<td>4,371</td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>-28.4%</td>
<td>-8.0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Service Area</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,052</td>
<td>11,785</td>
<td>11,674</td>
<td>10,909</td>
<td>10,048</td>
<td>-16.6%</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halifax/Northampton</td>
<td>Halifax Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-15.7%</td>
<td>-4.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>MedWest Haywood</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>Margaret Pardee Memorial Hosp.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-38.2%</td>
<td>-11.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iredell</td>
<td>Davis Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iredell</td>
<td>Iredell Memorial Hosp.</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iredell</td>
<td>Lake Norman Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-57.9%</td>
<td>-19.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Service Area</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,198</td>
<td>1,036</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>1,732</td>
<td>1,688</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston</td>
<td>Johnston Memorial Hosp.</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Central Carolina Hospital</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenoir</td>
<td>Lenoir Memorial Hosp.</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>118.8%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecklenburg</td>
<td>Carolinas Medical Center</td>
<td>7,657</td>
<td>7,281</td>
<td>7,302</td>
<td>5,929</td>
<td>6,478</td>
<td>-15.4%</td>
<td>-4.1%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecklenburg</td>
<td>CMC-Mercy/Pineville</td>
<td>1,527</td>
<td>1,758</td>
<td>2,195</td>
<td>2,394</td>
<td>3,552</td>
<td>132.6%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecklenburg</td>
<td>CMC-University</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-74.5%</td>
<td>-28.9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecklenburg</td>
<td>Novant Health Matthews Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecklenburg</td>
<td>Novant Health Presbyterian Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>3,967</td>
<td>4,289</td>
<td>3,638</td>
<td>3,770</td>
<td>3,447</td>
<td>-13.1%</td>
<td>-3.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Service Area</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,870</td>
<td>14,033</td>
<td>13,893</td>
<td>12,966</td>
<td>14,281</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>FirstHealth Moore Regional Hosp.</td>
<td>6,331</td>
<td>6,243</td>
<td>4,723</td>
<td>5,238</td>
<td>5,340</td>
<td>-15.7%</td>
<td>-4.2%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nash</td>
<td>Nash General Hospital</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>6,564</td>
<td>6,641</td>
<td>6,596</td>
<td>7,172</td>
<td>6,456</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wilmington Heart Center [CLOSED]</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Service Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,541</td>
<td>7,557</td>
<td>6,982</td>
<td>7,172</td>
<td>6,456</td>
<td>-14.4%</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onslow</td>
<td>Onslow Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>UNC Hospitals</td>
<td>3,443</td>
<td>3,469</td>
<td>3,581</td>
<td>3,982</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasquotank/Camden/Currituck/Perquimans</td>
<td>Albemarle Hospital</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitt</td>
<td>Vidant Medical Center</td>
<td>5,131</td>
<td>5,428</td>
<td>5,056</td>
<td>4,813</td>
<td>4,439</td>
<td>-13.5%</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph</td>
<td>Randolph Hospital</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-85.7%</td>
<td>-38.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robeson</td>
<td>Southeastern Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>1,532</td>
<td>1,603</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowan</td>
<td>Novant Health Rowan Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>-9.6%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherford</td>
<td>Rutherford Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Scotland Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanly</td>
<td>Stanly Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>CMC-Union</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>-30.3%</td>
<td>-8.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake</td>
<td>Duke Raleigh Hospital</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>-41.9%</td>
<td>-12.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rex Hospital</td>
<td>3,489</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>3,132</td>
<td>3,875</td>
<td>5,029</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WakeMed</td>
<td>12,108</td>
<td>12,618</td>
<td>12,130</td>
<td>10,535</td>
<td>8,570</td>
<td>-29.2%</td>
<td>-8.3%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WakeMed Cary Hospital</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>-31.7%</td>
<td>-9.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Service Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,692</td>
<td>16,969</td>
<td>16,288</td>
<td>15,058</td>
<td>14,268</td>
<td>-14.5%</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watauga</td>
<td>Watauga Medical Center</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>675.8%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Wayne Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkes</td>
<td>Wilkes Regional Medical Ctr.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Wilson Medical Center</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>114,740</td>
<td>115,630</td>
<td>112,685</td>
<td>111,250</td>
<td>108,486</td>
<td>-5.5%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Response to Comments on Rex Hospital’s (Rex’s) CON Applications to Develop Additional Acute Care Beds in Wake County (Project ID #s J-8667-11, J-8669-11, and J-8670-11)

Below, Rex has grouped comments submitted on its applications by issue, followed by Rex’s response in italics. Please note that in some instances for the sake of brevity, Rex has produced only a portion of a comment; however, it is responding to each comment in its entirety.

CRITERION 3 ISSUES

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS REGARDING POPULATION TO BE SERVED

Comments:
On page 177 of its Application Rex states that they have “excluded” zip codes where some of the population is closer to Rex’s existing hospital and to other acute care providers than to the proposed site. Following this logic, Rex also should have excluded zip code 27606 as 100% of the zip is closer to Rex’s existing hospital AND to WakeMed Cary. Therefore, Rex’s statement on page 178 that inclusion of portions of zip 27606 “is appropriate as the proposed hospital would be closer or more convenient for residents of the areas within ten miles than Rex or other acute care providers in the county,” is incorrect.

The proposed Rex Hospital Holly Springs is not closer for residents of zip 27606 and Rex provides no discussion or documentation to support that traveling further for hospital or outpatient care at Rex Holly Springs would be more convenient for residents of zip 27606. Therefore, the population to be served is overstated which results in overstated volumes for all proposed inpatient and outpatient services at Rex Holly Springs.

Page 2 of Novant’s Comments submitted on Rex Hospital Holly Springs.

Rex’s assumptions about patient origin for the Rex Holly Springs linear accelerator seem to suggest a change existing referral patterns and the capture substantial market share from existing providers by locating a satellite cancer center and linear accelerator at the proposed new hospital in Holly Springs. These assumptions are unsupported and unexplained in the Rex Holly Springs application. The 14-step Rex Holly Springs linear accelerator need method fails to take into consideration the context of the existing market and its referral patterns and the impact of a linear accelerator in Holly Springs on existing radiation therapy providers.

Page 9 of Novant’s Comments submitted on Rex Hospital Holly Springs.
have historically accounted for over 62 percent of total caths at Rex. Likewise, for Wake Heart &
Vascular Associates, approximately two-thirds of total caths are outpatient. WakeMed’s
summary analysis concludes that 46.6 percent of Wake Heart & Vascular Associates’ cath
procedures will be in excess of Rex’s capacity; as such, even by WakeMed’s analysis the majority
(53.6 percent) of Wake Heart & Vascular Associates’ cath procedures can shift. Given that
outpatient cath is majority of total caths, Rex will have the capacity to treat Wake Heart &
Vascular Associates’ inpatient caths.

Moreover, Rex is currently taking immediate steps to increase its cardiac cath capacity by
implementing its approved fourth cardiac cath on an interim basis in administrative space and
by extending cath lab hours to 9 pm. These actions will allow Rex to achieve greater cath
capacity than WakeMed has assumed at an earlier date. While WakeMed contends that 1,500
procedures per lab is the maximum capacity, its historic experience as well as that of other
providers suggests that cath labs can operate well above that level:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Weighted Procedures</th>
<th>Current Cath Lab Inventory</th>
<th>Weighted Procedures per Lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>High Point Regional</td>
<td>8,443</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional</td>
<td>6,421</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Frye Regional</td>
<td>5,787</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional</td>
<td>6,189</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Frye Regional</td>
<td>5,353</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>New Hanover Regional</td>
<td>5,975</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>WakeMed</td>
<td>11,984</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Frye Regional</td>
<td>4,593</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2007 to 2010 SMFPs.

In addition, WakeMed has projected or exhibited greater than 100 percent utilization of similar
assets in prior CON applications. In the 2010 WakeMed Cary OR Application (Project ID# J-
8463-10), WakeMed Raleigh projected, on page 68, to provide 31,319 surgical hours in 2015
with 13 ORs or over 100 percent of capacity as defined by the SMFP (103 percent = 31,319 ÷ 9
hours per day + 260 days per year ÷ 13 ORs).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>IP Cases (from Table II.27)</th>
<th>IP Hours (Cases x 2.0)</th>
<th>OP Cases (from Table II.27)</th>
<th>OP Hours (Cases x 1.5)</th>
<th>Total Cases</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
<th>ORs Needed (Total Hrs ÷ 1872)</th>
<th>Current Surgical OR Inventory¹²</th>
<th>OR Surplus/ (Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7,774</td>
<td>23,321</td>
<td>3,658</td>
<td>5,487</td>
<td>11,432</td>
<td>28,808</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8,109</td>
<td>24,327</td>
<td>3,816</td>
<td>5,712</td>
<td>11,925</td>
<td>30,051</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>8,451</td>
<td>25,353</td>
<td>3,977</td>
<td>5,966</td>
<td>12,428</td>
<td>31,319</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See page 68.

Similarly, in its 2007 application to add one cardiac cath unit (Project ID# J-8017-07), WakeMed stated that had been operating its cardiac cath equipment above 100 percent of capacity for four years:

**Cardiac Catheterization Utilization at WakeMed Raleigh Campus Using Data from Hospital License Renewal Application**

Counting only the diagnostic and interventional cardiac catheterization procedures recognized in the annual Hospital License Renewal Application, utilization of cardiac catheterization equipment at WakeMed Raleigh Campus has been consistently high in recent years. WakeMed Raleigh Campus’s cardiac catheterization diagnostic-equivalent procedure utilization was above 95% of capacity as defined by the State since 2000, and was over 100% capacity from 2000-2004. Please see the following table.

See page 45.

Given that there is significant evidence that other providers have exceeded the maximum capacity that WakeMed assumes and maintained that level of utilization over time, Rex believes it too can provide more than 1,500 diagnostic equivalent procedures per lab, if necessary. Rex recognizes that this is not ideal, but as the historic utilization of other providers shown above demonstrates, it can be achieved and will be achieved in order to treat Rex’s patients. If Rex operates at such a high level of utilization, then no need for additional cath labs in Wake County would be generated and Rex would apply to develop those resources.

Finally, WakeMed assumes that Rex will only have four cath labs by 2017. Rex projects that the shift of Wake Heart & Vascular Associates’ inpatient utilization will occur over several years. The population growth in Wake County in recent years has resulted in additional need determinations for inpatient beds, operating rooms, MRI units, and other health care services.
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scenario assumes that Wakefield is not developed, those days are also subtracted. And then you have revised ADCs, and the occupancy rate, per the Agency's decision, assuming all we've discussed, would be 79.3 percent.

And then, finally, the scenario where Rex is awarded no beds. Again, Wakefield days are taken out. Holly Springs days that I did, you know, the same scenario for Wakefield days are taken out. And the revised occupancy rate of 87.4 percent.

And this approach is taken for—in three different iterations. The next is Pages 228580 and 228581 with the distinguishing factor being the capacity of a cath lab. In this—-the next page you'll see on Page—in Table 5 Column C, we've identified the capacity of a cath lab to be 1,712. And that is referenced in the Agency—-references the Agency file on Page 854, which is our response to comments. And that is actually what WakeMed has achieved in 2005. So WakeMed in 2005 provided 1,712 caths per lab.

Using that analysis, we show the occupancy rates below average. There's not much distinguishing factors between that.
The final analysis uses the cath
capacity--I'm sorry, the capacity of a cath lab
from Frye Regional in the same year that we are
discussing for WakeMed, 2,296 caths per lab. And
that results in revised occupancy rates as shown.

And then just to point out one other thing,
I have provided an excerpt of the State Medical
Facilities Plan behind that and--behind these
pages in the exhibit, which shows those providers
and their cath utilization in that year.

Q. So is the bottom line in here in your opinion is
that you believe that Rex has sufficient capacity
to handle the cath volume attributable to the Wake
Heart and Vascular doctors?

A. Yes, I mean, I think what I discussed in the
response to comments in terms of, you know, our
response to WakeMed that we--you know, that we
could provide additional capacity, and also I
think this--this deposition exhibit is responding
to the premise that WakeMed has put forward that
1,500 is the maximum and that all days are
associated, I think was responsive to certain
points that they made and rebutting certain
points. But, yes, I think in--in summary it says