

January 2004 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report

Issued January 2, 2004

Prepared by

Jim Keene, Planner

Medical Facilities Planning Section

Division of Facility Services

NC Department of Health and Human Services

Under the direction of the

North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council

For information or copies, contact the

Medical Facilities Planning Section

Division of Facility Services

2714 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714

(919) 855 - 3865 *Telephone Number*

(919) 715 - 4413 *FAX Number*



The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.



END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE DIALYSIS FACILITIES

January 2004 Semiannual Dialysis Report

Introduction

The 2004 State Medical Facilities Plan requires semiannual determination of need for new dialysis stations in North Carolina. This approach calls for publication of "Semiannual Dialysis Reports" (SDR) during January and July. The 2004 Plan specifies that the Semiannual Dialysis Reports "...will use facility, station and active patient data as of June 30, 2003 for the January 2004 SDR, and as of December 31, 2003 for the July 2004 SDR. A new five-year trend line will be established in the July 2004 SDR, based on validated data as reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the time period ending December 31, 2003." This document is the January 2004 SDR. It reiterates the methodology and presents need determinations for the Certificate of Need Review beginning April 1, 2004.

Summary of Dialysis Station Supply and Utilization

For purposes of the Semiannual Dialysis Report, as of December 18, 2003 there were 131 End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) dialysis facilities certified and operating in North Carolina (*i.e., facilities reporting patient data via the Southeastern Kidney Council*), providing a total of 3,351 dialysis stations. Certificates of need had been issued for an additional 171 dialysis stations, but the stations were not yet certified. Another 111 dialysis stations had been requested, but had not completed the certificate of need review and appeals process. The number of facilities per county ranged from zero to twelve.

Utilization data as of June 30, 2003 are presented in the final two columns of Table A. Of the 129 certified facilities operational on that date, 76 were at or above 80% utilization (*i.e., operating with at least 3.2 patients per station*).

Sources of Data

Inventory Data:

Data on the current number of dialysis facilities and stations were obtained from the Certificate of Need Section and from the Licensure and Certification Section, Division of Facility Services, N. C. Department of Health and Human Services.

Dialysis Patient Data:

Data on the dialysis population by county and by facility as of June 30, 2003 were provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) through the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. (SEKC) and the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition, Inc.

County Data are designed to include all North Carolina residents of each county who are receiving dialysis, regardless of where they are currently being served. The numbers of North Carolina patients being served in North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina as of June 30, 2003 were provided by the SEKC on November 13, 2003. The SEKC noted that these figures reflect data submitted to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were current as of November 9, 2003. The SEKC stated that these data are subject to change. County totals from the SEKC were supplemented by data from the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition indicating the number of patients residing in North Carolina counties and receiving dialysis in Virginia. Data for December 31st of 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002 have been provided by the same sources for the five-year trend analysis.

Facility Data include all patients being served by each provider as of June 30, 2003 regardless of the county or state of each patient's residence. These figures were also provided by the SEKC on November 13, 2003. Again, the SEKC noted that these figures reflect data provided to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were current as of November 9, 2003. The SEKC also stated that these figures are subject to change.

Method for Projection of New Dialysis Station Need

The 2004 State Medical Facilities Plan (SMFP) directs the Medical Facilities Planning Section to "...determine need for new dialysis stations two times each calendar year, and...make a report of such determinations available to all who request it." The basic principles, methodology and timeline to be used were specified in the 2004 SMFP and are presented below:

Basic Principles

The principles underlying projection of need for additional dialysis stations are as follows:

1. Increases in the number of facilities or stations should be done to meet the specific need for either a new facility or an expansion.
2. New facilities must have a projected need for at least 10 stations (or 32 patients at 3.2 patients per station) to be cost effective and to assure quality of care.
3. The Medical Facilities Planning Section will maintain a list of existing facilities and stations, utilization rates and projected need by county that is up-dated semiannually. Updated projections will be available two times a year on a published schedule. Existing or potential providers interested in expanding in any area of the State may contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section for projected need in the area of interest.
4. Updates of the projections may target counties that have developed sufficient need to warrant consideration for facility expansion or for establishment of a new

facility. Actual numbers are not published in the Plan so they can be updated as appropriate by the Medical Facilities Planning Section.

5. Home patients will not be included in the determination of need for new stations. Home patients include those that receive hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis in their home.
6. No existing facility may expand unless its utilization is 80% or greater. Any facility at 80% utilization or greater may apply to expand.
7. Facilities reporting no patients through the Southeastern Kidney Council for four consecutive Semiannual Dialysis Reports, beginning from March 1997, will be excluded from future inventories.
8. Quality of Care: All facilities should comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations relating to the delivery and certification of ESRD services and with relevant North Carolina statutory provisions. An applicant already involved in the provision of end-stage renal disease services should provide evidence that care of high quality has been provided in the past. The following are considered indicators of quality of care and existing providers proposing to expand their operations should include in their applications data which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. utilization rates
 - b. morbidity and mortality rates
 - c. numbers of patients that are home trained and patients on home dialysis
 - d. number of patients receiving transplants
 - e. number of patients currently on the transplant waiting list
 - f. hospital admission rates
 - g. conversion rates for patients who have acquired hepatitis or AIDS
9. Availability of Manpower and Ancillary/Support Services: The applicant should show evidence of the availability of qualified staff and other health manpower and management for the provision of quality ESRD services as well as the availability of a safe and adequate water supply, provision for treatment of wastewater discharge and a standing electrical service with backup capabilities.
10. Patient Access to In-Center ESRD Services: As a means of making ESRD services more accessible to patients, one of the goals of the N. C. Department of Health and Human Services is to minimize patient travel time to and from the center. Therefore,
 - a. End-stage renal disease treatment should be provided in North Carolina such that patients who require renal dialysis are able to be served in a facility no farther than 30 miles from the patients' homes.

- b. In areas where it is apparent that patients are currently traveling more than 30 miles for in-center dialysis, favorable consideration should be given to proposed new facilities which would serve patients who are farthest away from existing, operational or approved facilities.
11. Transplantation Services: Transplantation services should be available to, and a priority for, all ESRD patients whose conditions make them suitable candidates for this treatment. New enrollees should meet with and have access to a transplantation representative to provide patient education and evaluation for transplantation.
12. Availability of Dialysis Care: The N. C. State Health Coordinating Council encourages applicants for dialysis stations to provide or arrange for:
 - a. Home training and backup for patients suitable for home dialysis in the ESRD dialysis facility or in a facility that is a reasonable distance from the patient's residence;
 - b. ESRD dialysis service availability at times that do not interfere with ESRD patients' work schedules;
 - c. Services in rural, remote areas.

Methodology

Need for new dialysis stations shall be determined as follows:

- (1) County Need *(for the January 2004 SDR – Using the trend line ending with 12/31/02 data)*
 - (A) The average annual rate (%) of change in total number of dialysis patients resident in each county from the end of 1998 to the end of 2002 is multiplied by the county's June 30, 2003 total number of patients in the SDR, and the product is added to each county's most recent total number of patients reported in the SDR. The sum is the county's projected total June 30, 2004 patients.
 - (B) The percent of each county's total patients who were home dialysis patients on June 30, 2003 is multiplied by the county's projected total June 30, 2004 patients, and the product is subtracted from the county's projected total June 30, 2004 patients. The remainder is the county's projected June 30, 2004 in-center dialysis patients.
 - (C) The projected number of each county's June 30, 2004 in-center patients is divided by 3.2. The quotient is the projection of the county's June 30, 2004 in-center dialysis stations.

- (D) From each county's projected number of June 30, 2004 in-center stations is subtracted the county's number of stations certified for Medicare, CON-approved and awaiting certification, awaiting resolution of CON appeals, and the number represented by need determinations in previous State Medical Facilities Plans or Semiannual Dialysis Reports for which CON decisions have not been made. The remainder is the county's June 30, 2004 projected station surplus or deficit.
- (E) If a county's June 30, 2004 projected station deficit is ten or greater and the January SDR shows that utilization of each dialysis facility in the county is 80% or greater, the June 30, 2004 county station need determination is the same as the June 30, 2004 projected station deficit. If a county's June 30, 2004 projected station deficit is less than ten or if the utilization of any dialysis facility in the county is less than 80%, the county's June 30, 2004 station need determination is zero.

(2) Facility Need

A dialysis facility located in a county for which the result of the County Need methodology is zero in the current Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) is determined to need additional stations to the extent that:

- (A) Its utilization, reported in the current SDR, is 3.2 patients per station or greater.
- (B) Such need, calculated as follows, is reported in an application for a certificate of need:
 - (i) The facility's number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the previous Dialysis Report (SDR₁) is subtracted from the number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the current SDR (SDR₂). The difference is multiplied by 2 to project the net in-center change for 1 year. Divide the projected net in-center change for the year by the number of in-center patients from SDR₁ to determine the projected annual growth rate.
 - (ii) The quotient from (2)(B)(i) is divided by 12.
 - (iii) The quotient from (2)(B)(ii) is multiplied by 6 (*the number of months from June 30, 2003 until December 31, 2003*) for the January 2, 2004 SDR.
 - (iv) The product from (2)(B)(iii) is multiplied by the number of the facility's in-center patients reported in the current SDR and that product is added to such reported number of in-center patients.
 - (v) The sum from (2)(B)(iv) is divided by 3.2, and from the quotient is subtracted the facility's current number of certified stations as recorded in the current SDR

and the number of pending new stations for which a certificate of need has been issued. The remainder is the number of stations needed.

- (C) The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established in (2)(B)(v), up to a maximum of ten stations.

[NOTE: "Rounding" to the nearest whole number is allowed only in Step 1(C) and Step 2(B)(v). In these instances, fractions of 0.5000 or greater shall be rounded to the next highest whole number.]

Unless specific "adjusted need determinations" are recommended by the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council, an application for a certificate of need for additional dialysis stations can be considered consistent with the need determinations of this Plan only if it demonstrates a need by utilizing one of the methods of determining need outlined in this chapter.

Timeline

The schedule for publication of the North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports and for receipt of certificate of need applications based on each issue of that report in 2004 shall be as follows:

<u>Data for Period Ending</u>	<u>Due Dates for SEKC Report</u>	<u>Publication of SDR</u>	<u>Application Due Dates for CON Applications</u>	<u>Beginning Review Dates</u>
June 30, 2003	Nov. 12, 2003	January 2, 2004	March 15, 2004	April 1, 2004
Dec. 31, 2003	May 12, 2004	July 1, 2004	September 15, 2004	October 1, 2004

Please be advised that 5:30 p.m. on the specified Application Due Date is the filing deadline for any certificate of need application in response to these dialysis reports. This document is the January 2004 SDR. Applications in response to this report must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on March 15, 2004. The filing deadline is absolute.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 12/18/03; Utilization Rates Calculated for 06/30/03)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/18/03					Certified Stations 6/30/03	# In-Center Patients 6/30/03	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	TOTAL			By Percent	Patients per Station	
ALAMANCE	34-2533	BMA of Burlington	Burlington	35	0	0	0	3	38	33	117	88.6%	3.55
	34-2567	Burlington Dialysis Center	Burlington	27	0	0	0	0	27	27	74	68.5%	2.74
ALEXANDER									0				
ALLEGHANY									0				
ANSON	34-2560	Dialysis Care of Anson County	Wadesboro	13	0	0	0	0	13	13	34	65.4%	2.62
ASHE									0				
AVERY									0				
BEAUFORT	34-2561	BMA of Pamlico	Washington	25	0	0	0	0	25	25	64	64.0%	2.56
BERTIE	34-2547	Windsor Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Windsor	16	0	0	0	0	16	16	39	60.9%	2.44
BLADEN	34-2578	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Elizabethtown	17	0	0	0	0	17	17	48	70.6%	2.82
BRUNSWICK	34-2582	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Shalotte	11	0	5	0	0	16	11	47	106.8%	4.27
BUNCOMBE	34-2506	Asheville Kidney Center	Asheville	36	0	0	0	0	36	36	127	88.2%	3.53
	34-2626	Swannanoa Dialysis Center *	Swannanoa	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	37	92.5%	3.70
	34-2604	Weaverly Dialysis Center	Weaverly	20	0	0	0	0	20	20	67	83.8%	3.35
BURKE	34-2563	BMA of Burke County	Morganton	17	8	0	0	0	25	17	73	107.4%	4.29
CABARRUS	34-2519	Metrolina Kidney Center (BMA-Concord)	Concord	30	0	0	0	0	30	30	82	68.3%	2.73
	34-2631	Copperfield Dialysis	Concord	10	0	0	0	0	10				
CALDWELL	34-2509	BMA-Lenoir (Northwestern Dialysis)	Lenoir	29	0	0	0	5	34	29	97	83.6%	3.34
CAMDEN									0				
CARTERET	34-2588	Crystal Coast Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Morehead City	20	0	0	0	0	20	20	66	82.5%	3.30
CASWELL	34-2597	Carolina Dialysis Center--Caswell	Yanceyville	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	27	67.5%	2.70
CATAWBA	34-2516	BMA-Hickory (Northwestern Dialysis)	Hickory	33	-2	0	0	0	31	33	133	100.8%	4.03
	n/a	FMC Catawba Valley Dialysis *	Conover	0	12	0	0	0	12				
CHATHAM	34-2621	Carolina Dialysis Siler City (x 34-3501)	Siler City	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	29	80.6%	3.22
	34-2617	Carolina Dialysis -Pittsboro	Pittsboro	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	15	37.5%	1.50
CHEROKEE									0				
CHOWAN	34-2541	Gambro Healthcare Edenton	Edenton	17	0	0	0	0	17	17	57	83.8%	3.35
CLAY									0				
CLEVELAND	34-2529	Dialysis Clinic, Inc. (DCI Shelby)	Shelby	29	0	0	0	0	29	29	99	85.3%	3.41
	34-2611	DCI Kings Mountain	Kings Mtn.	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	29	60.4%	2.42
COLUMBUS	34-2521	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Whiteville	21	0	0	0	0	21	21	63	75.0%	3.00
	34-2628	Chadbourn Dialysis Center	Chadbourn	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	34	85.0%	3.40

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 12/18/03; Utilization Rates Calculated for 06/30/03)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/18/03				Certified Stations 6/30/03	# In-Center Patients 6/30/03	Utilization Rates	
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent
CRAVEN	34-2534	New Bern Dialysis Unit (BMA)	New Bern	39	0	0	0	39	105	67.3%	2.69
	34-2585	FMC Craven County (Dialysis Care of...)	New Bern	29	0	0	0	29	59	50.9%	2.03
CUMBERLAND	34-2510	Fayetteville Kidney Center Inc. (BMA)	Fayetteville	41	-15	0	0	26	128	78.0%	3.12
	n/a	FMC Dialysis Services of West Fayetteville *	Fayetteville	0	15	0	0	15			
	34-2593	FMC Dialysis Services-North Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	40	0	0	0	40	100	62.5%	2.50
	34-2601	FMC Dialysis Services-South Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	38	0	0	0	38	133	87.5%	3.50
CURRITUCK											
DARE	34-2598	Dare County/Outer Banks Dialysis Clinic	Nags Head	9	0	0	0	9	21	58.3%	2.33
DAVIDSON	34-2553	Lexington Dialysis Center (WFU)	Lexington	46	-10	0	0	36	108	58.7%	2.35
	n/a	Thomasville Dialysis Center *	Thomasville	0	10	0	0	10			
DAVIE											
DUPLIN	34-2535	Southeastern Dialysis Ctr. Kenansville	Kenansville	16	6	0	0	22	70	109.4%	4.38
	34-2630	Warsaw Dialysis Center	Warsaw	14	0	0	0	14	0	0.0%	0.00
DURHAM	34-2302	Duke University Hospital ESRD Unit	Durham	16	0	0	0	16	41	64.1%	2.56
	34-2550	Gambro Healthcare-Durham	Durham	27	6	0	0	33	126	116.7%	4.67
	34-2616	Gambro Healthcare Durham-West	Durham	20	0	0	0	20	52	65.0%	2.60
	34-2538	Freedom Lake Dialysis Center	Durham	26	0	0	0	26	26	83.7%	3.35
	34-2590	West Pettigrew Dialysis Center (FMC)	Durham	21	0	0	0	21	65	77.4%	3.10
	34-2615	FMC Dialysis Services of Briggs Avenue	Durham	15	0	0	0	15	51	85.0%	3.40
EDGECOMBE	34-2577	Dialysis Care of Edgecombe Cnty.	Tarboro	20	0	0	0	20	56	70.0%	2.80
	34-2603	BMA of East Rocky Mount	Rocky Mount	21	9	0	0	30	79	94.0%	3.76
FORSYTH	34-2304	N. C. Baptist Hospitals, Inc.	Winston-Salem	4	0	0	0	4	4	6.3%	0.25
	34-2505	Piedmont Dialysis	Winston-Salem	50	0	0	3	53	186	93.0%	3.72
	34-2612	Northside Dialysis Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	25	0	0	9	34	22	94.3%	3.77
	34-2569	Salem Kidney Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	72	0	0	0	72	64	86.7%	3.47
FRANKLIN	34-2571	Dialysis Care of Franklin County	Louisburg	22	0	0	0	22	71	80.7%	3.23
GASTON	34-2513	BMA of Gastonia	Gastonia	39	0	0	0	39	135	86.5%	3.46
	34-2595	BMA of Kings Mountain	Kings Mountain	16	0	0	0	16	37	57.8%	2.31
GATES											
GRAHAM											
GRANVILLE	34-2520	FMC Dialysis Serv. Neuse River	Oxford	23	0	0	3	26	82	89.1%	3.57
GREENE	n/a	Three applications were submitted in response to the July 2002 County Need Determination.									
GUILFORD	34-2537	BMA of South Greensboro	Greensboro	49	0	0	10	59	175	89.3%	3.57
	34-2600	BMA of Southwest Greensboro	Jamestown	20	11	0	0	31	20	100.0%	4.00
	34-2504	Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA)	Greensboro	64	-25	0	0	39	64	84.0%	3.36
	34-2613	Northwest Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA)	Greensboro	15	0	0	10	25	15	98.3%	3.93
	n/a	BMA East Greensboro Kidney Center *	Greensboro	0	30	0	0	30			
	34-2514	High Point Kidney Center (WFU)	High Point	42	0	0	0	42	42	81.5%	3.26
34-2599	Triad Dialysis Center (WFU)	High Point	20	0	0	0	20	20	60.0%	2.40	

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 12/18/03; Utilization Rates Calculated for 06/30/03)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/18/03					Certified Stations 6/30/03	# In-Center Patients 6/30/03	Utilization Rates	
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	TOTAL			By Percent	Patients per Station
HALIFAX	34-2542	BMA of Roanoke Rapids	Roanoke Rapids	29	0	0	0	29	29	101	87.1%	3.48
	34-2619	FMC Dialysis of Halifax County (BMA)	Halifax	11	0	0	0	11	11	26	59.1%	2.36
HARNETT	34-2557	Dunn Kidney Center (BMA)	Dunn	30	0	0	0	30	30	91	75.8%	3.03
	34-2629	Waynesville Dialysis Center	Waynesville	11	0	2	0	13	11	34	77.3%	3.09
HENDERSON	34-2564	Hendersonville Dialysis Center, Inc.	Hendersonville	20	0	0	0	20	20	80	100.0%	4.00
HERTFORD	34-2570	Gambro Healthcare Ahsokie	Ahsokie	17	1	0	0	18	17	64	94.1%	3.76
HOKE	34-2579	Dialysis Care of Hoke County	Raeford	27	5	-10	0	22	27	98	90.7%	3.63
HYDE								0				
IREDELL	34-2527	Statesville Dialysis Center Inc. (WFU)	Statesville	39	-10	0	0	29	39	99	63.5%	2.54
	n/a	West Iredell Dialysis Center *	Statesville	0	10	0	0	10				
JACKSON	34-2606	Lake Norman Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mooresville	18	0	0	0	18	18	59	81.9%	3.28
	34-2556	Sylva Dialysis Center	Sylva	24	0	-2	0	22	24	31	32.3%	1.29
JOHNSTON	34-2545	Smithfield Kidney Center (BMA)	Smithfield	13	3	0	0	16	13	46	88.5%	3.54
	34-2572	Johnston Dialysis Center, Inc. (BMA)	Smithfield	25	0	0	0	25	25	63	63.0%	2.52
JONES	n/a	Four applications were submitted in response to the July 2003 County Need Determination.						10	10			
	34-2625	FMC Dialysis Services of Jones County	Trenton	10	0	0	0	10	10	19	47.5%	1.90
LEE	34-2620	Carolina Dialysis Sanford (UNC) (x 34-3500)	Sanford	24	0	0	0	24	24	108	112.5%	4.50
LENOIR	34-2518	Kinston Dialysis Unit (BMA Kinston)	Kinston	39	0	0	0	39	39	132	84.6%	3.38
	34-2609	FMC Vernon Dialysis	Kinston	20	4	0	0	24	18	69	95.8%	3.83
LINCOLN	34-2568	BMA of Lincolnton	Lincolnton	17	0	0	0	17	17	44	64.7%	2.59
MCDOWELL								0				
MACON								0				
MADISON								0				
MARTIN	34-2584	Dialysis Care of Martin County	Williamston	23	0	0	0	23	23	54	58.7%	2.35
	34-2554	BMA-West Charlotte	Charlotte	29	0	0	0	29	19	68	89.5%	3.58
MECKLENBURG	34-2581	BMA of Beatties Ford (Metrolina)	Charlotte	26	0	0	0	26	26	68	65.4%	2.62
	34-2549	BMA of North Charlotte	Charlotte	20	0	3	0	23	17	67	98.5%	3.94
MATTHEWS	34-2306	Carolina's Medical Center	Charlotte	9	0	0	0	9	9	7	19.4%	0.78
	34-2523	Gambro Healthcare South Charlotte	Matthews	17	0	0	0	17	15	66	110.0%	4.40
MCCLESNEY	34-2552	Dialysis Care of Charlotte (Meck. Cnty.)	Charlotte	15	0	-2	0	13	15	60	100.0%	4.00
	34-2591	TRC - Mecklenburg/University	Charlotte	20	0	-10	0	10	20	56	70.0%	2.80
MORGAN	n/a	Sunset Dialysis Center *	Charlotte	0	0	12	0	12				
	34-2548	Gambro Healthcare Charlotte <i>Ag. Rec. W/D</i>	Charlotte	23	0	0	0	23	23	113	122.8%	4.91
MUNICIPAL	n/a	Gambro Healthcare Charlotte North <i>Ag. Rec. W/D</i>	Charlotte	0	0	0	0	0				
	34-2627	Gambro Healthcare Charlotte East	Charlotte	10	0	0	0	10	10	42	105.0%	4.20
MURKIN	34-2603	BMA of Charlotte	Charlotte	36	0	0	0	36	36	138	95.8%	3.83
	34-2605	BMA of East Charlotte	Charlotte	20	0	0	0	20	20	63	78.8%	3.15
NORTH	34-2594	BMA of Nations Ford	Charlotte	14	0	0	0	14	14	40	71.4%	2.86

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location(s) shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 12/18/03; Utilization Rates Calculated for 06/30/03)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/18/03				Certified Stations 6/30/03	# In-Center Patients 6/30/03	Utilization Rates	
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent
MITCHELL											
MONTGOMERY	34-2583	Dialysis Care of Montgomery County	Troy	12	0	0	0	12		79.2%	3.17
MOORE	34-2555	Dialysis Care of Pinehurst (Moore Cnty.)	Pinehurst	39	-6	0	0	33		74.4%	2.97
	n/a	Southern Pines Dialysis Center *	Southern Pines	0	10	0	0	10			
NASH	34-2517	Rocky Mount Kidney Center (BMA)	Rocky Mount	42	0	0	0	42		86.9%	3.48
	n/a	FMC Dialysis Services of Spring Hope *	Spring Hope	0	10	0	0	10			
NEW HANOVER	34-2511	Southeastern Dialysis Center Inc.	Wilmington	51	6	0	0	57		76.0%	3.04
NORTHAMPTON	34-2586	Rich Square Dialysis Unit (BMA Northampton)	Rich Square	14	0	0	0	14		60.7%	2.43
ONslow	34-2532	Southeastern Dialysis Ctr. Jacksonville	Jacksonville	35	0	0	0	35		80.7%	3.23
ORANGE	34-2622	Carolina Dialysis Carboro (UNC) (x 34-3503)	Carboro	36	0	0	0	36		90.7%	3.63
PAMLICO											
PASQUOTANK	34-2515	Gambro Healthcare Elizabeth City	Elizabeth City	16	0	0	0	16		98.4%	3.94
PENDER	34-2558	Southeastern Dialysis Center Inc.	Burgaw	14	4	0	0	18		100.0%	4.00
PERQUIMANS											
PERSON	34-2562	Gambro Healthcare-Roxboro	Roxboro	20	0	0	0	20		93.8%	3.75
PIITT	34-2502	Greenville Dialysis Center (BMA)	Greenville	43	0	0	0	43		100.6%	4.02
	n/a	FMC Dialysis Services of Ayden *	Ayden	0	10	0	0	10			
	34-2596	FMC Dialysis of East Carolina Univ.	Greenville	33	0	0	5	38		95.5%	3.82
POLK											
RANDOLPH	34-2524	Bio-Medical Applications of Asheboro	Asheboro	27	10	0	0	37		81.0%	3.24
RICHMOND	34-2539	Dialysis Care of Richmond County	Hamlet	21	0	0	0	21		72.6%	2.90
ROBESON	34-2528	BMA Lumberton Dialysis	Lumberton	33	-3	0	0	30		76.5%	3.06
	34-2623	FMC Dialysis Services of Robeson County *	Fairmont	10	3	0	0	13		100.0%	4.00
	34-2607	BMA of Red Springs	Red Springs	20	0	0	0	20		65.0%	2.60
	n/a	Dialysis Care of Saint Pauls **	St. Pauls	0	0	10	0	10			
ROCKINGHAM	34-2536	Dialysis Care of Rockingham County	Eden	25	-10	0	0	15		85.0%	3.40
	34-2624	Madison Dialysis Center *	Madison	10	0	0	0	10		37.5%	1.50
	n/a	Reidsville Dialysis Center *	Reidsville	0	10	0	0	10			
	n/a	BMA of Rockingham ***	Reidsville	0	10	0	0	10			
	34-2574	Gambro Healthcare Reidsville	Reidsville	8	0	0	0	8		103.1%	4.13
ROWAN	34-2546	Dialysis Care of Rowan County	Salisbury	27	0	-5	0	22		75.9%	3.04
	34-2592	TRC - Kannapolis/South Rowan	Kannapolis	10	0	5	0	15		78.8%	3.15
RUTHERFORD	34-2566	Dialysis Care of Rutherford County	Forest City	22	0	0	0	22		92.0%	3.68
SAMPSON	34-2559	BMA of Clinton	Clinton	39	0	0	0	39		80.8%	3.23

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.
 ** Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations is shown with "Dialysis Care of Hoke County" in Hoke County.
 *** Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations is shown with "Greensboro Kidney Center" in Guilford County.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 12/18/03; Utilization Rates Calculated for 06/30/03)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/18/03				Certified Stations 6/30/03	# In-Center Patients 6/30/03	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
SCOTLAND	34-2540	BMA of Laurinburg	Laurinburg	21	5	0	0	26	21	79	94.0%	3.76
	34-2565	BMA of Albemarle	Albemarle	18	0	0	0	18	18	51	70.8%	2.83
	n/a	King Dialysis Center	King	0	11	0	0	11				
SURRY	34-2551	Mt. Airy Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mt. Airy	16	0	0	4	20	16	60	93.8%	3.75
	34-2614	Elkin Dialysis Center (WFU)	Elkin	19	0	0	0	19	10	42	105.0%	4.20
SWAIN	34-2602	Cherokee Dialysis Center	Cherokee	14	0	0	0	14	14	38	67.9%	2.71
TRANSYLVANIA								0				
TYRRELL								0				
UNION	34-2525	Metrolina Kidney Center (BMA Monroe)	Monroe	21	0	0	0	21	21	66	78.6%	3.14
	34-2526	Gambro Healthcare Union County	Monroe	24	0	0	0	24	21	96	114.3%	4.57
VANCE	34-2543	Gambro Healthcare-Henderson	Henderson	33	-15	0	0	18	33	130	98.5%	3.94
	n/a	Gambro Healthcare-Henderson West *	Henderson	0	17	0	0	17				
WAKE	34-2544	Cary Kidney Center (BMA)	Cary	24	0	0	0	24	24	80	83.3%	3.33
	34-2512	Raleigh Clinic Dialysis (BMA)	Raleigh	43	-10	10	0	43	43	186	108.1%	4.33
	n/a	FMC Capital City Dialysis Center *	Raleigh	0	20	0	0	20				
	34-2608	BMA of Fuquay Varina	Fuquay-Varina	16	0	1	0	17	12	48	100.0%	4.00
	34-2589	Zebulon Kidney Center (BMA)	Zebulon	19	0	0	0	19	13	49	94.2%	3.77
	34-2522	Wake Dialysis Clinic	Raleigh	48	-5	4	0	47	48	179	93.2%	3.73
n/a	BMA of Southwest Wake *	Raleigh	0	15	0	0	15					
WARREN	34-2610	FMC Dialysis Services of Warren Hills	Warrenton	10	0	0	0	10	10	27	67.5%	2.70
WASHINGTON	34-2618	FMC Dialysis Services of Plymouth (BMA)	Plymouth	11	0	0	0	11	11	33	75.0%	3.00
WATAUGA	34-2311	Watauga Kidney Dialysis Center	Boone	10	0	2	0	12	10	35	87.5%	3.50
WAYNE	34-2531	Gambro Healthcare-Goldsboro	Goldsboro	25	0	0	0	25	25	93	93.0%	3.72
	34-2587	Gambro Healthcare-Goldsboro South	Goldsboro	16	5	0	0	21	16	62	96.9%	3.88
	34-2573	Gambro Healthcare-Mount Olive	Mount Olive	11	0	0	0	11	11	44	100.0%	4.00
	34-2576	Dialysis Care of Wayne County	Goldsboro	11	0	0	0	11	11	29	65.9%	2.64
WILKES	34-2313	Wilkes Regional Dialysis Center	N. Wilkesboro	10	0	0	6	16	10	38	95.0%	3.80
WILSON	34-2507	Gambro Healthcare-Wilson	Wilson	40	-4	0	-10	26	40	152	95.0%	3.80
	n/a	Gambro Healthcare-Forest Hills *	Forest Hills	0	10	0	10	20				
YADKIN							0					
YANCEY							0					
STATE TOTALS				3,069	171	25	86	3,351	2,992	9,906		

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/1998	12/31/1999	12/31/2000	12/31/2001	12/31/2002	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	6/30/03 Total Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 Total Patients	6/30/2003 Home Patients	% Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/04 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Alamance	139	150	166	179	177	0.063	188	199.9	6	3.2%	0.2	199.7	62	65	Surplus of 3	0
Alexander	21	18	22	23	23	0.031	22	22.7	7	31.8%	7.2	15.5	5	0	5	0
Alleghany	5	6	9	7	9	0.191	8	9.5	1	12.5%	1.2	8.3	3	0	3	0
Anson	45	41	53	57	67	0.114	65	72.4	2	3.1%	2.2	70.2	22	13	9	0
Ashe	12	11	11	8	12	0.036	10	10.4	2	20.0%	2.1	8.3	3	0	3	0
Avery	7	8	9	13	12	0.159	14	16.2	5	35.7%	5.8	10.4	3	0	3	0
Beaufort	68	73	90	82	84	0.060	86	91.2	16	18.6%	17.0	74.2	23	25	Surplus of 2	0
Bertie	50	49	52	50	56	0.031	57	58.7	3	5.3%	3.1	55.7	17	16	1	0
Bladen	42	44	55	60	65	0.118	60	67.1	7	11.7%	7.8	59.3	19	17	2	0
Brunswick	56	62	70	66	63	0.033	71	73.4	12	16.9%	12.4	61.0	19	16	3	0
Buncombe	163	167	181	196	211	0.067	220	234.7	28	12.7%	29.9	204.9	64	66	Surplus of 2	0
Burke	63	70	83	78	77	0.056	77	81.3	5	6.5%	5.3	76.0	24	25	Surplus of 1	0
Cabarrus	108	143	152	152	142	0.080	141	152.3	13	9.2%	14.0	138.3	43	40	3	0
Caldwell	91	89	98	100	109	0.047	113	118.4	15	13.3%	15.7	102.6	32	34	Surplus of 2	0
Camden	11	11	11	15	15	0.091	14	15.3	5	35.7%	5.5	9.8	3	0	3	0
Carteret	37	29	35	45	49	0.091	61	66.6	1	1.6%	1.1	65.5	20	20	0	0
Caswell	35	40	34	29	33	-0.004	36	35.9	5	13.9%	5.0	30.9	10	10	0	0
Catawba	113	130	134	128	129	0.036	145	150.2	25	17.2%	25.9	124.3	39	43	Surplus of 4	0
Chatham	58	49	53	54	52	-0.023	48	46.9	6	12.5%	5.9	41.0	13	19	Surplus of 6	0
Cherokee	11	12	13	10	13	0.061	16	17.0	3	18.8%	3.2	13.8	4	0	4	0
Chowan	37	33	35	29	29	-0.055	33	31.2	2	6.1%	1.9	29.3	9	17	Surplus of 8	0
Clay	6	8	6	7	9	0.134	10	11.3	1	10.0%	1.1	10.2	3	0	3	0
Cleveland	114	133	147	151	145	0.065	165	175.7	21	12.7%	22.4	153.3	48	41	7	0
Columbus	88	86	104	101	103	0.044	105	109.7	3	2.9%	3.1	106.5	33	31	2	0
Craven	122	143	145	153	146	0.049	156	163.6	4	2.6%	4.2	159.4	50	68	Surplus of 18	0
Cumberland	330	323	382	406	408	0.057	412	435.6	46	11.2%	48.6	387.0	121	119	2	0
Currituck	6	8	7	11	15	0.286	16	20.6	2	12.5%	2.5	18.0	6	0	6	0
Dare	15	25	20	17	19	0.109	24	26.6	4	16.7%	4.4	22.2	7	9	Surplus of 2	0
Davidson	100	115	130	153	136	0.087	143	155.4	15	10.5%	16.3	139.1	43	46	Surplus of 3	0
Davie	16	16	19	23	26	0.132	22	24.9	6	27.3%	6.8	18.1	6	0	6	0
Duplin	88	88	104	118	124	0.092	124	135.4	10	8.1%	10.9	124.5	39	36	3	0
Durham	301	333	378	391	409	0.080	436	471.1	24	5.5%	25.9	445.2	139	131	8	0
Edgecombe	108	107	121	144	166	0.116	178	198.7	11	6.2%	12.3	186.4	58	50	8	0
Forsyth	407	412	442	460	482	0.043	505	526.9	47	9.3%	49.0	477.9	149	163	Surplus of 14	0
Franklin	56	65	61	69	77	0.087	82	89.1	4	4.9%	4.3	84.8	26	22	4	0
Gaston	164	189	182	182	194	0.045	190	198.6	22	11.6%	23.0	175.6	55	55	0	0
Gates	14	12	17	21	24	0.163	25	29.1	1	4.0%	1.2	27.9	9	0	9	0
Graham	9	7	9	12	9	0.037	8	8.3	0	0.0%	0.0	8.3	3	0	3	0

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/1998	12/31/1999	12/31/2000	12/31/2001	12/31/2002	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	6/30/03 Total Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 Total Patients	6/30/2003 Home Patients	% Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/04 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Granville	75	76	74	85	92	0.055	100	105.5	9	9.0%	9.5	96.0	30	26	4	0
Greene	21	24	23	33	38	0.172	41	48.0	5	12.2%	5.9	42.2	13	10	3	0
Guilford	491	529	580	621	692	0.090	688	749.7	34	4.9%	37.0	712.7	223	246	Surplus of 23	0
Hallfax	108	110	116	115	129	0.047	140	146.5	10	7.1%	10.5	136.1	43	40	3	0
Harnett	83	84	98	103	107	0.067	103	109.9	8	7.8%	8.5	101.4	32	30	2	0
Haywood	30	25	41	41	45	0.143	46	52.6	7	15.2%	8.0	44.6	14	13	1	0
Henderson	48	50	53	62	71	0.104	69	76.2	10	14.5%	11.0	65.1	20	20	0	0
Hertford	36	49	45	58	62	0.159	65	75.4	5	7.7%	5.8	69.6	22	18	4	0
Hoke	61	58	63	65	66	0.021	69	70.5	2	2.9%	2.0	68.4	21	22	Surplus of 1	0
Hyde	8	7	10	14	13	0.158	10	11.6	0	0.0%	0.0	11.6	4	0	4	0
Iredell	124	140	149	161	140	0.036	148	153.3	17	11.5%	17.6	135.7	42	57	Surplus of 15	0
Jackson	26	15	17	20	13	-0.116	14	12.4	5	35.7%	4.4	8.0	2	22	Surplus of 20	0
Johnston	103	110	122	138	155	0.108	156	172.8	12	7.7%	13.3	159.5	50	51	Surplus of 1	0
Jones	19	26	20	23	24	0.083	24	26.0	0	0.0%	0.0	26.0	8	10	Surplus of 2	0
Lee	96	100	99	96	100	0.011	99	100.1	11	11.1%	11.1	88.9	28	24	4	0
Lenoir	137	155	170	179	190	0.086	192	208.4	11	5.7%	11.9	196.5	61	63	Surplus of 2	0
Lincoln	34	34	33	39	38	0.032	43	44.4	3	7.0%	3.1	41.3	13	17	Surplus of 4	0
Macon	15	13	17	12	15	0.033	13	13.4	5	38.5%	5.2	8.3	3	0	3	0
Madison	10	4	7	7	12	0.216	13	15.8	1	7.7%	1.2	14.6	5	5	0	0
Martin	52	66	68	77	76	0.105	78	86.2	11	14.1%	12.2	74.0	23	23	0	0
McDowell	26	25	28	23	31	0.063	30	31.9	2	6.7%	2.1	29.8	9	9	0	0
Mecklenburg	625	605	702	742	813	0.070	848	907.6	71	8.4%	76.0	831.6	260	250	Surplus of 10	0*
Mitchell	6	10	9	12	7	0.121	5	5.6	1	20.0%	1.1	4.5	1	0	1	0
Montgomery	50	40	42	40	37	-0.068	36	33.5	1	2.8%	0.9	32.6	10	12	Surplus of 2	0
Moore	84	97	117	115	112	0.079	116	125.2	10	8.6%	10.8	114.4	36	43	Surplus of 7	0
Nash	108	117	123	131	139	0.065	125	133.1	6	4.8%	6.4	126.8	40	52	Surplus of 12	0
New Hanover	150	155	140	149	154	0.009	153	154.3	16	10.5%	16.1	138.2	43	57	Surplus of 14	0
Northampton	51	52	58	59	64	0.059	64	67.8	5	7.8%	5.3	62.5	20	14	6	0
Onslow	97	101	108	110	105	0.021	112	114.3	8	7.1%	8.2	106.2	33	35	Surplus of 2	0
Orange	78	76	85	109	103	0.080	101	109.1	4	4.0%	4.3	104.8	33	36	Surplus of 3	0
Pamlico	16	19	16	14	14	-0.024	15	14.6	0	0.0%	0.0	14.6	5	0	5	0
Pasquotank	49	50	46	54	54	0.029	50	51.4	6	12.0%	6.2	45.3	14	16	Surplus of 2	0
Pender	52	53	61	63	62	0.047	59	61.8	9	15.3%	9.4	52.3	16	18	Surplus of 2	0
Perquimans	13	12	13	12	12	-0.018	15	14.7	1	6.7%	1.0	13.8	4	0	4	0
Person	59	60	69	69	76	0.067	84	89.6	2	2.4%	2.1	87.5	27	20	7	0
Pitt	188	204	206	241	262	0.088	274	298.1	27	9.9%	29.4	268.7	84	91	Surplus of 7	0
Polk	13	14	18	17	13	0.018	16	16.3	4	25.0%	4.1	12.2	4	0	4	0
Randolph	76	73	101	104	111	0.110	107	118.8	8	7.5%	8.9	109.9	34	37	Surplus of 3	0

* Pursuant to Step (1)(E) of the County Need Methodology, the need determination is zero because a facility in this county was operating below 80% utilization (see "Utilization Rates" in Table A).

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/1996	12/31/1999	12/31/2000	12/31/2001	12/31/2002	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	6/30/03 Total Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 Total Patients	6/30/2003 Home Patients	% Home Patients	6/30/2003 Home Patients	6/30/2004 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2004 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/04 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Richmond	76	75	69	76	75	-0.001	81	80.9	6	7.4%	6	6.0	74.9	23	21	2	0
Robeson	191	201	223	255	267	0.088	263	286.2	22	8.4%	22	23.9	262.2	82	73	9	0
Rockingham	126	139	152	144	156	0.057	155	163.8	5	3.2%	5	5.3	158.5	50	53	Surplus of 3	0
Rowan	125	118	131	129	119	-0.010	130	128.7	21	16.2%	21	20.8	107.9	34	37	Surplus of 3	0
Rutherford	60	57	67	69	68	0.035	80	82.8	6	7.5%	6	6.2	76.6	24	22	2	0
Sampson	104	107	108	114	118	0.032	131	135.2	7	5.3%	7	7.2	128.0	40	39	1	0
Scotland	41	44	50	61	63	0.116	70	78.1	3	4.3%	3	3.3	74.7	23	26	Surplus of 3	0
Stanly	44	44	50	59	57	0.071	52	55.7	6	11.5%	6	6.4	49.2	15	18	Surplus of 3	0
Stokes	17	24	27	33	36	0.212	35	42.4	6	17.1%	6	7.3	35.2	11	11	0	0
Surry	62	59	51	62	73	0.052	74	77.9	9	12.2%	9	9.5	68.4	21	39	Surplus of 18	0
Swain	26	3	29	44	37	2.035	38	115.3	10	26.3%	10	30.4	85.0	27	14	13	0*
Transylvania	17	20	22	26	22	0.076	20	21.5	4	20.0%	4	4.3	17.2	5	0	5	0
Tyrrell	1	5	3	2	3	0.942	2	3.9	0	0.0%	0	0.0	3.9	1	0	1	0
Union	91	88	100	105	121	0.076	120	129.2	12	10.0%	12	12.9	116.3	36	45	Surplus of 9	0
Vance	101	108	112	120	126	0.057	120	126.8	5	4.2%	5	5.3	121.5	38	35	3	0
Wake	438	471	509	557	621	0.091	621	677.7	77	12.4%	77	84.0	593.7	186	185	1	0
Warren	24	32	34	38	42	0.155	38	43.9	0	0.0%	0	0.0	43.9	14	10	4	0
Washington	27	30	35	32	34	0.064	34	36.2	2	5.9%	2	2.1	34.0	11	11	0	0
Watauga	15	18	21	17	26	0.176	22	25.9	3	13.6%	3	3.5	22.4	7	12	Surplus of 5	0
Wayne	230	226	226	239	220	-0.010	223	220.8	20	9.0%	20	19.8	201.0	63	68	Surplus of 5	0
Wilkes	31	36	40	46	53	0.144	54	61.8	9	16.7%	9	10.3	51.5	16	16	0	0
Wilson	125	124	137	157	160	0.065	164	174.7	20	12.2%	20	21.3	153.4	48	46	2	0
Yadkin	12	18	22	19	26	0.239	26	32.2	4	15.4%	4	5.0	27.2	9	0	9	0
Yancey	12	12	9	8	13	0.066	12	12.8	3	25.0%	3	3.2	9.6	3	0	3	0
Unknowns	166	47	30	0	0		0		0								
State Totals	8,596	8,849	9,644	10,225	10,702		10,972		997		0					0	0

* Pursuant to Step 1(J)(E) of the County Need Methodology, the need determination is zero because a facility in this county was operating below 80% utilization (see "Utilization Rates" in Table A).

Table C: Need Determinations for New Dialysis Stations by County

(Based on the "County Need" Methodology -- January, 2004)

County	HSA	Number of New Dialysis Stations Needed	Certificate of Need Application Due Date *	Certificate of Need Beginning Review Date
Application of the "County Need" Methodology resulted in no dialysis station need determinations for the January 2004 Semiannual Dialysis Report.				

EXCERPT From the "2004 SMFP" Regarding an "Adjusted Need Determination"

In response to a petition submitted to the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council on behalf of the residents of McDowell County, the 2004 State Medical Facilities Plan includes an adjusted need determination for nine dialysis stations in McDowell County. The adjusted need determination is intended to allow development of a local facility in order to minimize travel for dialysis patients over hazardous mountain roads, particularly in adverse weather conditions.

Table 14A: Dialysis Station Adjusted Need Determination *

(Scheduled for Certificate of Need Review Commencing in 2004)

County	HSA	Number of Dialysis Stations Needed	Certificate of Need Application Due Date **	Certificate of Need Beginning Review Date
McDowell	I	9	March 15, 2004	April 1, 2004

* Need Determinations for all other counties will be calculated in accordance with the methodologies provided in this Chapter and will be presented in the "North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports."

** Application Due Dates are absolute deadlines. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute (see Chapter 3).

