OVERVIEW OF OPERATING ROOM PETITIONS

2008-2016

PETITION PROCESS

Agency submits report to Acute Care Services Committee

- Planner prepares
- Consults with Section/Division

Committee recommends to SHCC

- Approves or denies Agency recommendation
- In full or part

SHCC recommends

- Approves or denies Committee recommendation
- In full or part
- Incorporated into SMFP

Governor decides

- May change SHCC recommendation
- Recommendation not official until gubernatorial action

TYPES OF PETITIONS

- Spring Petitions (n=10)
 - Policy
 - Methodology
 - Statewide impact

- Summer Petitions (25)
 - Adjustments to need determinations published in the Proposed SMFP (July 1)
 - Affect specific service area

SPECIAL PURPOSE PETITIONS

- Single Specialty Ambulatory Surgical Facility Demonstration Project
 - Implemented in 2010 SMFP
 - 16 petitions regarding
 - Issues that led to need for demonstration
 - Development of criteria
 - Selection of service areas
 - SHCC has not approved any petitions submitted since criteria were established.
 - Demonstration will be evaluated fully after each of the 3 demonstration facilities have submitted 5 annual reports (summer of 2019).
- Dental Operating Room Demonstration Project
 - Implemented 2016 SMFP
 - 3 petitions
 - All CONs not yet issued

FOUR PETITIONS ADDRESSED OR METHODOLOGY

- Two approved recommendations incorporated into methodology
 - Classification of underutilized facilities
 - Rounding method for calculating need determination
- Two denied, but...
 - Service areas issue raised led to methodology change that resulted in threeyear cycle for review of service areas (based on Summer petition)
 - General overhaul of methodology SHCC acknowledged need to review methodology

EIGHT ADJUSTED NEED PETITIONS

Seven requested additional ORs

- Two approved
 - Randolph: 2008
 - Catawba: 2010
- Five denied
 - Wake [2]: 2010, 2012
 - Watauga: 2011
 - New Hanover: 2014
 - Catawba: 2016

One requested removal of OR need

- Approved
 - Rowan: 2010

PETITION TO REMOVE NEED

- Rowan 2010
 - Remove 1 OR
 - Utilization decreased
 - Time per case is less than times used in OR methodology

APPROVED ADJUSTED NEED PETITIONS

Randolph County, 2008

- Randolph Hospital: 3 ORs-Approved for 2
- Many residents go outside the county for surgery.
- Previous rounding in methodology disadvantaged the county. (There was no rounding based on number of ORs in service area; .50 was minimum deficit to trigger need.)
 - Led to methodology enhancement

Catawba County, 2010

- Graystone Ophthalmology: 1 OR
- Demand and population increasing.
- "Underutilized" facility prevents need determination, but other facilities are at or near capacity.
 - Facility did not yet meet official definition to be excluded from methodology.

DENIED ADJUSTED NEED PETITIONS

Pediatric ORs – Wake County: 2010, 2012

- WakeMed.
 - 2010 4 ORs, 2012 2 ORs
- Different service/physical plant needs for pediatric patients.
- ORs will exceed capacity in 3 years.
- Truven data used to support the petition could not identify whether procedures were performed in licensed ORs or in procedure rooms.

ORs for unique service area – Watauga County: 2011

- Boone Surgcare. 3 ORs in Watauga County to serve 6-county area (Ashe, Avery, Alleghany, Caldwell, Watauga, Wilkes)
- Growth of permanent and temporary population of region.
- Agency found a surplus of ORs in service areas listed in petition.

DENIED ADJUSTED NEED PETITIONS (CONT'D.)

New Hanover, 2014

- Wilmington Health. 2 ORs.
- Service area was very close to triggering need.
- 2 CON-approved ORs had just been completed.
- SHCC decided to wait and see utilization of the new 2 ORs before approving an increase in the number of ORs in the county.

Catawba County, 2016

- Graystone Ophthalmology. 1 OR.
- Catawba County is regional hub for ambulatory surgery.
- SHCC found that considerable capacity exists in the county.