Technology and Equipment Committee Meeting

Linear Accelerator Material

April 29, 2009

Radiation Oncology Services - Linear Accelerators

Introduction

The methodology incorporates a geographic accessibility criterion (a population base of 120,000), a criterion aimed at assuring efficient use of megavoltage radiation facilities (when Equivalent Simple Treatment Visit (ESTV) procedures divided by 6,750 minus the number of present linear accelerators equals .25+), and a patient origin criterion that indicates when a service area has 45 percent or more of the patients coming from outside the service area. A need determination is generated when two of the three criteria are met within a service area.

Counties are the basic units for the formation of radiation oncology linear accelerator service areas, based on proximity, utilization patterns, and patient origin data. A small percentage of the population lives some distance from an existing radiation oncology facility a linear accelerator, but the sparsity of population in and around these areas does not provide the population required to support a radiation oncology facility linear accelerator.

The statewide average number of procedures per accelerator as shown in Table 9E is 4,973.

Radiation Therapy Assessment -- Linear Accelerators

Radiation therapy (megavoltage radiation) is used in the treatment of about half of all cancers. Its users seek to destroy cancer cells with ionizing radiation while limiting damage to non-cancerous tissue. <u>Linear accelerators</u> are now the instruments of choice because most are capable of producing either electron or photon beams at variable energy levels.

In the 2009 Hospital Licensure Renewal Applications, which reflect 2008 data, there are 14 linear accelerators in nine different locations in North Carolina reported as being operational and providing stereotactic radiosurgery treatment: Carolinas Medical Center (115 procedures); CMC-NorthEast (240 CyberKnife procedures); Duke University Hospital (272 procedures on two linear accelerators); Memorial Mission Hospital (339 CyberKnife procedures); Pitt County Memorial Hospital (_______ procedures on two linear accelerators, reported as being owned by Brody School of Medicine); UNC Hospitals (381 procedures on four linear accelerators configured for stereotactic radiosurgery, including one CyberKnife); Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center (_______ procedures on one linear accelerator configured for stereotactic radiosurgery); Forsyth Memorial Hospital (73 procedures on one linear accelerator configured for stereotactic radiosurgery); and North Carolina Radiation Therapy Management Services in Asheville (five procedures on one linear accelerator configured for stereotactic radiosurgery).

In recent years, radiation therapy has been offered increasingly in comprehensive oncology programs where medical oncologists and hematologists also offer chemotherapy. Most such programs are associated with general hospitals, but some are freestanding. Some programs offering <u>only</u> radiation therapy, or <u>only</u> chemotherapy, may refer to themselves as oncology centers. A new radiation oncology facility, with necessary equipment, usually costs about \$2,000,000.

Assessment - Radiation Oncology Programs

In addition to a linear accelerator, every radiation oncology program uses a treatment simulator to aid in treatment planning, a computer for calculating dosages, and devices for cutting blocks to protect non-targeted areas from radiation. One simulator, which is the most expensive of these additional items (\$200,000 - \$400,000), can serve a facility with three linear accelerators or serve multiple facilities with linear accelerators. The specialized staff who operate and maintain this equipment, including a required radiation physicist, are more efficiently utilized in facilities with more than one linear accelerator.

Presently, existing radiation oncology programs are reasonably convenient to the population of the state. The high cost of establishing new programs and the possibilities for achieving further equipment and staff economies of scale are critical considerations in evaluating the need for new radiation oncology treatment center programs.

Assessment - Linear Accelerators

There are 70 hospitals and freestanding oncology treatment centers statewide in North Carolina with 114 linear accelerators that are operational, have a CON in hand, or for which there is a prior year need determination.

The utilization methodology used calls for data gathering that is uniform. There are radiation treatments of varying complexity, and the concept of ESTV is used. ESTVs are recommended by the American College of Radiology. In addition, ESTVs were recommended as part of the comments during public hearings when the original methodology was developed.

The data gathering survey that the Medical Facilities Planning Section sends out to the providers has changed the manner in which procedures are counted. This survey asks for the procedures by CPT codes and shows the equivalent ESTVs. The hospitals and free-standing centers have responded well in giving procedures that can be translated into ESTV totals.

Basic Assumptions of the Methodology

A linear accelerator's service area is the linear accelerator planning area in which the linear accelerator is located. Linear accelerator planning areas are the 27 multi-county groupings defined in Table 9G. In determining whether an additional linear accelerator is needed in a service area, three principal questions must be addressed:

- 1. Are the linear accelerators in existing radiation oncology centers a linear accelerator service area performing greater than 6,750 procedures (ESTVs) per accelerator per year?
- 2. Is the population that lives in a radiation oncology linear accelerator service area sufficiently great to support the addition of another accelerator (population per accelerator greater than 120,000 a figure suggested by the Inter-Society Council for Radiation Oncology)?
- 3. Does the patient origin data show that over 45 percent of the patients come from outside the service area?

Patient origin data is requested in order to establish service areas, and the vast majority of the facilities have responded with the patient origin data.

To examine the second and third questions, radiation oncology linear accelerator service areas are delineated, including in each area the counties that are closest to each radiation oncology program or cluster of programs. A cluster of programs is defined as all of the programs in a single county a linear accelerator. Two exceptions were employed in applying this method:

- a. Where patient origin data indicate a county's primary use of a program linear accelerator that is not the closest, the county is aligned with the radiation oncology area linear accelerator county where most or a plurality of its citizens go for hospital care linear accelerator services. Example: Alleghany to Forsyth
- b. When a program is one that linear accelerator county has a population too small to support it, the area for that program that county is combined with an adjacent area in which the smaller program's base county's patient origin data indicates that county to which a sizable percentage of patients go for linear accelerator services, according to the base county's patient origin data. to the adjacent programs. Example: (Haywood Buncombe)

Data regarding each of the radiation oncology linear accelerator service areas of North Carolina were organized so as to examine each of the questions noted above.

Methodology for Determining Need

The methodology incorporates a geographic accessibility criterion (population base of 120,000), a criterion aimed at assuring efficient use of megavoltage radiation facilities (when ESTV Procedures divided by 6,750 minus the number of present linear accelerators equals .25+), and a patient origin criterion (when a service area has 45 percent or more of the patients coming from outside the service area). A need determination is generated when two of the three criteria are met within a service area.

An additional criterion has been incorporated into the methodology based on a petition. Any county that has a population of 120,000 or more and does not have a recognized linear accelerator shall have a need for one linear accelerator and the county shall become a separate Linear Accelerator Service Area.

The standard methodology used for determining need for linear accelerators is calculated as follows:

Criterion 1:

Step 1. Using the 2009 NC population estimate obtained from the North Carolina Office of State Budget and Management, sum the population estimates for counties that comprise each linear accelerator service area to determine the population for linear accelerator service areas.

- Step 2. For each linear accelerator service area, sum the number of operational linear accelerators acquired in accordance with G.S. 131E-175, et. seq., the number of approved linear accelerators not yet operational but for which a CON has been awarded, and the linear accelerator need determinations from previous SMFPs.
- Step 3. Divide the service area population by the result of Step 2 to determine the population residing in the service area per linear accelerator. If the result is greater than or equal to 120,000 per linear accelerator, Criterion 1 is satisfied.

Criterion 2:

- Step 4. Using patient origin data reported on the 2009 Hospital License Renewal Applications and Linear Accelerator Registration and Inventory Forms for linear accelerators, for each service area, count the number of patients who were served on linear accelerators located in the service area, and who reside in a county outside the service area.
- Step 5. For each service area, divide the results of Step 4 by the total number of patients served on linear accelerators located in the service area. If more than 45 percent of total patients served on linear accelerators located in a service area reside outside the service area, then Criterion 2 is satisfied.

Criterion 3:

- Step 6. For each linear accelerator service area, sum the number of ESTV procedures performed on the linear accelerators located in the service area as reported in each provider's 2009 Hospital License Renewal Application or Linear Accelerator Registration and Inventory Form.
- Step 7. Divide the results of Step 6 by the number of linear accelerators in the service area which are counted in Step 2 to determine the average number of ESTV procedures performed per linear accelerator in each linear accelerator service area.
- Step 8. Divide the results of Step 7 by 6,750 ESTV procedures.
- Step 9. Subtract the number of linear accelerators in the service area counted in Step 2 from the results of Step 8. If the difference is greater than or equal to positive 0.25, Criterion 3 is satisfied.
- Step 10. If any two of the above three criteria are satisfied in a linear accelerator service area, a need is determined for one additional linear accelerator in that service area.

Criterion 4:

Step 11.Regardless of the results of Steps 1-10 above, if a county has a population of 120,000 or more and there is not a linear accelerator counted in Step 2 for that county, a need is determined for one linear accelerator for that county. As a result, the county becomes a separate, new linear accelerator service area.

Linear Accelerator Need Determination

It was suggested by some radiation oncologists in 2006 that CPT Code 77427, weekly radiation therapy management, not be counted in the totals of freestanding radiation oncology centers. The advice was accepted in 2006 for the <u>North Carolina 2007 State Medical Facilities</u> <u>Plan</u>, and the procedure counts for CPT Code 77427 were removed from the totals. The

procedure counts for CPT Code 77427 also have been removed from Table 9E in this <u>Proposed</u> North Carolina 2010 State Medical Facilities Plan.

In the <u>North Carolina 2008 State Medical Facilities Plan</u>, in response to a petitioner's request for an adjustment to the need determination for linear accelerators, there was an adjusted need determination for one linear accelerator in Linear Accelerator Service Area 18. The need determination did not specify certain configurations or specifications. As Table 9F indicates, there are two service areas where the threshold equals .25+; however, there is no need determination for Service Areas 17 and 19 because these service areas do not meet the criterion of a population base of 120,000 per linear accelerator. In response to a petition, there was included in the <u>North Carolina 2009 State Medical Facilities Plan</u> a statewide need determination for one dedicated linear accelerator that shall be part of a demonstration project for a model multidisciplinary prostate health center focused on the treatment of prostate cancer, particularly in African American men.

Through the regular need determination methodology, it is determined that there is no need for an additional linear accelerator anywhere else in the state.

| Service Area | 2009 Civilian Population | Accelerators | Population within Service Area Per Accelerator | Percentage of Patients from Outside the Service Area | 2007-2008 ESTV Procedures | Procedures Per Accelerator | ESTV Procedures Divided by 6750 Minus # of Accelerators | NEED Deter- mination |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Area 1 | 133,777 | 2 | 66,889 | 1.72% | 6,223 | 3,112 | -1.08 | * |
| Area 2 | 390,739 | 7 | 55,820 | 21.32% | 37,634 | 5,376 | -1.42 | * |
| Area 3 | 90,427 | 1 | 90,427 | 6.29% | 4,005 | 4,005 | -0.41 | * |
| Area 4 | 156,733 | 3 | 52,244 | 12.93% | 10,589 | 3,530 | -1.43 | * |
| Area 5 | 363,074 | 6 | 60,512 | 15.29% | 21,170 | 3,528 | -2.86 | * |
| Area 6 | 442,271 | 5 | 88,454 | 3.07% | 23,337 | 4,667 | -1.54 | * |
| Area 7 | 1,146,032 | 11 | 104,185 | 12.09% | 58,743 | 5,340 | -2.30 | * |
| Area 8 | 297,958 | 4 | 74,490 | 17.03% | 20,263 | 5,066 | -1.00 | * |
| Area 9 | 235,292 | 3 | 78,431 | 27.14% | 17,558 | 5,853 | -0.40 | * |
| Area 10 | 629,269 | 9 | 69,919 | 27.19% | 49,891 | 5,543 | -1.61 | * |
| Area 11 | 158,855 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Area 12 | 567,337 | 7 | 81,048 | 24.22% | 41,561 | 5,937 | -0.84 | * |
| Area 13 | 141,696 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Area 14** | 192,495 | 4 | 48,124 | 74.64% | 22,414 | 5,603 | -0.68 | * |
| Area 15 | 170,348 | 2 | 85,174 | 7.08% | 9,700 | 4,850 | -0.56 | * |
| Area 16 | 422,621 | 7 | 60,374 | 27.96% | 45,784 | 6,541 | -0.22 | * |
| Area 17* | 303,465 | 3 | 101,155 | 16.61% | 24,467 | 8,156 | 0.62 | * |
| Area 18 | 545,707 | 7 | 77,958 | 14.09% | 30,409 | 4,344 | -2.49 | * |
| Area 19* | 415,820 | 4 | 103,955 | 12.94% | 34,492 | 8,623 | 1.11 | * |
| Area 20 | 1,068,619 | 8 | 133,577 | 16.10% | 42,028 | 5,254 | -1.77 | |
| Area 21 | 167,849 | 2 | 83,925 | 39.77% | 3,706 | 1,853 | -1.45 | |
| Area 22 | 227,753 | 2 | 113,877 | 12.21% | 12,866 | 6,433 | -0.09 | * |
| Area 23 | 186,014 | 3 | 62,005 | 22.27% | 16,933 | 5,644 | -0.49 | * |
| Area 24 | 173,460 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Area 25 | 300,550 | 4 | 75,138 | 7.57% | 16,552 | 4,138 | -1.55 | * |
| Area 26 | 311,418 | 5 | 62,284 | 3.42% | 9,716 | 1,943 | -3.56 | * |
| Area 27 | 157,818 | 2 | 78,909 | 1.77% | 7,019 | 3,510 | -0.96 | * |
| Totals | 9,397,397 | 114 | 82,433 | | 567,056 | 4,974 | -29.99 | 0 |

Table 9F: Linear Accelerator Service Areas and Calculations

* Service Area does not have 120,000 base population per accelerator

** Area 14 has more than 45% of its patients coming from outside its service area

| | Table 9G: Linear Acc | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Area | County | | 2009 |
| | | | Total |
| | | | Population |
| 1 | Cherokee | | 27,958 |
| | Clay | | 10,800 |
| | Graham | | 8,206 |
| | Jackson | | 37,731 |
| | Macon | | 34,808 |
| | Swain | | 14,274 |
| | | TOTAL | 133,777 |
| 2 | Buncombe | | 232,718 |
| | Haywood | | 57,666 |
| | Madison | | 20,778 |
| | McDowell | | 44,709 |
| | Mitchell | | 15,948 |
| | Yancey | | 18,920 |
| | 5 | TOTAL | 390,739 |
| 3 | Ashe | - | 26,560 |
| - | Avery | | 18,395 |
| | Watauga | | 45,472 |
| | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | TOTAL | 90,427 |
| 4 | Henderson | 101112 | 106,214 |
| | Polk | | 19,099 |
| | Transylvania | | 31,420 |
| | Thuisyivaina | TOTAL | 156,733 |
| 5 | Alexander | IOIML | 37,372 |
| 5 | Burke | | 88,460 |
| | Caldwell | | 80,052 |
| | Catawba | | 157,190 |
| | Catawba | TOTAL | 363,074 |
| 6 | Rutherford | IUIAL | 62,842 |
| 0 | Cleveland | | 97,104 |
| | Gaston | | 206,538 |
| | Lincoln | | 75,787 |
| | Elicolii | TOTAL | 442,271 |
| 7 | Mecklenburg | IUIAL | 919,372 |
| / | Anson | | 24,994 |
| | Union | | 201,666 |
| | Сшон | TOTAL | 1,146,032 |
| 8 | Iredell | IUIAL | 159,443 |
| 0 | Rowan | | 138,515 |
| | Kowali | TOTAL | 297,958 |
| 9 | Cabarrus | IUIAL | 175,821 |
| 9 | | | |
| | Stanly | TOTAL | 59,471 |
| 10 | Doroth | TOTAL | 235,292 |
| 10 | Forsyth | | 349,449 |
| | Davie | | 42,171 |
| | Stokes | | 46,596 |
| | Surry | | 73,876 |
| | Wilkes | | 67,509 |
| | Alleghany | | 11,256 |
| | Yadkin | | 38,412 |
| | | TOTAL | 629,269 |

 Table 9G:
 Linear Accelerator Service Areas

| County | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| county | | | |
| | | | |
| Davidson | | <u>.</u> | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Rockingham | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| Randolnh | IUIAL | , | |
| | | - | |
| | | | |
| Oldlige | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| Alamance | IOIIIL | | |
| | | | |
| Caswell | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| Durham | IUIAL | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | , | |
| w arren | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| Mooro | IUIAL | - | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Kiciiiioliu | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| Cumborland | IUIAL | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sampson | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| New Hanover | IUIAL | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| r cliuci | ΤΟΤΑΙ | | |
| Walsa | IUIAL | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Harnett | TOTAL | | |
| Inhuston | IUIAL | | |
| | | - | |
| | | | |
| - | | | |
| vv aylie | ТОТАТ | | |
| Crowon | IUIAL | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Pamlico | | | |
| rainiico | | 12,963 | |
| | TOTAL | 186,014 | |
| | County County County County County County Conting Conting Conting Content Cont | DavidsonGuilford RockinghamTOTALRandolphChatham OrangeOrangeTOTALAlamance CaswellCaswellDurham GranvilleGranville PersonVance WarrenWarrenMoore Hoke LeeMontgomery Scotland RichmondCumberland Bladen Robeson SampsonCumberland Bladen Robeson SampsonMow Hanover Brunswick Columbus PenderNew Hanover Brunswick Columbus PenderWake Franklin HarnettWake Franklin HarnettJohnstonCraven Carteret JonesCarteret JonesJones | Total Population Davidson 158,855 Guilford 475,826 Rockingham 91,511 TOTAL 567,337 Randolph 141,696 Chatham 61,869 Orange 130,626 TOTAL 192,495 Alamance 146,889 Caswell 23,459 TOTAL 170,348 Durham 263,857 Granville 57,127 Person 38,145 Vance 43,641 Warren 19,851 TOTAL 422,621 Moore 86,942 Hoke 46,099 Lee 58,606 Montgomery 27,852 Scotland 37,038 Richmond 46,928 TOTAL 303,465 Cumberland 315,955 Bladen 32,531 Robeson 131,368 Sampson 65,853 TOTAL 543,783 |

 Table 9G:
 Linear Accelerator Service Areas

| Area | County | 2009 |
|------|-------------|------------|
| | | Total |
| | | Population |
| 25 | Nash | 94,723 |
| | Edgecombe | 50,748 |
| | Northampton | 21,123 |
| | Halifax | 54,684 |
| | Wilson | 79,272 |
| | TOTAL | 300,550 |
| 26 | Pitt | 158,197 |
| | Beaufort | 46,431 |
| | Bertie | 19,729 |
| | Greene | 21,353 |
| | Hertford | 23,762 |
| | Hyde | 5,325 |
| | Martin | 23,514 |
| | Washington | 13,107 |
| | TOTAL | 311,418 |
| 27 | Pasquotank | 42,753 |
| | Camden | 10,249 |
| | Chowan | 14,856 |
| | Currituck | 25,158 |
| | Dare | 34,822 |
| | Gates | 12,353 |
| | Perquimans | 13,301 |
| | Tyrrell | 4,326 |
| | TOTAL | 157,818 |

 Table 9G:
 Linear Accelerator Service Areas

| CPT | | Current ESTV | Dr. Willett, | | | Cancer Centers | |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Code | CPT Code Description | Multiplier | Duke University | Novant 1 | Novant 2 | of NC | SERO* |
| Guidanc | e and Planning | | | | | | |
| 76950 | Ultrasound Guidance | N/A | | | | 0.50 | |
| 77014 | Computed tomography guidance for placement of radiation therapy fields (Cone-Beam CT) | N/A | 0.75 | Delete & increase treatment delivery code values | 2.00 | 0.50 | |
| 77280- L | Simple Simulation performed on a Linear Accelerator (-L) | N/A | | | | 2.00 | |
| 77417 | Additional field check radiographs | 0.5 | Delete here & increase treatment delivery CPT codes | Delete & increase treatment delivery code values | 0.50 | 0.50 | Delete |
| 77421 | Stereoscopic X-ray Guidance for localization of target volume for the delivery of radiation therapy | N/A | | Delete & increase treatment delivery code values | 1.00 | 0.50 | |
| Treatme | nt Delivery | | | | | | |
| 77371 | Radiation treatment delivery, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS),complete course of treatment of cranial lesion(s) consisting of 1 session; multisource Cobalt 60 based (Gamma Knife) | N/A | | | 3.00 | 5.00 | |
| 77372 | Radiation treatment delivery, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), complete course of treatment of cranial lesion(s) consisting of 1 session;linear accelerator | N/A | 4.00 (Duke uses G0339 robotic code for SRS 1 fraction) | | 7.00 (Novant lists G0173 with this) | 4.00 | |
| 77373 | Stereotactic body radiation therapy, treatment delivery, per fraction to 1 or more lesions, including image guidance, entire course not to exceed 5 fractions ** | N/A | 3.00 (Duke uses G0340 robotic code for 2-5 fractions) | | 6.00 (imaging not included) | 3.00 | |
| G0339 | (Image-guided) robotic linear accelerator- based stereotactic radiosurgery in one session or first fraction | | | | 7.00 (imaging not included) | | |

Summary of Suggestions from the 04/09/2008 Linear Accelerator Discussion Group (new items in italics)

| CPT | | Current ESTV | Dr. Willett, | | | Cancer Centers | |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Code | CPT Code Description | Multiplier | Duke University | Novant 1 | Novant 2 | of NC | SERO* |
| | (Image-guided) robotic linear accelerator- based stereotactic radiosurgery, fractionated treatment, 2nd-5th fraction | Manupher | | | 6.00 (imaging not included) | | <u>BERG</u> |
| None Given | Stereotactic radiotherapy (SRT) procedures, cranial or body | N/A | | | | | 3.50 |
| | Total body irradiation | 2.50 | | 2.50 | 2.50 | | |
| | Hemibody irradiation | 2.00 | | 0.00 | Delete | Delete | Delete |
| | Intraoperative radiation therapy (conducted by bringing the anesthetized patient down to the linac) | 10.00 | | 10.00 | 10.00 | | Delete |
| | Neutron and proton radiation therapy | 2.00 | Delete | 2.00 | 2.00 | | Delete |
| | Limb salvage irradiation | 1.00 | Delete; include with Complex Treatments | 1.00 | 1.00 | Delete | No separate designation |
| | Pediatric Patient under anesthesia | 1.50 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | | 2.00 |
| | Adult Patient under anesthesia | | | 1.50 | 2.00 | | 2.00 |
| | Simple Treatment Delivery: | | Delete 77417 & increase value of treatment delivery CPT codes | Delete 77417 & increase value of treatment delivery CPT codes | Keep 77417 at 0.50 and treatment delivery codes at 1.00 | | |
| 77401 | Radiation treatment delivery | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | | 1.15 |
| | Radiation treatment delivery (<=5 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | | 1.15 |
| | Radiation treatment delivery (6-10 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77404 | Radiation treatment delivery (11-19 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77406 | Radiation treatment delivery (>=20 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| | Intermediate Treatment Delivery: | | Delete 77417 & increase value of treatment delivery CPT codes | Delete 77417 & increase value of treatment delivery CPT codes | Keep 77417 at 0.50 and treatment delivery codes at 1.00 | | |
| 77407 | Radiation treatment delivery (<=5 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |

Summary of Suggestions from the 04/09/2008 Linear Accelerator Discussion Group (new items in italics)

| | Summary of Suggestions from t | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| CPT | | Current ESTV | Dr. Willett, | | | Cancer Centers | |
| Code | CPT Code Description | Multiplier | Duke University | Novant 1 | Novant 2 | of NC | SERO* |
| 77408 | Radiation treatment delivery (6-10 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77409 | Radiation treatment delivery (11-19 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77411 | Radiation treatment delivery (>=20 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| | Complex Treatment Delivery: | | Delete 77417 & | Delete 77417 & | Keep 77417 | | |
| | | | increase value of | increase value of | at 0.50 and | | |
| | | | treatment delivery CPT | treatment delivery | treatment | | |
| | | | codes | CPT codes | delivery | | |
| | | | | | codes at 1.00 | | |
| 77412 | Radiation treatment delivery (<=5 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77413 | Radiation treatment delivery (6-10 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77414 | Radiation treatment delivery (11-19 MeV) | 1.00 | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77416 | Radiation treatment delivery ($\geq 20 \text{ MeV}$) | 1.00 | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| 77418 | Intensity modulated radiation treatment (IMRT) | 1.00 | 2.00 | CPT 77421 or | Have 77421 | 2.00 | 1.50 |
| | delivery, single or multiple fields/arcs, via | | | 77014 not listed | at 1.00 & | | |
| | narrow spatially and temporally modulated | | | separately, increase | | | |
| | beams, binary, dynamic MLC, per treatment | | | value of 77418 to | 2.00, and | | |
| | session | | | 1.50 | 77418 at | | |
| Treatme | nt/Therapy Management | | | | 1.25 | | |
| | Weekly radiation therapy management | Procedures not | | | | Delete | |
| ,,,,_, | i comp rusiation alorapy management | counted | | | | | |
| 77432 | Stereotactic radiation treatment management of | 3.00 | | CPT 77421 or | | Delete | 5.50 |
| | cranial lesion(s) (complete course of treatment | | | 77014 included in | | | |
| | consisting of one session) Linear Accelerator | | | treatment delivery | | | |
| | - | | | CPT codes | | | |
| 77432 | Stereotactic radiation treatment management of | 3.00 | | 3.50 3.00 | | Delete | 5.50 |
| | cranial lesion(s) (complete course of treatment | 5.00 | | 5.00 | | Dente | 5.50 |
| | consisting of one session) Gamma Knife | | | | | | |
| | consisting of one session) Gamma Kille | | | | | | |
| * GED O I | | 1 1 6 1 20 000 | 12 100.000 | | | | |

Summary of Suggestions from the 04/09/2008 Linear Accelerator Discussion Group (new items in italics)

* SERO Proposal also included: (1) Reduce the population standard from 120,000 per linac to 108,000 people per linac; and (2) Create an equivalent linac with the following formula: $0.4 + 0.6 \times (1.00 - [(\#SRS \times 5.5 + \#SRT \times 3.5) / (\#SRS \times 5.5 + \#SRT \times 3.5 + \#SRT \times 1.15).$

** 77373 (Do not report 77373 in conjunction with 77401-77416,77418)