A recertification and complaint investigation survey was conducted on 9/17/2019 through 9/20/2019. The facility was found in compliance with CFR 483.73, Emergency Preparedness, Event ID 95EK11.

An unannounced recertification and complaint investigation was conducted on 9/17/2019 through 9/20/2019. There were a total of twelve allegations, all of which were unsubstantiated. Event ID 95EK11.

§483.20(g) Accuracy of Assessments. The assessment must accurately reflect the resident's status. This REQUIREMENT is not met as evidenced by:

Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to accurately code the Minimum Data Set (MDS) related to prognosis of life for 6 of 8 residents who received hospice care (Residents #3, #8, #12, #15, #58 and #59). Additionally, the facility failed to code 1 of 3 sampled residents (Resident #7) with a Level II Preadmission Screen Resident Review and having an intellectual disability.

The findings included:

1. Resident #3 was admitted to the facility on 10/17/17. Resident #3 was admitted to hospice services on 12/22/17. Review of Resident #3's hospice recertification

2. The MDS assessments related to prognosis of life for Residents #3, 8, 12, 15, 58, & 59 were immediately corrected on 9/19/19 to accurately reflect prognosis of life. The MDS assessment for Resident #7 was also immediately corrected on 9/19/19 to reflect a Level II PASRR.

3. An audit was completed by the MDS Coordinator on 9/19/19 on all residents receiving hospice services and/or having a level II PASRR. No other errors were identified in MDS coding.
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1. Patient #3 had a diagnosis of cancer with a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course. The physician certified: "Based on the patient's diagnosis and current condition, I expect this patient has a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course, and hereby certify this patient for hospice care."

Review of Resident #3's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 12/27/18 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #3 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #3's quarterly MDS dated 03/28/19 revealed Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #3 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #3's quarterly MDS dated 06/27/19 revealed Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #3 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #3's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #3's prognosis of life.

### Provider's Plan of Correction

**(EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)**

2. Resident #8 was admitted to the facility on 09/20/19, and education was provided on 9/20/2019 to the MDS Coordinator on completing the MDS to accurately reflect the resident's status.

4. The MDS Coordinator and/or designee will monitor all MDS assessments once monthly to ensure accuracy of coding related to hospice care and level II PASRR for three consecutive months. Results will be reviewed and discussed in the monthly Quality Assurance Performance Improvement Committee meetings. The Quality Assurance Committee will assess and modify the action plan as needed to ensure continued compliance.
F 641 Continued From page 2

05/10/19 and began hospice care on 08/09/19.

Review of Resident #8's significant change Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 08/16/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #8 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #8 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #8's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #8's prognosis of life.

3. Resident #12 was admitted to the facility on 10/30/18 and began hospice care on 08/16/19.

Review of Resident #12's significant change Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 08/23/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #12 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #12 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #12's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #12's prognosis.
### F 641

Continued from page 3 of life.

4. Resident #15 was admitted to the facility on 03/02/15 and began hospice care on 02/14/19.

Review of Resident #15's hospice recertification signed and dated by a physician on hospice admission, 03/06/19 and on 05/28/19 revealed the physician certified: "Based on the patient's diagnosis and current condition, I expect this patient has a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course, and hereby certify this patient for hospice care."

Review of Resident #15's significant change Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 02/28/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #15 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #15 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #15's quarterly MDS dated 05/30/19 revealed Resident #15 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #15 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #15's quarterly MDS dated 08/25/19 revealed Resident #15 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #15 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #15's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.
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Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #15's prognosis of life.

5. Resident #58 was admitted to the facility on 08/17/16 and began hospice care on 06/29/17.

Review of Resident #58's hospice recertification signed and dated by a physician on 03/19/19 and on 07/14/19 revealed the physician certified: "Based on the patient's diagnosis and current condition, I expect this patient has a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course, and hereby certify this patient for hospice care."

Review of Resident #58's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 05/14/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #58 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #58 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #58's quarterly MDS dated 08/13/19 revealed Resident #58 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #58 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #58's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should
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**Deficiency:** F 641

6. Resident #59 was admitted to the facility on 02/18/16 and admitted to hospice services on 08/02/18.

Review of Resident #59's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 08/13/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #59 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #59 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #59's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #59's prognosis of life.

7. Resident #7 was readmitted to the facility on 11/7/2018. His diagnoses included Down syndrome.

Resident #7's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 6/25/2019 revealed he had severe cognitive impairments. Review of Section A1500 (Preadmission Screening and Resident Review-PASRR) was coded "0" which indicated Resident #7 was considered by the state level II PASRR process to not have a serious mental illness and/or intellectual disability or related condition. Continued review of Section A1510 (Level II Preadmission Screening and Resident Review...
### SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES

(PASRR) Conditions did not code Resident #7 as having an intellectual disability. Further review of Section A1550 (Conditions Related to ID/DD Status) did not code Resident #7 as having Down Syndrome.

An interview was completed with the Social Worker on 9/19/2019 at 10:11 AM. The Social Worker revealed she was aware Resident #7 was a level II PASRR and had a diagnosis of down syndrome. The Social Worker explained she did not code sections A1500, A1510, and A1550 on the MDS assessment.

An interview was completed with MDS Coordinator on 9/19/2019 at 10:15 AM. The MDS Coordinator stated she was aware of Resident #7 and his diagnoses inclusive of Down syndrome. The MDS Coordinator expressed the coding for section A1500, A1510, and A1550 was an oversight and she would correct the assessment.

An interview was completed with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 9/19/2019 at 10:22 AM. The DON expressed her expectation was for the MDS to be coded accurately.

---
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**Event ID:** 95EK11  
**Facility ID:** 990226  
**If continuation sheet Page:** 7 of 7
A recertification survey and an on-site revisit was conducted on 09/17/19 through 09/20/19. Tag F 757 was corrected as of 09/20/19. However, new tags were cited as a result of the recertification survey that was conducted at the same time as the revisit. The facility remains out of compliance.
### E 000 Initial Comments

A recertification and complaint investigation survey was conducted on 9/17/2019 through 9/20/2019. The facility was found in compliance with CFR 483.73, Emergency Preparedness, Event ID 95EK11.

### F 000 INITIAL COMMENTS

An unannounced recertification and complaint investigation was conducted on 9/17/2019 through 9/20/2019. There were a total of twelve allegations, all of which were unsubstantiated. Event ID 95EK11.

### F 641 Accuracy of Assessments

CFR(s): 483.20(g)

§483.20(g) Accuracy of Assessments. The assessment must accurately reflect the resident's status. This REQUIREMENT is not met as evidenced by:

- Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to accurately code the Minimum Data Set (MDS) related to prognosis of life for 6 of 8 residents who received hospice care (Residents #3, #8, #12, #15, #58 and #59).
- Additionally, the facility failed to code 1 of 3 sampled residents (Resident #7) with a Level II Preadmission Screen Resident Review and having an intellectual disability.

The findings included:

1. Resident #3 was admitted to the facility on 10/17/17. Resident #3 was admitted to hospice services on 12/22/17.

Review of Resident #3's hospice recertification

---

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.
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|       | signed and dated by a physician on 03/19/19, 05/06/19 and on 07/14/19 revealed the physician certified: "Based on the patient's diagnosis and current condition, I expect this patient has a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course, and hereby certify this patient for hospice care."

Review of Resident #3's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 12/27/18 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #3 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #3's quarterly MDS dated 03/28/19 revealed Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #3 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #3's quarterly MDS dated 06/27/19 revealed Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #3 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #3's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #3's prognosis of life.

2. Resident #8 was admitted to the facility on
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<td>05/10/19 and began hospice care on 08/09/19. Review of Resident #8's significant change MDS dated 08/16/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #8 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #8 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months. Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #8's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error. Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #8's prognosis of life.</td>
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| 3. | Resident #12 was admitted to the facility on 10/30/18 and began hospice care on 08/16/19. Review of Resident #12's significant change MDS dated 08/23/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #12 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #12 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months. Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #12's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error. Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #12's prognosis |
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<td>4. Resident #15 was admitted to the facility on 03/02/15 and began hospice care on 02/14/19.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review of Resident #15's hospice recertification signed and dated by a physician on hospice admission, 03/06/19 and on 05/28/19 revealed the physician certified: &quot;Based on the patient's diagnosis and current condition, I expect this patient has a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course, and hereby certify this patient for hospice care.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review of Resident #15's significant change Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 02/28/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #15 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #15 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review of Resident #15's quarterly MDS dated 05/30/19 revealed Resident #3 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #15 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review of Resident #15's quarterly MDS dated 08/25/19 revealed Resident #15 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #15 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #15's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #15's prognosis of life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F 641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Resident #58 was admitted to the facility on 08/17/16 and began hospice care on 06/29/17.

Review of Resident #58's hospice recertification signed and dated by a physician on 03/19/19 and on 07/14/19 revealed the physician certified:

"Based on the patient's diagnosis and current condition, I expect this patient has a limited life expectancy of 6 months or less, if the terminal illness runs its normal course, and hereby certify this patient for hospice care."

Review of Resident #58's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 05/14/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #58 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #58 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Review of Resident #58's quarterly MDS dated 08/13/19 revealed Resident #58 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #58 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.

Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #58's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.

Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should
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<td>Continued From page 5 be accurate and reflect Resident #58's prognosis of life.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resident #59 was admitted to the facility on 02/18/16 and admitted to hospice services on 08/02/18.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review of Resident #59's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 08/13/19 revealed the MDS indicated Resident #59 received hospice care. The MDS indicated Resident #59 did not have a prognosis of life expectancy of less than 6 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview with the MDS Coordinator on 09/19/19 at 10:35 AM revealed Resident #59's prognosis should be marked less than 6 months. The MDS Coordinator explained the section for prognosis was coded in error.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 09/19/19 at 10:41 AM revealed the MDS should be accurate and reflect Resident #59's prognosis of life.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resident #7 was readmitted to the facility on 11/7/2018. His diagnoses included Down syndrome.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resident #7's annual Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 6/25/2019 revealed he had severe cognitive impairments. Review of Section A1500 (Preadmission Screening and Resident Review-PASRR) was coded &quot;0&quot; which indicated Resident #7 was considered by the state level II PASRR process to not have a serious mental illness and/or intellectual disability or related condition. Continued review of Section A1510 (Level II Preadmission Screening and Resident Review</td>
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<tr>
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<td>(PASRR) Conditions</td>
<td>did not code Resident #7 as having an intellectual disability. Further review of Section A1550 (Conditions Related to ID/ DD Status) did not code Resident #7 as having Down Syndrome.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interview was completed with the Social Worker on 9/19/2019 at 10:11 AM. The Social Worker revealed she was aware Resident #7 was a level II PASRR and had a diagnosis of down syndrome. The Social Worker explained she did not code sections A1500, A1510, and A1550 on the MDS assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interview was completed with MDS Coordinator on 9/19/2019 at 10:15 AM. The MDS Coordinator stated she was aware of Resident #7 and his diagnoses inclusive of Down syndrome. The MDS Coordinator expressed the coding for section A1500, A1510, and A1550 was an oversight and she would correct the assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interview was completed with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 9/19/2019 at 10:22 AM. The DON expressed her expectation was for the MDS to be coded accurately.</td>
<td>F 641</td>
<td>Continued From page 6</td>
<td>(PASRR) Conditions</td>
<td>did not code Resident #7 as having an intellectual disability. Further review of Section A1550 (Conditions Related to ID/ DD Status) did not code Resident #7 as having Down Syndrome.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interview was completed with the Social Worker on 9/19/2019 at 10:11 AM. The Social Worker revealed she was aware Resident #7 was a level II PASRR and had a diagnosis of down syndrome. The Social Worker explained she did not code sections A1500, A1510, and A1550 on the MDS assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interview was completed with MDS Coordinator on 9/19/2019 at 10:15 AM. The MDS Coordinator stated she was aware of Resident #7 and his diagnoses inclusive of Down syndrome. The MDS Coordinator expressed the coding for section A1500, A1510, and A1550 was an oversight and she would correct the assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interview was completed with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 9/19/2019 at 10:22 AM. The DON expressed her expectation was for the MDS to be coded accurately.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>