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483.25(h) FREE OF ACCIDENT HAZARDS/SUPERVISION/DEVICES

The facility must ensure that the resident environment remains as free of accident hazards as is possible; and each resident receives adequate supervision and assistance devices to prevent accidents.

This REQUIREMENT is not met as evidenced by:

Based on record review, and staff interviews, the facility failed to prevent 1 of 4 sampled cognitively impaired resident who was at risk for elopement from exiting the facility without staff knowledge (Resident #1).

The Immediate Jeopardy began on 10/20/2013 when Resident #1 exited the facility unattended by the facility staff, and was found outside of the facility in the parking lot in his wheelchair. The Immediate Jeopardy was removed on 11/8/13 at 5:45 pm when the facility provided an acceptable Credible Allegation of Compliance. The facility will remain out of compliance at a scope and severity of no actual harm with the potential for more than minimal harm that is not immediate jeopardy (D).

The facility was in the process of full implementation and monitoring their corrective action.

This plan of correction will serve as compliance with requirements of 42 CFR, Part 483, and Subpart B for long term care facilities. Preparation and submission of this plan of correction is in response to HCFA 2567 for the 11-7-13 - 11-8-13 survey and does not constitute an agreement or admission of Autumn Care of Fayetteville of the truth of the facts alleged or the correctness of the conclusions stated on the statement of deficiencies. This plan of correction is prepared and submitted because of the requirements of 42 CFR, Part 483, and Subpart B throughout the time period stated in the statement of deficiencies. In accordance with state and federal law, however, submits this plan of correction to address the statement of deficiencies and to serve as its allegation of compliance.

**Laboratory Director's or Provider/Supplier Representative's Signature:**

**Title:**

Electronically Signed

12/02/2013

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.
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Findings included:

Resident #1 was admitted to the facility on 4/4/2013. Diagnoses included chronic airway obstruction, diabetes, dehydration and dementia.

The admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated 4/11/2013 indicated Resident #1's cognitive status was severely impaired and extensive assistance of one person was required with transfers. The assessment further indicated the resident was independent with locomotion on the unit and used a wheelchair for locomotion. The resident was not coded for wandering behavior.

A review of Resident #1's care plan dated 4/26/2013 revealed the resident was identified with behavior/ moods needs. The goal stated for this problem was that the resident behavior would be easily altered as needed through next review. Interventions listed for this problem included "monitor wandering."

A review of the nurse's note dated 5/23/2013 read "Resident wandering around the halls in facility. Wander guard placed to right lower extremity. Wander guard checked for proper functioning."

A review of the nurse's note dated 6/4/2013 stated "When up in wheelchair he propels himself aimlessly around corridors and out of other rooms."

The resident was also care planned on 7/12/2013 for elopement risk needs, wander guard bracelet needs, need for cueing, re-direction, distracting, wandering, cognitive impairment and poor safety awareness. The goal stated for these problems were "resident will have no elopements through with the pertinent requirements as of the dates stated in the plan of correction and as fully completed as of 12-2-13.

For the resident found to be affected, on 10/19/2013 at 1530, a wander-guard was not observed on resident #1 by the licensed charge nurse, she made the decision to not replace. On 10/20/2013, Resident was found outside in front of the facility. This facility identified Resident #1 was an elopement risk on 10/20/2013 after resident was observed outside of the facility. The licensed nurse who observed resident assisted him back into facility, and reported to charge nurse. Charge nurse immediately applied wander-guard and scheduled into the resident's chart that a licensed nurse check placement and functioning every shift, on-going of resident #1 wander-guard. Nursing staff were in-serviced on 10-20-13 to inform staff of elopement risk by charge nurse. There was no harm or injury or negative outcome for resident #1.

For other residents with the potential to be affected, on 10/21/2013 each resident in house had an elopement risk assessment completed by two RN supervisors. After completion of elopement risk assessment, any resident who was deemed an elopement risk, a wander-guard was placed on the resident. Any new admitting residents will have an elopement risk assessment completed on admission, and then a follow up elopement risk assessment will be completed within a week after admission by licensed nurse. Then an elopement...
During the interview with the Minimum Data Set (MDS) coordinator on 11/8/2013 at 1:30 PM, she was asked the reason the wander guard was placed on the resident's ankle in May 23, 2013. The MDS coordinator stated that the wander guard was placed on the resident's ankle because other interventions had been tried and failed to prevent the resident from wandering and being an elopement risk. A review of the activity’s note dated 9/4/2013 indicated "Resident often enters other resident's rooms as he is confused that the room is not his. When redirecting resident he raises his voice to staff. Will continue to monitor."

A review of the nurse’s note dated 10/2/2013 read "Patient alert, wandering this shift, continue to redirect. No acute changes noted this shift."

A review of the nurse's note dated 10/4/2013 documented "Pt (patient) alert, wandering this shift, continue to redirect. No acute changes noted this shift."

A review of the nurse’s note dated 10/20/2013 indicated "Charge nurse notified resident outside facility, resident escorted back in facility by hall nurse. Unit manager and Director of Nursing (DON) notified. Wander guard placed on resident right ankle. Monitoring will continue."

A review of the incident report dated 10/20/2013 stated "the resident found outside." Under the comments headlines section, the incident report read "wander Guard replaced."

The elopement risk assessment completed on next review and resident’s safety needs will be met daily by staff through next review."

Risk assessment will be completed at least quarterly and as deemed necessary by licensed nursing staff. Licensed nursing was in-serviced on 11-8-13, 11-9-13, 11-11-13, and 11-12-13 by the Director of Nursing, RN Supervisor, Staff Development Coordinator, or Administrator.

All staff in facility was in-serviced on wander-guard function and purpose for a wander-guard, and for residents who may be exhibiting behaviors of possible elopement risk by the Director of Nursing, RN Supervisor, Staff Development Coordinator, or Administrator. The in-service dates were; 11-8-13, 11-9-13, 11-10-13, 11-11-13, 11-12-13, 11-13-13, 11-14-13, and 11-18-13.

All Nursing staff was in-serviced on how to monitor wander-guards, how to document on wander-guards, and for licensed staff to monitor the documentation of wander-guards using the TODO list from the EHR by the Director of Nursing, RN Supervisor, Staff Development Coordinator, or Administrator. The in-service dates were; 11-8-13, 11-9-13, 11-10-13, 11-11-13, 11-12-13, 11-14-13, and 11-18-13.

If a wander-guard has been placed on resident, the Director of Nursing or designee will have authority to give approval for removal of wander-guard. This approval will be granted, once an elopement risk assessment has shown the resident is no longer an elopement risk.

For on-going compliance, any resident, who has a wander-guard placed, will have
10/21/2013 revealed the resident had a score of 22 (which meant that the resident was a high risk for elopement).

During an interview with Nurse #1 on 11/7/2013 at 10:50 AM, she reported that Resident #1 had a wander guard on his ankle and the staff was required to check the wander guard on the resident’s ankle each shift. Nurse #1 also stated that Resident #1 was confused about the location of his room and the staff usually reoriented the resident where his room was located.

During an interview with Nurse Aide (NA) #1 on 11/7/2013 at 11:00 AM, she indicated that Resident #1 was confused and wandered into other residents’ rooms. NA #1 further reported that Resident #1 at times had been observed pushing on the 500 hall exit door. NA #1 added that Resident #1 usually made statements that he wanted to see his wife. NA #1 elaborated that she heard a report from Nurse #2 that on 10/20/2013, Resident #1 was found outside the facility.

During an interview with the Director of Nursing (DON) on 11/7/2013 at 11:30 AM, when questioned how did the facility become aware that Resident #1 was not physically present in the nursing facility, she stated that Nurse #2 was leaving the facility on 10/20/2013 at 3:00 PM and observed the resident sitting outside the facility at the main entrance. The DON added that Nurse #2 wheeled the resident back into the facility and notified the charge nurse that Resident #1 was found outside. The DON further reported that during the facility’s investigation, it was discovered that the resident did not have a wander guard on his ankle when he exited the building. She indicated that the staff could not it checked Q-shift for placement and function daily by the licensed nurse. The results will be documented in the resident’s chart each shift by the licensed nurse. The Director of Nursing or Designee will monitor the licensed nurse TODO List (task/interventions completed for the assigned licensed nurse) to ensure the wander-guard has been tested and checked for placement Q-shift. Director of nursing or designee will monitor this three times a week for four weeks, then monthly on-going.

Any resident who is identified as an elopement risk will be added to our Patient at Risk meetings. These residents will be monitored weekly for four weeks, then monthly on-going. The Administrator or designee will monitor patient at risk meeting, weekly for four weeks, then monthly for two months. The meeting will be monitored to ensure any resident at risk for an elopement has been addressed appropriately.

To ensure understanding of how to identify a resident at risk for elopement, Director of Nursing or designee, will interview three staff members weekly for four weeks, then monthly for two months.

A summary of the audits and their effectiveness will be taken to the Q.A. committee for review and approval. In the interim, the administrator will monitor their effectiveness.
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11/7/2013 at 3:30 PM, stated that she was leaving the building on 10/20/2013 at 4:30 PM, when she saw Resident #1 outside in the parking lot. She reported that she observed an elderly couple in their car actively pulling out of the parking lot and appeared not to have seen the resident in his wheelchair. Nurse #2 reported that she had to stop the elderly couple from running over the resident who was sitting in his wheelchair beside the car. Nurse #2 stated that she wheeled Resident #1 back into the building and reported the incident to Nurse #2 who was the charge nurse. Nurse #2 added that Resident #1 was an elopement risk and that he would always go into other resident's rooms and tried to push on the exit doors. Nurse #2 concluded that she did not recall seeing a wander guard when she found the resident outside.

An interview with the charge nurse (Nurse # 4) on 11/7/2013 at 4:00 pm revealed that on October 19, 2013 Resident #2, who was a new admission, exited the building. So she removed Resident #1’s wander guard and placed it on Resident # 2's ankle. Nurse # 4 further added that there were no extra wander guards in the building because the Administrator was the one who ordered the wander guards.

During an interview with the Administrator on 11/7/2013 at 3:00 PM revealed, the staff did not know when the wander guard became missing though the staff documented that they had observed the wander guard in place on the resident's ankle. The administrator stated that after the resident exited the building that was when they realized that the resident did not have the wander guard intact on his ankle. He added that the staff searched for the wander guard but they could not locate it in the building. The
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were no alarms by the exit doors and the employees had a code that they had to use in order to exit the building. The facility parking lot was observed to be busy with vehicles coming and leaving, and the handicap section where Resident #1 was located could not be seen from inside the building.

During an interview with the Administrator on 11/8/2013 at 2:00 PM, he stated that they had replaced Resident #1 wander guard and audited current residents with wander guards in the facility on 10/21/2013. He further reported that the ongoing compliance was to monitor all wander guards 5 times per week for one month beginning 10/21/2013, then weekly for 3 months. The administrator stated that the unit manager was responsible for keeping up with residents’ who had wander guards at in the facility.

The administrator was notified of the Immediate Jeopardy on 11/8/2013 at 11:35 am. The facility provided the following Credible Allegation on November 8, 2013 at 5:15 pm:

Credible Allegation of Compliance:

Resident #1 was admitted to facility on 04/04/2013 and on 10/20/2013 resident was observed in the parking lot outside facility. Resident was brought back into the facility by licensed nurse who observed him sitting outside at which time Unit manager and Director of Nursing were notified. A wander-guard was place on resident at that time. Resident Power of Attorney was notified. Elopement risk was perform on 04/04/2013 day of admission by licensed nurse doing the admission risk assessment and indicated that resident is not considered an elopement risk. However with his course of stay, resident noted to be confused,
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- **Indicated resident was an elopement risk**, RN completing 8/12/2013 elopement risk assessment made the clinical decision not to place wander-guard on resident due to no efforts have been made to leave facility and had limited physical mobility. Most recent elopement risk assessment was 10/21/2013, identified resident to be at risk for elopement and wander-guard put in place on 10/27/2013 and licensed nurse to check placement and functioning every shift, on-going.

- **Facility action plan to prevent re-occurrence**
  - Once wander guard has been placed on resident, the Director of nursing or assistant director of nursing, or licensed nurse will have authority to give approval for removal after reviewing elopement risk assessment in conjunction with care plan team, ongoing.

- **All facility exit/entrance doors are locked at all times**, requiring key pad entry with exception of the front-main entrance door, which are locks automatically as programmed. Current times include seven in the morning; doors will unlock and at six in the evening doors will lock down daily, seven days a week. Starting 11/8/2013, front - main entrance door has been programmed to unlock at nine in the morning and lock at five in the afternoon. During the times the front door is programmed to be unlocked (9-5), there will be a reception at the desk at all times, ongoing (reception included in the facility wide in-service).

- **For new admission**, an elopement risk assessment will continue to be completed by RN supervisor, Director of Nursing, or designee on day of admission, ongoing. In addition, the RN...
### F 323 Continued From page 10

supervisor will schedule an elopement risk assessment in the electronic medical records to be completed on day seven after admission by the license nurse, ongoing. In the event once assessment completed, resident is considered to be an elopement risk, the licensed nurse completing the assessment will either place a wander-guard or assign one to one assignment (certified nursing assistant and one resident) monitoring for the resident, ongoing. Quarterly elopement risk assessment will be completed on all residents by licensed nurse, ongoing.

Residents who are identified to be an elopement risk will be reviewed in Patient at Risk meeting weekly, which consist of Director of Nursing, RN supervisor, and dietitian, X 4 weeks then monthly, ongoing. For those residents who have a wander-guard in-place, it will be scheduled in the electronic health record, to be documented placement every shift by the licensed nurse, ongoing. It will be documented checking function by using the tester every shift, ongoing. The licensed nurses are required to complete a To-Do-List (task/interventions that are scheduled to be completed by licensed nurse and certified nursing assistant on their shift for their assigned hall). At the end of each shift the license nurse will print the To-Do-List to ensure there is not any task or interventions left not completed by the licensed nurse or certified nursing assistant, ongoing. In addition to completing To-Do-List, the license nurse off-going and on-coming license nurse will validate by signing the To-Do-List that there are no task or interventions left not completed prior to leaving the facility, ongoing.

Facility will maintain 3 additional wander-guard
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