ATTACHMENT - REQUIRED STATE AGENCY FINDINGS

FINDINGS

C = Conforming CA = Conditional NC = Nonconforming NA = Not Applicable

Decision Date: November 8, 2019 Findings Date: November 8, 2019

Project Analyst: Celia C. Inman Team Leader: Gloria C. Hale

Project ID #: M-11763-19

Facility: FMC Services of West Fayetteville

FID #: 011019 County: Cumberland

Applicant: Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc.

Project: Add no more than 4 stations for a total of no more than 40 stations upon completion

of this project, Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate 5 stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add 5 stations), M-11650-19 (relocate 1 station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add 1 station), and

M-11740-19 (relocate 4 stations to FKC Rockfish)

REVIEW CRITERIA FOR NEW INSTITUTIONAL HEALTH SERVICES

N.C. Gen. Stat. §131E-183(a) The Agency shall review all applications utilizing the criteria outlined in this subsection and shall determine that an application is either consistent with or not in conflict with these criteria before a certificate of need for the proposed project shall be issued.

(1) The proposed project shall be consistent with applicable policies and need determinations in the State Medical Facilities Plan, the need determination of which constitutes a determinative limitation on the provision of any health service, health service facility, health service facility beds, dialysis stations, operating rooms, or home health offices that may be approved.

C

Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "the applicant" or BMA) proposes to add four dialysis stations to FMC Services of West Fayetteville (FMC West Fayetteville), an existing facility, for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add one station), and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

Need Determination

The 2019 State Medical Facilities Plan (2019 SMFP) provides a county need methodology and a facility need methodology for determining the need for new dialysis stations. According to Table D, page 61, in the July 2019 Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR), the county need methodology shows there is a deficit of six stations in Cumberland County, but because the deficit is less than 10 stations, there is no county need determination for new dialysis stations for Cumberland County.

However, the applicant is eligible to apply for additional dialysis stations in an existing facility based on the facility need methodology if the utilization rate for the dialysis center, as reported in the most recent SDR, is at least 3.2 patients per station per week, or 80%. The utilization rate reported for FMC West Fayetteville in the July 2019 SDR is 4.425 patients per station per week, or 110.63%, based on 177 in-center dialysis patients and 40 certified dialysis stations [177 / 40 = 4.425; 4.425 / 4 = 1.1063 or 110.63%]. Therefore, FMC West Fayetteville is eligible to apply for additional stations based on the facility need methodology.

Application of the facility need methodology indicates up to 10 additional stations may be needed at this facility, as illustrated in the following the table:

FMC West Fayetteville

1,111				
OCTOBER 1 REVIEW-JULY 2019 SDR				
Requi	red SDR Utilization	80%		
Cente	r Utilization Rate as of 12/31/18	110.63%		
Certif	ied Stations	40		
Pendi	ng Stations (Project ID #M-11314-17 / add 5) and	6		
(Proje	ct ID #M-11662-19 / add 1)	O		
Total	Existing and Pending Stations	46		
In-Cer	nter Patients as of 12/31/18 (July 2019 SDR) (SDR2)	177		
In-Cer	In-Center Patients as of 6/30/18 (January 2019 SDR) (SDR1)			
Step	Description	Result		
	Difference (SDR2 - SDR1)	21		
	Multiply the difference by 2 for the projected net in-center	42		
(i)	change	42		
	Divide the projected net in-center change for 1 year by the	0.2692		
	number of in-center patients as of 6/30/18	0.2032		
(ii)	Divide the result of Step (i) by 12	0.0224		
(iii)	Multiply the result of Step (ii) by 12	0.2692		
	Multiply the result of Step (iii) by the number of in-center			
(iv)	patients reported in SDR2 and add the product to the number of	224.6538		
	in-center patients reported in SDR2			
(v)	Divide the result of Step (iv) by 3.2 patients per station	70.2043		
	and subtract the number of certified and pending stations to determine the number of stations needed	24.2043		

As shown in the table above, based on the facility need methodology for dialysis stations, the potential number of stations needed at FMC West Fayetteville is 10, based on Step (C) of the facility need methodology, which states, "The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established ..., up to a maximum of ten stations." The applicant proposes to add four new stations; therefore, the application is consistent with the facility need determination for dialysis stations.

Policies

There is one policy in the 2019 SMFP which is applicable to this review. Policy GEN-3: Basic Principles, on page 31 of the 2019 SMFP, is applicable to this review because the facility need methodology is applicable to this review. Policy GEN-3 states:

"A certificate of need applicant applying to develop or offer a new institutional health service for which there is a need determination in the North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan shall demonstrate how the project will promote safety and quality in the delivery of health care services while promoting equitable access and maximizing healthcare value for resources expended. A certificate of need applicant shall document its plans for providing access to services for patients with limited financial resources and demonstrate the availability of capacity to provide these services. A certificate of need applicant shall also document how its projected volumes incorporate these concepts in meeting the need identified in the State Medical Facilities Plan as well as addressing the needs of all residents in the proposed service area."

Promote Safety and Quality

The applicant describes how it believes the proposed project will promote safety and quality in Section B.3 (a and d), pages 12 and 14, respectively; Section N.2(b), page 56; Section O, pages 58-61; and referenced exhibits. The information provided by the applicant is reasonable and supports the determination that the applicant's proposal will promote safety and quality.

Promote Equitable Access

The applicant describes how it believes the proposed project will promote equitable access in Section B.3 (b and d), pages 12-13 and 14, respectively; Section C.7, pages 22-23; Section L, pages 49-53; Section N.2(c), page 56; and referenced exhibits. The information provided by the applicant is reasonable and supports the determination that the applicant's proposal will promote equitable access.

Maximize Healthcare Value

The applicant describes how it believes the proposed project will maximize healthcare value in Section B.3 (c and d), pages 13-14; Section N.2(a), page 56; and referenced exhibits. The information provided by the applicant with regard to its efforts to maximize healthcare value is reasonable and supports the determination that the applicant's proposal will maximize healthcare value.

The applicant adequately demonstrates how its proposal incorporates the concepts of quality, equitable access, and maximum value for resources expended in meeting the facility need as identified by the applicant. Therefore, the application is consistent with Policy GEN-3.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application,
- exhibits to the application, and
- information publicly available during the review and used by the Agency.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion for the reasons stated above.

- (2) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (3) The applicant shall identify the population to be served by the proposed project, and shall demonstrate the need that this population has for the services proposed, and the extent to which all residents of the area, and in particular, low income persons, racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, the elderly, and other underserved groups are likely to have access to the services proposed.

 \mathbf{C}

The applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations to FMC West Fayetteville for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add one station), and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

The following table, summarized from data on page 7 of the application and Table B of the July 2019 SDR, illustrates the current and projected number of dialysis stations at FMC West Fayetteville.

FMC West Fayetteville

# of Stations	Description	Project ID #
	Total # of existing certified stations as reported in the SDR in	
40	effect on the day the review will begin	
4	# of stations to be added as part of this project	M-11763-19
-0	# of stations to be deleted as part of this project	
5	# of stations previously approved to be added but not yet	M-11314-17
1	certified	M-11662-19
-5	# of stations previously approved to be deleted but not yet	M-11502-18*
-1	certified	M-11650-19
0	# of stations proposed to be added in an application still under	
	review	
-4	# of stations proposed to be deleted in an application still	M-11740-19
	under review	
40	Total # of stations upon completion of all facility projects	

^{*}Change of Scope for Project ID #sM-11286-17 and M-11344-17

As outlined in the table above, in this application, the applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations at FMC West Fayetteville for a total of 40 stations, upon the completion of each of the projects listed above.

Patient Origin

On page 369, the 2019 SMFP defines the service area for dialysis stations as "...the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multicounty Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multicounty Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area." Thus, the service area for this facility is Cumberland County. Facilities may serve residents of counties not included in their service area.

In Section C.2, page 17, the applicant provides the patient origin for FMC West Fayetteville in-center dialysis patients as of December 31, 2018, as shown in the table below. The center does not provide home training dialysis services.

FMC West Fayetteville 1/1/2018/-12/31/2018

COUNTY	# OF PATIENTS	% OF TOTAL
Cumberland	150.0	84.75%
Bladen	1.0	0.56%
Hoke	16.0	9.04%
Johnston	1.0	0.56%
Mecklenburg	1.0	0.56%
Robeson	3.0	1.69%
South Carolina	1.0	0.56%
Virginia	1.0	0.56%
Other States	3.0	1.69%
Total	177.0	100.00%

Totals may not sum due to rounding

The following table illustrates projected patient origin for the second full operating year following project completion, as provided in Section C, page 18.

FMC West Fayetteville Projected Patient Origin

Projected Patient Origin			
COUNTY	OPERATING YEAR 2		
	CY2022		
	# PATIENTS	% OF TOTAL	
Cumberland	131.7	89.17	
Harnett	1.0	0.68%	
Hoke	12.0	8.12%	
Robeson	1.0	0.68%	
Sampson	2.0	1.35%	
Total	147.7	100.00%	

Totals may not sum due to rounding

In Section C, pages 18-20, the applicant provides the assumptions and methodology it used to project patient origin. The applicant's assumptions are reasonable and adequately supported.

Analysis of Need

The applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations to the existing FMC West Fayetteville, for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project, Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11662-19 (add one station), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish) and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

In Section Q, pages 70-72, the applicant describes its need methodology and assumptions for projecting utilization of the proposed facility, summarized as follows:

• The applicant provides a table on page 70 that shows the facility census as of December 31, 2018 and June 30, 2019, as summarized below.

FMC West Fayetteville In-Center Patients

COUNTY	12/31/2018	6/30/2019
Cumberland	150	147
Bladen	1	0
Harnett	0	1
Hoke	16	22
Johnston	1	1
Mecklenburg	1	1
Robeson	3	3
Sampson	0	2
South Carolina	1	0
Virginia	1	1
Other States	3	3
Total	177	181

- The applicant states that the FMC West Fayetteville patient census as of June 30, 2019 was submitted to the Agency on the ESRD Data Collection form in August 2019.
- The applicant states that it assumes the patients from Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Robeson and Sampson counties dialyzing at FMC West Fayetteville on June 30, 2019 will continue dialyzing at the facility by patient choice, except for the patients projected to transfer their care in previously approved projects. Project ID #sM-11520-18 (change of scope for 11286-17 and M-1344-17) and M-11650-19 assume the transfer of 30 Cumberland County patients and 12 Hoke and Robeson County patients from FMC West Fayetteville to FKC Rockfish as of December 31, 2019.
- The applicant assumes the June 30, 2019 patients from Johnston and Mecklenburg counties and other states are transient patients; therefore, the applicant does not include those patients in projections of future patient populations for FMC West Fayetteville.
- The applicant assumes the Cumberland County patient population who dialyze at FMC
 West Fayetteville will increase at a rate equal to the 4.6% Cumberland County Five Year
 Average Annual Change Rate (AACR) published in the July 2019 SDR. The applicant
 assumes no projected growth for patients from outside Cumberland County.
- Services will be offered as of December 31, 2020. Therefore, Operating Year (OY) 1 is calendar year (CY) 2021, January 1-December 31, 2021 and OY2 is CY2022, January 1-December 31, 2022. The applicant mis-states these dates on page 19; however, the dates as stated here, are confirmed by the applicant on page 18 and in the forms in Section Q.

Projected Utilization

In Section Q, page 72, the applicant provides its projected utilization methodology, based on its stated assumptions, as illustrated in the following table.

FMC WEST FAYETTEVILLE IN-CENTER PATIENTS

Begin with facility census of Cumberland County patients as of June 30,	
2019.	147
Project this population forward six months to December 31, 2019, using	
the Cumberland County Five Year AACR of 4.6%. (4.6% / 12 x 6 = 2.3%)	147 x 1.023 = 150.4
Subtract 30 Cumberland County patients projected to transfer to FKC	
Rockfish (M-11502-18: 22 from M-11286-17 and 8 from M-11344-17).	150.4 - 30 = 120.4
Add patients from Harnett, Hoke, Robeson and Sampson counties	
projected to continue to dialyze at FMC West Fayetteville.	120.4 + 28 = 148.4
Subtract the Hoke and Robeson county patients projected to transfer to	
FKC Rockfish in Project ID #s M-11502-18 (M-11286-17), M-11344-17,	
and M-11650-17.	148.4 – 12 = 136.4
Project Cumberland County patients forward one year to December 31,	
2020, using the Cumberland County Five Year AACR of 4.6%.	120.4 x 1.046 = 125.9
Add patients from Harnett, Hoke, Robeson and Sampson counties	
projected to continue to dialyze at FMC West Fayetteville. This is the	
census on December 31, 2020.	125.9 + 16 = 141.9
Project Cumberland County patients forward one year to December 31,	
2021, using the Cumberland County Five Year AACR of 4.6%.	125.9 x 1.046 = 131.7
Add patients from Harnett, Hoke, Robeson and Sampson counties	
projected to continue to dialyze at FMC West Fayetteville. This is the	
census on December 31, 2021 at the end of OY1.	131.7 + 16 = 147.7
Project Cumberland County patients forward one year to December 31,	
2022, using the Cumberland County Five Year AACR of 4.6%.	131.7 x 1.046 = 137.8
Add patients from Harnett, Hoke, Robeson and Sampson counties	
projected to continue to dialyze at FMC West Fayetteville. This is the	
census on December 31, 2022 at the end of OY2.	137.8 + 16 = 153.8

Source: Table in Section Q, page 72

At the end of OY1 (CY2021) FMC West Fayetteville is projected to serve 147.7 in-center patients on 40 stations; and at the end of OY2 (CY2022) the facility is projected to serve 153.8 in-center patients on 40 stations.

The projected utilization rates for the first two operating years are as follows:

- OY1: 3.69 patients per station per week, or 92.3% utilization [147.7 / 40 = 3.69; 3.69 / 4 = 0.9231].
- OY 2: 3.85 patients per station per week, or 96.1% utilization [153.8 patients / 40 stations = 3.845; 3.845 / 4 = 0.9612].

The projected utilization of 3.69 patients per station per week at the end of OY1 exceeds the minimum standard of 3.2 in-center patients per station per week required by 10A NCAC 14C .2203(b).

Projected utilization is reasonable and adequately supported for the following reasons:

- FMC West Fayetteville was operating at 110.6% capacity as of December 31, 2018, as reported in the July 2019 SDR.
- The applicant projects future utilization based on historical utilization.
- The applicant appropriately reduces the patient census based on the previously approved applications proposing the transfer of patients from FMC West Fayetteville.
- The applicant projects growth in the patient population using the Cumberland County Five Year AACR of 4.6%, as published in the July 2019 SDR.
- Projected utilization at the end of OY1 exceeds the minimum of 3.2 patients per station per week required by 10A NCAC 14C .2203(b).

Access

In Section C.7, page 22, the applicant states:

"Each of our facilities has a patient population which includes low-income persons, racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, elderly, or other traditionally underserved persons.

...

Fresenius related facilities in North Carolina have historically provided substantial care and services to all persons in need of dialysis services, regardless of income, racial or ethnic background, gender, handicap, age or any other grouping/category or basis for being an underserved person."

In Section L, page 51, the applicant projects the following payor mix during the second full fiscal year of operation following completion of the project, as illustrated in the following table.

FMC West Fayetteville Projected Payor Mix CY 2022

Payor Source	# of Patients	% of Total
Self-pay	1.62	1.06%
Insurance*	5.87	3.82%
Medicare*	114.03	74.16%
Medicaid*	8.02	3.82% [5.22%]
Medicare/Commercial	18.34	11.93%
Miscellaneous (Incl. VA)	5.89	3.83%
Total	153.77	100.00%

Totals may not sum due to rounding

As shown in the table above, based on the number of patients provided by the applicant, the applicant miscalculated the percent of total Medicaid patients. The Project Analyst provides the correct percent of total in brackets.

The projected payor mix is reasonable and adequately supported.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application,
- exhibits to the application, and
- information publicly available during the review and used by the Agency.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion for the following reasons:

- The applicant adequately identifies the population to be served.
- The applicant adequately explains why the population to be served needs the services proposed in this application.
- Projected utilization is reasonable and adequately supported.
- The applicant projects the extent to which all residents, including underserved groups, will have access to the proposed services (payor mix) and adequately supports its assumptions.
- (3a) In the case of a reduction or elimination of a service, including the relocation of a facility or a service, the applicant shall demonstrate that the needs of the population presently served will be met adequately by the proposed relocation or by alternative arrangements, and the effect of the reduction, elimination or relocation of the service on the ability of low income persons, racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, and other underserved groups and the elderly to obtain needed health care.

^{*}Including any managed care plans

NA

The applicant does not propose to reduce a service, eliminate a service, or relocate a facility or service. Therefore, Criterion (3a) is not applicable to this review.

(4) Where alternative methods of meeting the needs for the proposed project exist, the applicant shall demonstrate that the least costly or most effective alternative has been proposed.

CA

The applicant proposes to add four dialysis station to FMC West Fayetteville, an existing facility, for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add one station), and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

In Section E, page 28, the applicant states it considered two alternatives to the chosen project. The two alternatives considered were:

- 1. Maintain the status quo the applicant states this was not a reasonable alternative because it fails to recognize the growth of the ESRD patient population residing in the western Cumberland County area. The applicant states that failure to add stations will result in higher utilization rates at the facility and fewer opportunities for patient admission.
- 2. Relocate stations from another of the BMA facilities in Cumberland County the applicant states that the other Cumberland County Fresenius related facilities are well utilized and relocating stations from these facilities would be inappropriate under the circumstances, as discussed on pages 28-29.

On page 29, the applicant states that it elected to add four stations because this proposal is the most cost-effective approach to providing the necessary services for the patient population projected to be served at FMC West Fayetteville.

The applicant adequately demonstrates that the alternative proposed in this application is the most effective alternative to meet the need for the following reasons:

- The application is conforming to all statutory and regulatory review criteria.
- The applicant provides credible information to explain why it believes the proposed project is the most effective alternative.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application,
- exhibits to the application, and
- information publicly available during the review and used by the Agency.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion for the reasons stated above. Therefore, the application is approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. shall materially comply with all representations made in the certificate of need application.
- 2. Pursuant to the facility need determination in the July 2019 SDR, Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. shall develop no more than four additional dialysis stations at FMC Services of West Fayetteville for a total of no more than 40 certified stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11662-19 (add one station), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish) and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).
- 3. Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. shall acknowledge acceptance of and agree to comply with all conditions stated herein to the Agency in writing prior to issuance of the certificate of need.
- (5) Financial and operational projections for the project shall demonstrate the availability of funds for capital and operating needs as well as the immediate and long-term financial feasibility of the proposal, based upon reasonable projections of the costs of and charges for providing health services by the person proposing the service.

C

The applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations to FMC West Fayetteville, an existing facility, for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add one station), and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

Capital and Working Capital Costs

In Section F.1, page 30, the applicant states there is no capital cost associated with this project. The space exists and BMA will lease the proposed stations. Section Q Form F.1a Capital Cost, page 75, shows no capital cost.

In Sections F.3, pages 31-32, the applicant states there will be no start-up or initial operating expenses associated with the proposed project since this is an existing facility that is already operational.

Financial Feasibility

The applicant provides pro forma financial statements for the first two full operating years following completion of the project. In Form F.2, page 78, the applicant projects that revenues will exceed operating expenses in the first two operating years of the project, as summarized in the table below.

FMC West Fayetteville
Projected Revenue and Operating Expenses

r rojected nevenue and operating Expenses		
	OY 1	OY 2
	CY2021	CY2022
Total Treatments	21,433	22,310
Total Gross Revenue (charges)	\$134,832,288	\$140,349,308
Total Net Revenue	\$5,332,562	\$5,550,758
Average Net Revenue per Treatment	\$249	\$249
Total Operating Expenses (costs)	\$4,989,755	\$5,297,511
Average Operating Expense per Treatment	\$233	\$237
Net Income / Profit	\$342,807	\$253,247

The assumptions used by the applicant in preparation of the pro forma financial statements are reasonable, including projected utilization, costs, and charges. See Section Q of the application for the assumptions used regarding costs and charges. The discussion regarding projected utilization found in Criterion (3) is incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion because the applicant adequately demonstrates sufficient funds for the operating needs of the proposal and that the financial feasibility of the proposal is based upon reasonable projections of costs and charges.

(6) The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed project will not result in unnecessary duplication of existing or approved health service capabilities or facilities.

C

The applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations to FMC West Fayetteville, an existing facility, for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add one station), and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

On page 369, the 2019 SMFP defines the service area for dialysis stations as "...the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multicounty Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multicounty Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area." Thus, the service area is Cumberland County. Facilities may serve residents of counties not included in their service area.

The applicant is the only provider of dialysis services in Cumberland County. Currently there are four existing facilities and one approved but not yet developed facility. See the following table that shows the existing and approved dialysis facilities in Cumberland County, from Table B of the July 2019 SDR:

FACILITY	CERTIFIED	PERCENT	PATIENTS PER
	STATIONS	UTILIZATION	STATION
Fayetteville Kidney Center	50	84.50%	3.38
FMC North Ramsey	40	96.88%	3.88
FMC South Ramsey	51	72.06%	2.88
FMC West Fayetteville	40	110.63%	4.43
FKC Rockfish*	21	0.00%	0.00

^{*}FKC Rockfish was approved pursuant to Project ID#M-11502-18 to combine two previously approved projects (Project ID #M-11286-17 and M-11344-17) into one 20-station facility. Project ID #M-11650-19 was approved to relocate one additional station to FKC Rockfish.

In Section G, page 36, the applicant provides the Cumberland County facility utilization for the periods ended December 31, 2018 and June 30, 2019. The applicant states that the June 30, 2019 data was submitted to the Agency on the ESRD Data Collection form in August 2019. On page 37, the applicant provides a table showing the total number of patients by county dialyzing at each BMA facility for a grand total of 660 patients served at BMA Cumberland County facilities as of June 30, 2019.

In Section G, page 37, the applicant explains why it believes its proposal would not result in the unnecessary duplication of existing or approved dialysis services in Cumberland County. The applicant states:

"This is an application to add four dialysis stations to FMC West Fayetteville. All of the BMA facilities in Cumberland County are well utilized. The overall utilization by 660 dialysis patients on 187 certified dialysis stations is 3.5294 patients per station.

BMA is not proposing to duplicate services, but to ensure a sufficient number of stations remain available for the patients of the area. The July 2019 SDR reports a deficit of six stations for Cumberland County. Adding stations will not duplicate services."

The applicant adequately demonstrates that the proposal will not result in an unnecessary duplication of existing or approved services in the service area for the following reasons:

- There is a facility need determination at FMC West Fayetteville, as calculated using the methodology in the July 2019 SDR, for the proposed additional dialysis stations.
- The applicant adequately demonstrates that the proposed dialysis stations are needed in addition to the existing or approved additional dialysis stations.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application,
- exhibits to the application, and
- information publicly available during the review and used by the Agency.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion for the reasons stated above.

(7) The applicant shall show evidence of the availability of resources, including health manpower and management personnel, for the provision of the services proposed to be provided.

C

In Section Q Form H Staffing, page 86, the applicant provides a table showing current and projected OY2 staffing in full time equivalents (FTEs) for FMC West Fayetteville, as summarized below.

Position	FTE Positions as of 6/30/19	FTE POSITIONS OY1	FTE POSITIONS OY2
FMC Clinic Manager	1.00	1.00	1.00
RN	6.00	6.75	7.50
Patient Care Technician	20.00	22.00	24.00
Dietician	1.00	1.00	1.00
Social Worker	1.00	1.00	1.00
Equipment Technician	1.00	1.00	1.00
Administration	2.00	2.00	2.00
FMC Director Operations	0.20	0.20	0.20
In-Service	0.20	0.20	0.20
Chief Technician	0.20	0.20	0.20
Total	32.60	35.35	38.10

Source: Section Q Form H

The assumptions and methodology used to project existing staffing are provided in Section Q. Adequate costs for the health manpower and management positions proposed by the applicant are budgeted in Form F.4 Operating Costs. In Section H, page 39, the applicant describes the methods used to recruit or fill new positions and its existing training and continuing education programs. Exhibit H contains documentation of its continuing education programs. In Section H.4, page 40, the applicant identifies the current medical director for the facility as Dr. Richard Nuamah. In Exhibit H-4, the applicant provides a letter from Richard Nuamah, MD indicating his intent in continuing to serve as medical director for the proposed services.

The applicant adequately demonstrates the availability of sufficient health manpower and management personnel to provide the proposed services.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion for the reasons stated above.

(8) The applicant shall demonstrate that the provider of the proposed services will make available, or otherwise make arrangements for, the provision of the necessary ancillary and support services. The applicant shall also demonstrate that the proposed service will be coordinated with the existing health care system.

C

In Section I, page 42, the applicant states that the following ancillary and support services are necessary for the proposed services, and explains how each ancillary and support service is made available:

ANCILLARY AND SUPPORT SERVICES			
Services	Provider		
Self-care training	Referral to Fayetteville Kidney Center		
Home training	Referral to Fayetteville Kidney Center		
НН			
PD			
Accessible follow-up program			
Psychological counseling	Referral to Cumberland County Mental Health		
Isolation – hepatitis	BMA on site		
Nutritional counseling	BMA on site		
Social Work services	BMA on site		
Acute dialysis in an acute care	Referral to Cape Fear Valley Hospital		
setting	Referral to Cape Fear Valley Hospital		
Emergency care	BMA staff until ambulance transport to		
Lineigency care	hospital		
Blood bank services	Referral to Cape Fear Valley Hospital		
Diagnostic and evaluation services	Referral to Cape Fear Valley Radiology		
X-ray services	Referral to Cape Fear Valley Radiology		
Laboratory services	BMA on site / Spectra		
Pediatric nephrology	Referral to UNC Healthcare		
Vascular surgery	Referral to Dr. John Ross, Dr. Chang, Dr. Leke,		
vascular surgery	or Dr. Husain		
Transplantation services	Referral to UNC Healthcare		
Vocational rehabilitation & Referral to Cumberland County Vocation			
counseling	Rehabilitation		
	Fayetteville Area System Transit, Medlink,		
Transportation	Cape Fear Regional Transport, DSS and		
	Reliable Transportation		

Source: Table in Section I, page 42

In Section I, page 42, the applicant describes its existing and proposed relationships with other local health care and social service providers. In Exhibit I, the applicant provides supporting documentation for established relationships with local health care providers and for referrals.

The applicant adequately demonstrates that the proposed services will be coordinated with the existing health care system.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion.

(9) An applicant proposing to provide a substantial portion of the project's services to individuals not residing in the health service area in which the project is located, or in adjacent health service areas, shall document the special needs and circumstances that warrant service to these individuals.

NA

The applicant does not project to provide the proposed services to a substantial number of persons residing in Health Service Areas (HSAs) that are not adjacent to the HSA in which the services will be offered. Furthermore, the applicant does not project to provide the proposed services to a substantial number of persons residing in other states that are not adjacent to the North Carolina county in which the services will be offered. Therefore, Criterion (9) is not applicable to this review.

- (10) When applicable, the applicant shall show that the special needs of health maintenance organizations will be fulfilled by the project. Specifically, the applicant shall show that the project accommodates: (a) The needs of enrolled members and reasonably anticipated new members of the HMO for the health service to be provided by the organization; and (b) The availability of new health services from non-HMO providers or other HMOs in a reasonable and cost-effective manner which is consistent with the basic method of operation of the HMO. In assessing the availability of these health services from these providers, the applicant shall consider only whether the services from these providers:
 - (i) would be available under a contract of at least 5 years duration;
 - (ii) would be available and conveniently accessible through physicians and other health professionals associated with the HMO;
 - (iii) would cost no more than if the services were provided by the HMO; and
 - (iv) would be available in a manner which is administratively feasible to the HMO.

NA

The applicant is not an HMO. Therefore, Criterion (10) is not applicable to this review.

- (11) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (12) Applications involving construction shall demonstrate that the cost, design, and means of construction proposed represent the most reasonable alternative, and that the construction project will not unduly increase the costs of providing health services by the person proposing the construction project or the costs and charges to the public of providing health services by other persons, and that applicable energy saving features have been incorporated into the construction plans.

NA

The applicant does not propose to construct any new space or renovate any existing space. Therefore, Criterion (12) is not applicable to this review.

- (13) The applicant shall demonstrate the contribution of the proposed service in meeting the health-related needs of the elderly and of members of medically underserved groups, such as medically indigent or low income persons, Medicaid and Medicare recipients, racial and ethnic minorities, women, and handicapped persons, which have traditionally experienced difficulties in obtaining equal access to the proposed services, particularly those needs identified in the State Health Plan as deserving of priority. For the purpose of determining the extent to which the proposed service will be accessible, the applicant shall show:
 - (a) The extent to which medically underserved populations currently use the applicant's existing services in comparison to the percentage of the population in the applicant's service area which is medically underserved;

 \mathbf{C}

In Section L, page 50, the applicant provides the historical payor mix during CY2018 for its existing services, as shown in the table below.

FMC West Fayetteville Historical Payor Mix CY2018

Payor Source	# of Patients	% of Total
Self-pay	1.87	0.92%
Commercial Insurance*	6.76	3.80%
Medicare*	131.25	74.35%
Medicaid*	9.23	5.20%
Medicare/Commercial	21.11	12.08%
Miscellaneous (Incl. VA)	6.78	3.65%
Total	177.00	100.00%

^{*}Including any managed care plans Total may not sum due to rounding

In Section L.1(a), page 49, the applicant provides comparison of the demographical information on FMC West Fayetteville patients and the service area patients during CY2018, as summarized below.

	Percentage of Total Patients Served during the Last Full OY	Percentage of the Population of the Service Area
Female	43.6%	50.5%
Male	56.4%	49.5%
Unknown		
64 and Younger	66.3%	88.1%
65 and Older	33.7%	11.9%
American Indian	1.7%	1.5%
Asian	0.6%	2.8%
Black or African-American	58.6%	39.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.4%
White or Caucasian	14.4%	42.6%
Other Race	0.0%	13.4%
Declined / Unavailable	24.9%	

^{*} The percentages can be found online using the United States Census Bureau's QuickFacts which is at: https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045218. Just enter in the name of the county.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application,
- exhibits to the application, and
- information publicly available during the review and used by the Agency.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the applicant adequately documents the extent to which medically underserved populations currently use the applicant's existing services in comparison to the percentage of the population in the applicant's service area which is medically underserved. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(b) Its past performance in meeting its obligation, if any, under any applicable regulations requiring provision of uncompensated care, community service, or access by minorities and handicapped persons to programs receiving federal assistance, including the existence of any civil rights access complaints against the applicant;

C

Regarding any obligation to provide uncompensated care, community service, or access by minorities and persons with disabilities, the applicant states in Section L, page 50, that it has no obligation in any of its facilities to provide uncompensated care, community service, or access by minorities and handicapped persons.

In Section L, page 51, the applicant states that during the last five years no patient civil rights access complaints have been filed against the facility or any similar facilities owned by the applicant or a related entity and located in North Carolina.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion.

(c) That the elderly and the medically underserved groups identified in this subdivision will be served by the applicant's proposed services and the extent to which each of these groups is expected to utilize the proposed services; and

 \mathbf{C}

In Section L, page 51, the applicant projects the following payor mix for the proposed services during the second full operating year following completion of the project, as shown in the table below.

FMC West Fayetteville
Projected Payor Mix CY 2022

Payor Source	# of Patients	% of Total	
Self-pay	1.62	1.06%	
Commercial Insurance	5.87	3.82%	
Medicare*	114.03	74.16%	
Medicaid*	8.02	3.82% [5.22%]	
Medicare/Commercial*	18.34	11.93%	
Miscellaneous (Incl. VA)	5.89	3.83%	
Total	153.77	100.00%	

Totals may not sum due to rounding

As shown in the table above, based on the number of patients provided by the applicant, the applicant miscalculated Medicaid as a percent of total patients. The Project Analyst provides the correct percent of total in brackets. During the second year of operation, the applicant projects that 1.06% of total services will be provided to self-pay patients, 86.09% to Medicare patients (includes Medicare and Medicare/Commercial), and 5.22% to Medicaid patients.

On pages 51-52, the applicant provides the assumptions and methodology it uses to project payor mix during the second full year of operation following completion of the

^{*}Including any managed care plans

project. The projected payor mix is reasonable and adequately supported because the projected payor mix is based on the historical payor mix of FMC West Fayetteville.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion.

(d) That the applicant offers a range of means by which a person will have access to its services. Examples of a range of means are outpatient services, admission by house staff, and admission by personal physicians.

C

In Section L, page 52, the applicant adequately describes the range of means by which patients will have access to the proposed services.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion.

(14) The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed health services accommodate the clinical needs of health professional training programs in the area, as applicable.

C

In Section M, page 54, the applicant describes the extent to which health professional training programs in the area have access to the facility for training purposes and provides supporting documentation in Exhibit M.

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

application, and

• exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the applicant adequately demonstrates that the proposed services will accommodate the clinical needs of area health professional training programs, and therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

- (15) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (16) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (17) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (18) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (18a) The applicant shall demonstrate the expected effects of the proposed services on competition in the proposed service area, including how any enhanced competition will have a positive impact upon the cost effectiveness, quality, and access to the services proposed; and in the case of applications for services where competition between providers will not have a favorable impact on cost-effectiveness, quality, and access to the services proposed, the applicant shall demonstrate that its application is for a service on which competition will not have a favorable impact.

 \mathbf{C}

The applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations to FMC West Fayetteville, an existing facility, for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID #s M-11502-18 (change of scope for Project ID #M-11286-17 to relocate five stations to FKC Rockfish), M-11314-17 (add five stations), M-11650-19 (relocate one station to FKC Rockfish), M-11662-19 (add one station), and M-11740-19 (relocate four stations to FKC Rockfish).

On page 369, the 2019 SMFP defines the service area for dialysis stations as "...the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multicounty Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multicounty Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area." Thus, the service area is Cumberland County. Facilities may serve residents of counties not included in their service area.

The applicant is the only provider of dialysis services in Cumberland County. Currently there are four existing and one approved but not yet developed facilities. See the following table that shows the existing and approved dialysis facilities in Cumberland County, from Table B of the July 2019 SDR:

FACILITY	CERTIFIED	PERCENT	PATIENTS PER
	STATIONS	UTILIZATION	STATION
Fayetteville Kidney Center	50	84.50%	3.38
FMC North Ramsey	40	96.88%	3.88
FMC South Ramsey	51	72.06%	2.88
FMC West Fayetteville	40	110.63%	4.43
FKC Rockfish*	21	0.00%	0.00

^{*}FKC Rockfish was approved pursuant to Project ID#M-11502-18 to combine two previously approved projects (Project ID #M-11286-17 and M-11344-17) into one 20-station facility. Project ID #M-11650-19 was approved to relocate one additional station to FKC Rockfish.

According to Table D in the July 2019 SDR, there is a deficit of six dialysis stations in Cumberland County. The applicant proposes to add four dialysis stations to the existing facility in Cumberland County.

In Section N, pages 55-56, the applicant describes the expected effects of the proposed services on competition in the service area and discusses how any enhanced competition in the service area will promote the cost-effectiveness, quality, and access to the proposed services. The applicant states:

"The applicant does not expect this proposal to have any effect on the competitive climate in Cumberland County. The applicant does not project to serve dialysis patients currently being served by another provider. The projected patient population for the facility begins with patients currently dialyzing at the facility.

. . .

Fresenius related facilities are compelled to operate at maximum dollar efficiency as a result of fixed reimbursement rates from Medicare and Medicaid.

. . .

Fresenius related facilities have done an exceptional job of containing operating costs while continuing to provide outstanding care and treatment to patients.

. . .

Fresenius Medical Care, parent organization for this facility, expects every facility to provide high quality care to every patient at every treatment.

. . .

It is corporate policy to provide all services to all patients regardless of income, racial/ethnic origin, gender, physical or mental conditions, age, ability to pay or any other factor that would classify a patient as underserved."

The applicant adequately describes the expected effects of the proposed services on competition in the service area and adequately demonstrates:

- The cost-effectiveness of the proposal (see Sections B, F, and Q of the application and any exhibits).
- Quality services will be provided (see Sections B and O of the application and any exhibits).
- Access will be provided to underserved groups (see Sections B and L of the application and any exhibits).

Conclusion

The Agency reviewed the:

- application, and
- exhibits to the application.

Based on that review, the Agency concludes that the application is conforming to this criterion for the reasons stated above.

- (19) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (20) An applicant already involved in the provision of health services shall provide evidence that quality care has been provided in the past.

C

In Section Q Form A Facilities, the applicant identifies the kidney disease treatment centers located in North Carolina owned, operated, or managed by the applicant or a related entity. The applicant identifies 127 dialysis facilities owned, operated, or managed by the applicant or a related entity located in North Carolina.

In Section O, page 61, the applicant states that, during the 18 months immediately preceding the submittal of the application, no incidents related to quality of care that resulted in a finding of "Immediate Jeopardy" occurred in any of these facilities. After reviewing and considering information provided by the applicant and publicly available data and considering the quality of care provided at all Fresenius facilities, the applicant provides sufficient evidence that quality care has been provided in the past. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

- (21) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (b) The Department is authorized to adopt rules for the review of particular types of applications that will be used in addition to those criteria outlined in subsection (a) of this section and may vary according to the purpose for which a particular review is being conducted or the type of

health service reviewed. No such rule adopted by the Department shall require an academic medical center teaching hospital, as defined by the State Medical Facilities Plan, to demonstrate that any facility or service at another hospital is being appropriately utilized in order for that academic medical center teaching hospital to be approved for the issuance of a certificate of need to develop any similar facility or service.

C

The Criteria and Standards for End Stage Renal Disease Services promulgated in 10A NCAC 14C .2200 are applicable to this review. The application is conforming to all applicable criteria, as discussed below.

10 NCAC 14C .2203 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

- (a) An applicant proposing to establish a new End Stage Renal Disease facility shall document the need for at least 10 stations based on utilization of 3.2 patients per station per week as of the end of the first operating year of the facility, with the exception that the performance standard shall be waived for a need in the State Medical Facilities Plan that is based on an adjusted need determination.
- -NA- FMC West Fayetteville is an existing facility.
- (b) An applicant proposing to increase the number of dialysis stations in an existing End Stage Renal Disease facility or one that was not operational prior to the beginning of the review period but which had been issued a certificate of need shall document the need for the additional stations based on utilization of 3.2 patients per station per week as of the end of the first operating year of the additional stations.
- -C- In Section Q, page 69, the applicant projects that FMC West Fayetteville will serve 147.7 in-center patients on 40 stations, or a rate of 3.69 patients per station per week, as of the end of the first operating year following project completion. This exceeds the minimum performance standard of 3.2 patients per station per week. The discussion regarding projected utilization found in Criterion (3) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (c) An applicant shall provide all assumptions, including the methodology by which patient utilization is projected.
- -C- In Section Q, pages 70-72, the applicant provides the assumptions and methodology it used to project utilization of the facility. The discussion regarding projected utilization found in Criterion (3) is incorporated herein by reference.