ATTACHMENT - REQUIRED STATE AGENCY FINDINGS

FINDINGS

C = Conforming CA = Conditional NC = Nonconforming NA = Not Applicable

Decision Date:	December 16, 2016
Findings Date:	December 16, 2016
Project Analyst:	Tanya S. Rupp
Team Leader:	Lisa Pittman
Project ID #:	M-11225-16
Facility:	FMC Services of West Fayetteville
FID #:	011019
County:	Cumberland
Applicant(s):	Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc.
Project:	Add five dialysis stations for a total of 40 dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16 (relocate five stations to BMA Fayetteville)

REVIEW CRITERIA FOR NEW INSTITUTIONAL HEALTH SERVICES

G.S. 131E-183(a) The Agency shall review all applications utilizing the criteria outlined in this subsection and shall determine that an application is either consistent with or not in conflict with these criteria before a certificate of need for the proposed project shall be issued.

(1) The proposed project shall be consistent with applicable policies and need determinations in the State Medical Facilities Plan, the need determination of which constitutes a determinative limitation on the provision of any health service, health service facility, health service facility beds, dialysis stations, operating rooms, or home health offices that may be approved.

С

The applicant, Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. d/b/a FMC Services of West Fayetteville ("FMC West Fayetteville") proposes to add five dialysis stations to the existing facility for a total of 40 certified in-center dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16 (relocate five stations to BMA Fayetteville; aka Fayetteville Kidney Center).

Need Determination

The 2016 State Medical Facilities Plan (2016 SMFP) provides a county need methodology and a facility need methodology for determining the need for new dialysis stations. According to the July 2016 Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR), the county need methodology shows there

is no county need determination for additional dialysis stations in Cumberland County. However, the applicant is eligible to apply for additional stations in its existing facility based on the facility need methodology, because the utilization rate reported for FMC West Fayetteville in the July 2016 SDR is 3.77 patients per station per week. This utilization rate was calculated based on 151 in-center dialysis patients and 40 certified dialysis stations as of December 31, 2015 (151 patients / 40 stations = 3.77 patients per station per week). Application of the facility need methodology indicates additional stations are needed for this facility, as illustrated in the following table:

	OCTOBER 1 REVIEW-JULY SDR		
Requi	red SDR Utilization	80%	
Cente	r Utilization Rate as of 12/31/15	94.4%	
Certif	ied Stations	40	
Pendi	ng Stations	0	
Total	Existing and Pending Stations	40	
In-Cer	nter Patients as of 12/31/15 (July 2016 SDR) (SDR2)	151	
In-Ce	nter Patients as of 6/30/15 (Jan 2016 SDR) (SDR1)	154	
Step	Description	Result	
	Difference (SDR2 - SDR1)	-3	
(i)	(i) Multiply the difference by 2 for the projected net in-center change		
(1)	(i) Divide the projected net in-center change for 1 year by the number of in-center patients as of 6/30/15		
(ii)	Divide the result of Step (i) by 12	-0.0032	
(iii)	Multiply the result of Step (ii) by 12 (the number of months from 12/31/14 until 12/31/15)	-0.0390	
Multiply the result of Step (iii) by the number of in-center patients reported in SDR2 and add the product to the number of in-center patients reported in SDR2145.1			
(v)	Divide the result of Step (iv) by 3.2 patients per station	45.3490	
	and subtract the number of certified and pending stations to determine the number of stations needed	5.3490	

As shown in the table above, based on the facility need methodology for dialysis stations, the potential number of stations needed is five stations. Step (C) of the facility need methodology states: *"The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established ..., up to a maximum of ten stations."* The applicant proposes to add five new stations to the facility and, therefore, is consistent with the facility need determination for dialysis stations.

Policies

There is one policy in the 2016 SMFP which is applicable to this review: Policy GEN-3: Basic Principles. Policy GEN-3 states:

"A certificate of need applicant applying to develop or offer a new institutional health service for which there is a need determination in the North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan shall demonstrate how the project will promote safety and quality in the delivery of health care services while promoting equitable access and maximizing healthcare value for resources expended. A certificate of need applicant shall document its plans for providing access to services for patients with limited financial resources and demonstrate the availability of capacity to provide these services. A certificate of need applicant shall also document how its projected volumes incorporate these concepts in meeting the need identified in the State Medical Facilities Plan as well as addressing the needs of all residents in the proposed service area."

The applicant addresses Policy GEN-3 as follows:

<u>Promote Safety and Quality</u> – The applicant describes how it believes the proposed project would promote safety and quality in the delivery of dialysis services in Section B.4(a), page 12, Section O, pages 57 - 60, and referenced exhibits. The information provided by the applicant is reasonable and adequately supports the determination that the applicant's proposal would promote safety and quality in the delivery of dialysis services.

<u>Promote Equitable Access</u> – The applicant describes how it believes the proposed project would promote equitable access in Section B.4(b), page 13, Section L, pages 50 - 53, and referenced exhibits. The information provided by the applicant is reasonable and adequately supports the determination that the applicant's proposal would promote equitable access.

<u>Maximize Healthcare Value</u> – The applicant describes how it believes the proposed project would maximize healthcare value in Section B.4(c) and (d), pages 14 - 15, and Section N, page 56. The information provided by the applicant is reasonable and adequately supports the determination that the applicant's proposal would maximize healthcare value.

The applicant adequately demonstrates how its projected volumes incorporate the concepts of quality, equitable access and maximum value for resources expended in meeting the facility need as identified by the applicant. The application is consistent with Policy GEN-3.

Conclusion

In summary, the applicant adequately demonstrates that the application is consistent with the facility need determination in the July 2016 SDR and with Policy GEN-3 in the 2016 SMFP. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

- (2) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (3) The applicant shall identify the population to be served by the proposed project, and shall demonstrate the need that this population has for the services proposed, and the extent to which all residents of the area, and, in particular, low income persons, racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, the elderly, and other underserved groups are likely to have access to the services proposed.

С

The applicant proposes to add five in-center dialysis stations to the existing facility for a total of 40 certified in-center dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16 (relocate five stations to BMA Fayetteville).

Patient Origin

On page 369, the 2016 SMFP defines the service area for dialysis stations as: "the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multicounty Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multicounty Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area." Thus, the service area for FMC West Fayetteville is Cumberland County. Facilities may serve residents of counties not included in their service area.

In Section C.8, page 21, the applicant provides the current (as of June 30, 2016) in-center patient origin for FMC West Fayetteville, as illustrated in the following table:

as of June 30, 2016			
COUNTY IN-CENTER PATIENTS			
Cumberland	142		
Hoke	9		
Robeson	4		
Sampson	1		
Total	156		

FMC West	Fayetteville Patient Origin			
as of June 30, 2016				
G				

In Section C.1, page 17, the applicant projects in-center patient origin for FMC West Fayetteville for the first two years of operation following completion of the project, as shown in the table below. On page 17, the applicant states FMC West Fayetteville is not certified to provide home training.

COUNTY	OY 1 (CY 2018)	OY 2 (CY 2019)	COUNTY PATIENTS AS % OF TOTAL	
	IN-CENTER PTS	IN-CENTER PTS	OY 1	OY 2
Cumberland	159.2	167.5	94.1%	94.4%
Hoke	9.0	9.0	5.3%	5.1%
Sampson	1.0	1.0	0.6%	0.6%
Total	169.2	177.5	100.0%	100.0%

FMC West Fayetteville Projected Patient Origin, CY 2018 – CY 2019

The applicant provides the assumptions and methodology used to project patient origin in Section C.1, pages 17 - 18. The applicant adequately identifies the population to be served.

Analysis of Need

In Section B.1, page 10, the applicant provides a table to show the application is filed pursuant to the facility need methodology in the 2016 SMFP, which utilizes data from the July 2016 SDR. The applicant proposes to add five dialysis stations to FMC West Fayetteville for a total of 40 certified stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16.

In Section C.1, pages 17 - 18, the applicant provides the assumptions and methodology to support its need. Although the facility need methodology demonstrates a need in this facility for five more stations by using the patient census of 154 patients as published in the July 2016 SDR, the applicant begins its projections and methodology with the updated data it reported on the ESRD Data Collection Forms that are used for the January 2017 SDR. The applicant's assumptions and methodology are summarized below:

- The applicant begins with its June 30, 2016 patient census of 156 patients, as reported in its ESRD Data Collection Forms. This is an increase of five patients over the 151 patients reported in the July 2016 SDR as of December 31, 2015.
- The applicant projects growth of the Cumberland County patients using the Cumberland County Five Year Average Annual Change Rate (AACR) of 5.2% as published in the July 2016 SDR.
- In Project ID #M-11219-16, the applicant was approved to relocate five stations to BMA Fayetteville. Along with those stations, the applicant projected that four Robeson County patients and two additional patients would transfer their care to the facility that would receive the stations. In this application, the applicant confirms that those six patients will not be counted in the patient census projections for FMC West Fayetteville.
- The applicant projects the stations proposed in this application will be certified on December 31, 2017; therefore, the first project year is CY 2018 and the second project year is CY 2019.
- The applicant states on page 18 that 14 of the 156 patients dialyzing at FMC West Fayetteville as of June 30, 2016 reside outside of Cumberland County. Furthermore, the applicant states it will grow only those Cumberland County residents in its projections, and then add the other patients to that number.
- The applicant states the four patients who reside in Robeson County and who will transfer their care to BMA Fayetteville (formerly Fayetteville Kidney Center) will do so on June 30, 2017.

Projected Utilization

In Section C.1, page 18, the applicant begins with the Cumberland County patient census as of June 30, 2016 (reported on the ESRD Data Collection Form), and projects the following utilization for FMC West Fayetteville following the addition of the five stations as proposed in this application:

142
$142 \ge 1.026 = 145.692$
145.692 x 1.026 = 149.48
149.48 - 2 = 147.48
147.48 x 1.026 = 151.31
151.31 + 10 = 161.31
151.31 x 1.052 = 159.18
159.18 + 10 = 169.18
159.18 x 1.052 = 167.46
167.46 + 10 = 177.46

The applicant rounds down to the nearest whole number and projects to serve 169 in-center patients on 40 stations or 4.2 patients per station per week (169 / 40 = 4.225) by the end of Operating Year 1, and 177 in-center patients or 4.43 patients per station per week (177 / 40 = 4.425) by the end of Operating Year 2 for the proposed facility. This exceeds the minimum of 3.2 patients per station per week as of the end of the first operating year as required by 10A NCAC 14C .2203(b).

The applicant adequately demonstrates that projected utilization is based on reasonable and adequately supported assumptions regarding continued growth.

Access

In Section C.3, pages 19 - 20, the applicant states that BMA and its parent company, Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. currently operate 105 facilities in 42 North Carolina Counties. Each facility has a patient population which includes low-income, racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped, elderly, or other traditionally underserved persons. In Section L.7, page 54, the applicant shows that in CY 2015, 92.5% of the in-center patients were recipients of Medicare or Medicaid. In Section L.1, page 51, the applicant projects that 88.58% of the projected in-center patients will have some or all of their services paid for by Medicare or Medicaid. In Section C.3, page 19, the applicant states that it is corporate policy of Fresenius Medical Holdings, Inc. to provide dialysis services to all persons at all Fresenius related facilities.

The applicant adequately demonstrates the extent to which all residents of the area, including the medically underserved, will have access to the proposed services.

Conclusion

In summary, the applicant adequately identifies the population to be served, adequately demonstrates the need that this population has for the proposed project, and adequately demonstrates the extent to which all residents, including underserved groups, will have access to the proposed services. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(3a) In the case of a reduction or elimination of a service, including the relocation of a facility or a service, the applicant shall demonstrate that the needs of the population presently served will be met adequately by the proposed relocation or by alternative arrangements, and the effect of the reduction, elimination or relocation of the service on the ability of low income persons, racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, and other underserved groups and the elderly to obtain needed health care.

NA

(4) Where alternative methods of meeting the needs for the proposed project exist, the applicant shall demonstrate that the least costly or most effective alternative has been proposed.

CA

In Section E.1, page 25, the applicant describes the alternatives considered prior to submitting this application for the proposed project, which include:

- Maintain the Status Quo –The applicant states that maintaining the status quo is not an effective alternative because it ignores the current patients who choose to dialyze at FMC West Fayetteville. The applicant states maintaining the status quo would do nothing to enhance access to dialysis care for patients who reside in Cumberland County.
- Apply for Fewer Stations The applicant states it rejected this alternative because the facility is expected to be utilized in excess of 100% even after adding stations. The applicant states that more stations are needed.
- Relocate Stations from FMC South Ramsey FMC South Ramsey's utilization was 70% as reported in the July 2016 SDR. However, the applicant states the FMC South Ramsey facility is largely used to support a transient dialysis patient population. Its location near Fort Bragg ensures that patients who pass through the area are assured of access to dialysis services when needed. To decrease the number of stations at FMC South Ramsey could jeopardize patient access when needed.
- Relocate Stations from FMC North Ramsey The applicant states the utilization of FMC North Ramsey reported in the June 30, 2016 ESRD Data Collection Form was 87.5%. The applicant states the facility will qualify in 2017 for additional stations and thus is not a viable facility from which to donate stations.

After considering those alternatives, the applicant states the alternative represented in the application is the most effective alternative to meet the identified need.

Furthermore, the application is conforming to all other statutory and regulatory review criteria, and thus, is approvable. A project that cannot be approved cannot be an effective alternative.

In summary, the applicant adequately demonstrates that this proposal is the least costly or most effective alternative to meet the identified need. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion and approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. d/b/a FMC Services of West Fayetteville shall materially comply with all representations made in the certificate of need application.
- 2. Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. d/b/a FMC Services of West Fayetteville shall add no more than five dialysis stations for a total of 40 dialysis stations, which shall include any home hemodialysis or isolation stations, following completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16.
- 3. Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. d/b/a FMC Services of West Fayetteville shall install plumbing and electrical wiring through the walls for no more than five dialysis stations, which shall include any isolation or home hemodialysis stations.
- 4. Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. d/b/a FMC Services of West Fayetteville shall acknowledge acceptance of and agree to comply with all conditions stated herein to the Agency in writing prior to issuance of the certificate of need.
- (5) Financial and operational projections for the project shall demonstrate the availability of funds for capital and operating needs as well as the immediate and long-term financial feasibility of the proposal, based upon reasonable projections of the costs of and charges for providing health services by the person proposing the service.

С

The applicant, Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina Inc., proposes to add five stations to FMC West Fayetteville for a facility total of 40 in-center dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16.

Capital and Working Capital Costs

In Section F.1, page 27, the applicant projects no capital costs to add the five proposed stations, since the space is already in the facility and the dialysis machines are leased. In Sections F.10 - F.12, page 30, the applicant projects no start-up expenses or initial operating expenses, since the facility is currently operational.

Financial Feasibility

In Section R, the applicant provides pro forma financial statements for the first two years of the project. In the pro forma financial statements (Form B), the applicant projects that revenues will exceed operating expenses in the first two operating years of the project, as shown in the table below.

	CY 2018	CY 2019
Total Net Revenue	\$7,450,479	\$7,811,533
Total Operating Expenses	\$6,125,966	\$6,387,508
Net Income	\$1,324,513	\$1,424,025

Source: application page 70; totals may not foot due to rounding

The assumptions used by the applicant in preparation of the pro forma financial statements are reasonable, including projected utilization, costs and charges. See Section R, the financial section of the application, for the assumptions used regarding costs and charges. The discussion regarding utilization projections found in Criterion (3) is incorporated herein by reference. The applicant adequately demonstrates the availability of sufficient funds for the operating needs of the project and that the financial feasibility of the proposal is based upon reasonable and adequately supported assumptions regarding projected utilization, revenues (charges) and operating costs.

Conclusion

In summary, the applicant adequately demonstrates that the financial feasibility of the proposal is based upon reasonable projections of costs and charges. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(6) The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed project will not result in unnecessary duplication of existing or approved health service capabilities or facilities.

С

The applicant proposes to add five in-center dialysis stations to the existing facility for a total of 40 certified in-center dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16 (relocate five stations to BMA Fayetteville).

On page 369, the 2016 SMFP defines the service area for dialysis stations as: "the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multicounty Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multicounty Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area." Thus, the service area for FMC West Fayetteville is Cumberland County. Facilities may serve residents of counties not included in their service area.

The July 2016 SDR shows that there are currently four dialysis facilities in Cumberland County, all of which are operated by the applicant. In Project ID #M-10304-14 the applicant was

% # IN-PATIENTS CERTIFIED CENTER PER UTILIZATION **DIALYSIS FACILITY PATIENTS STATION STATIONS** AS OF 12/31/15 BMA Favetteville ------Favetteville Kidney Center 39 137 3.51 87.8% 76.9% FMC Dialysis Services North Ramsey 40 123 3.07 FMC Dialysis Services South Ramsey 51 143 2.80 70.1% 94.3% FMC Services of West Fayetteville 40 151 3.78

approved to relocate and rename Fayetteville Kidney Center, to be known as BMA Fayetteville. The following table shows the utilization of all facilities as reported in the July 2016 SDR:

Source: July 2016 Semi-Annual Dialysis Report

As shown in the table above, based on the most recent SDR, all of the dialysis facilities in Cumberland County were operating above 70% utilization; two were operating above 85% utilization. The applicant described the transient nature of the patient population and thus the reason the utilization at the two Ramsey facilities fluctuates, in Section E, page 25. In Section C.1, pages 17 - 18, the applicant provides reasonable projections for the in-center patient population it proposes to serve. The applicant's growth projections are based on the Five Year AACR for Cumberland County as published in the July 2016 SDR. The applicant adequately demonstrates the need to add five stations to FMC West Fayetteville based on the number of incenter patients it proposes to serve.

The discussion of analysis of need found in Criterion (3) is incorporated herein by reference. The discussion of competition found in Criterion (18a) is incorporated herein by reference.

The applicant adequately demonstrates that the proposal will not result in the unnecessary duplication of existing or approved dialysis stations or facilities in Cumberland County. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(7) The applicant shall show evidence of the availability of resources, including health manpower and management personnel, for the provision of the services proposed to be provided.

С

In Section H.1, page 38, the applicant provides the current and proposed staffing for the facility following the addition of five dialysis stations. The applicant does not propose to add additional staffing, because the facility was operating with 40 stations, which it will have following completion of this project and Project ID # M-11219-16. The following table illustrates current and proposed staff at FMC West Fayetteville:

FMC Services of West Fayetteville Project ID # H-11225-16 Page 11

POSITION	# TOTAL FTE
	POSITIONS
Registered Nurse	7.00
Patient Care Technician	16.00
Clinical Manager	1.00
Administrator	0.20
Dietician	1.00
Social Worker	1.00
Chief Technician	0.20
Equipment Technician	1.00
In-Service	0.20
Clerical	1.25
Total	28.85

In Section I.3, page 42, the applicant identifies Richmond Nuamah, M.D. as the existing and continuing Medical Director for the facility. Exhibit I-5 contains a letter from Dr. Nuamah, expressing his interest in continuing to serve as the Medical Director for the facility. The applicant adequately demonstrates the availability of sufficient health manpower and management personnel to provide the proposed services. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(8) The applicant shall demonstrate that the provider of the proposed services will make available, or otherwise make arrangements for, the provision of the necessary ancillary and support services. The applicant shall also demonstrate that the proposed service will be coordinated with the existing health care system.

С

In Section I.1, page 41, the applicant includes a list of providers of the necessary ancillary and support services for the patients who dialyze at the facility. In Exhibit I-1, I-2, I-3 and I-4, the applicant provides home training, lab, hospital affiliation and transplant agreements, respectively. In Section L-4, page 53, the applicant discusses FMC West Fayetteville's relationship with local healthcare and social services providers. The applicant adequately demonstrates that the necessary ancillary and support services will continue to be available and that the proposed services will be continue to be coordinated with the existing health care system. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(9) An applicant proposing to provide a substantial portion of the project's services to individuals not residing in the health service area in which the project is located, or in adjacent health service areas, shall document the special needs and circumstances that warrant service to these individuals.

NA

(10) When applicable, the applicant shall show that the special needs of health maintenance organizations will be fulfilled by the project. Specifically, the applicant shall show that the project accommodates: (a) The needs of enrolled members and reasonably anticipated new members of the HMO for the health service to be provided by the organization; and (b) The

availability of new health services from non-HMO providers or other HMOs in a reasonable and cost-effective manner which is consistent with the basic method of operation of the HMO. In assessing the availability of these health services from these providers, the applicant shall consider only whether the services from these providers:

- (i) would be available under a contract of at least 5 years duration;
- (ii) would be available and conveniently accessible through physicians and other health professionals associated with the HMO;
- (iii) would cost no more than if the services were provided by the HMO; and
- (iv) would be available in a manner which is administratively feasible to the HMO.

NA

- (11) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (12) Applications involving construction shall demonstrate that the cost, design, and means of construction proposed represent the most reasonable alternative, and that the construction project will not unduly increase the costs of providing health services by the person proposing the construction project or the costs and charges to the public of providing health services by other persons, and that applicable energy saving features have been incorporated into the construction plans.

NA

- (13) The applicant shall demonstrate the contribution of the proposed service in meeting the healthrelated needs of the elderly and of members of medically underserved groups, such as medically indigent or low income persons, Medicaid and Medicare recipients, racial and ethnic minorities, women, and handicapped persons, which have traditionally experienced difficulties in obtaining equal access to the proposed services, particularly those needs identified in the State Health Plan as deserving of priority. For the purpose of determining the extent to which the proposed service will be accessible, the applicant shall show:
 - (a) The extent to which medically underserved populations currently use the applicant's existing services in comparison to the percentage of the population in the applicant's service area which is medically underserved;

С

In Section L.1, page 50, the applicant states that BMA has a long history of providing dialysis services to the underserved populations of North Carolina. FMC, BMA's parent company, currently operates 105 facilities in 42 North Carolina Counties, including affiliations with RRI facilities. The applicant states there are ten additional facilities under development. The applicant further states its policy is to provide all services to all patients regardless of income, racial/ethnic origin, gender, physical or mental conditions, age, ability to pay or any other factor which would classify a patient as underserved.

In Section L.7, page 54, the applicant provides the historical payor mix for FMC West Fayetteville for CY 2015, as shown in the following table:

CY 2015			
PAYOR TYPE	% OF PATIENTS		
Private Pay	0.37%		
Commercial Insurance	3.20%		
Medicare	75.23%		
Medicaid	4.94%		
Misc. (includes VA)	3.91%		
Medicare Commercial	12.34%		
Total	100.00%		

FMC Wes	t Fayetteville	Payor	Mix
	CV 2015		

Totals may not foot due to rounding

As illustrated in the table above, 92.5% of FMC West Fayetteville's patients were Medicare or Medicaid recipients in CY 2015.

The applicant does not propose to provide home training at the proposed facility.

In addition, the applicant describes its admission and financial policies in Section L.3, page 52, and provides a copy of its admission policy in Exhibit L-1, which states that patients will be admitted *"without regard to race, creed or religion, color, age, sex, disability, national origin, and or sexual orientation."*

The United States Census Bureau provides demographic data for North Carolina and all counties in North Carolina. The following table contains relevant demographic statistics for the applicants' service area.

	Percent of Population					
County	% 65+	% Female	% Racial and Ethnic Minority*	% Persons in Poverty**	% < Age 65 with a Disability	% < Age 65 without Health Insurance**
	2014	2014 Estimate	2014 Estimate	2010 2014	2010 2014	
2014 Estimate	Estimate	2014 Estimate	2014 Estimate	2010-2014	2010-2014	2014 Estimate
Cumberland	11%	51%	55%	18%	11%	16%
Statewide	15%	51%	36%	17%	10%	15%

http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table Latest Data as of 12/22/15

*Excludes "White alone" who are "not Hispanic or Latino"

**"This geographic level of poverty and health estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels of these estimates

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable...The vintage year (e.g., V2015) refers to the final year of the series (2010 thru 2015). Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable."

However, a direct comparison to the applicant's current payor mix would be of little value. The population data by age, race or gender does not include information on the number of elderly, minorities, women or handicapped persons utilizing health services.

FMC Services of West Fayetteville Project ID # H-11225-16 Page 14

The *Southeastern Kidney Council Network 6 Inc. Annual Report*¹ provides prevalence data on North Carolina dialysis patients by age, race, and gender on page 59, summarized as follows:

Number and Percent of Dialysis Patients by Age, Race, and Gender 2014				
	# of ESRD Patients	% of Dialysis Population		
Age				
0-19	52	0.3%		
20-34	770	4.8%		
35-44	1,547	9.7%		
45-54	2,853	17.8%		
55-64	4,175	26.1%		
65+	6,601	41.3%		
Gender				
Female	7,064	44.2%		
Male	8,934	55.8%		
Race				
African-American	9,855	61.6%		
White	5,778	36.1%		
Other, inc. not specified	365	2.3%		

The applicant adequately demonstrates that it currently provides adequate access to medically underserved populations. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(b) Its past performance in meeting its obligation, if any, under any applicable regulations requiring provision of uncompensated care, community service, or access by minorities and handicapped persons to programs receiving federal assistance, including the existence of any civil rights access complaints against the applicant;

С

In Section L.3(e), page 52, the applicant states:

"BMA of North Carolina facilities do not have any obligation to provide uncompensated care or community service under any federal regulations. ... The applicant will treat all patients the same regardless of race or handicap status."

¹http://www.esrdnetwork6.org/utils/pdf/annual-report/2014%20Network%206%20Annual%20Report.pdf

In Section L.6, page 53, the applicant states there have been no civil rights access complaints filed against any BMA North Carolina facilities within the past five years. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(c) That the elderly and the medically underserved groups identified in this subdivision will be served by the applicant's proposed services and the extent to which each of these groups is expected to utilize the proposed services; and

С

In Section L.1(b), page 51, the applicant projects that 88.58% of the in-center patients who will receive treatments at FMC West Fayetteville will have some or all of their services paid for by Medicare or Medicaid. The table below shows the projected payor mix for the facility for in-center patients in PY 2 (CY 2019):

PAYOR TYPE	AYOR TYPE IN-CENTER	
	PATIENTS	
Medicare	70.98%	
Medicaid	5.20%	
Commercial Insurance	5.46%	
Medicare/Commercial Insurance	12.40%	
Miscellaneous (incl. VA)	4.88%	
Self Pay/Indigent	1.09%	
Total	100.00%	

Totals may not foot due to rounding

In Section L, page 51, the applicant states projected payor mix is based on the historical performance of FMC West Fayetteville. The applicant's projected payor mix in Section L is consistent with the facility's projected (CY 2019) payor mix as reported by the applicant in Section R, page 70. The applicant demonstrates that medically underserved groups will continue to have adequate access to the dialysis services offered at FMC West Fayetteville. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(d) That the applicant offers a range of means by which a person will have access to its services. Examples of a range of means are outpatient services, admission by house staff, and admission by personal physicians.

С

In Section L.4, page 53, the applicant describes the range of means by which a person will have access to the dialysis services at FMC West Fayetteville, including referrals from nephrologists, other physicians, or hospital emergency rooms. The applicant adequately demonstrates that the facility will offer a range of means by which patients will have access to dialysis services. Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

(14) The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed health services accommodate the clinical needs of health professional training programs in the area, as applicable.

С

In Section M.1, page 55, the applicant states that it has communicated with the student nursing program at Fayetteville Technical Community College to offer the facility as a clinical training site for nursing students. In Exhibit M-1, the applicant provides a September 14, 2016 letter to Fayetteville Technical Community College that invites the college to include the facility in its clinical nursing rotation. The information provided is reasonable and adequately supports a determination that the application is conforming to this criterion.

- (15) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (16) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (17) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (18) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (18a) The applicant shall demonstrate the expected effects of the proposed services on competition in the proposed service area, including how any enhanced competition will have a positive impact upon the cost effectiveness, quality, and access to the services proposed; and in the case of applications for services where competition between providers will not have a favorable impact on cost-effectiveness, quality, and access to the services proposed, the applicant shall demonstrate that its application is for a service on which competition will not have a favorable impact.

С

The applicant proposes to add five in-center dialysis stations to the existing facility for a total of 40 certified in-center dialysis stations upon completion of this project and Project ID# M-11219-16 (relocate five stations to BMA Fayetteville).

On page 369, the 2016 SMFP defines the service area for dialysis stations as: "the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multicounty Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multicounty Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area." Thus, the service area for FMC West Fayetteville is Cumberland County. Facilities may serve residents of counties not included in their service area.

The July 2016 SDR shows that there are currently four dialysis facilities in Cumberland County, all of which are operated by the applicant. In Project ID #M-10304-14 the applicant was approved to relocate and rename Fayetteville Kidney Center, to be known as BMA Fayetteville. The following table shows the utilization of all facilities as reported in the July 2016 SDR:

FMC Services of West Fayetteville Project ID # H-11225-16 Page 17

DIALYSIS FACILITY	# Certified Stations As of 12/31/15	# In- Center Patients	PATIENTS PER STATION	% Utilization
BMA Fayetteville				
Fayetteville Kidney Center	39	137	3.51	87.8%
FMC Dialysis Services North Ramsey	40	123	3.07	76.9%
FMC Dialysis Services South Ramsey	51	143	2.80	70.1%
FMC Services of West Fayetteville	40	151	3.78	94.3%

Source: July 2016 Semi-Annual Dialysis Report

As shown in the table above, FMC West Fayetteville is operating at 94.3% utilization (3.78 patients per station per week). This is based on the assumption that dialysis facilities that operate four shifts per week (2 per day on alternate days) have a capacity of four patients per station per week.

The applicant adequately demonstrates that projected utilization of FMC West Fayetteville is based on growth of in-center patients at the facility. Furthermore, the applicant provides reasonable projections for the patient population it proposes to serve in Section C, pages 17 - 18 of the application. Growth projections for the patient population are based on the Cumberland County Five Year AACR reported in the July 2016 SDR.

In Section N.1, page 56, the applicant discusses how any enhanced competition will have a positive impact on the cost-effectiveness, quality and access to the proposed services. The applicant states:

"BMA does not expect this proposal to have effect on the competitive climate in Cumberland County. All of the dialysis facilities in Cumberland County are operated by Fresenius Medical Care, parent to BMA. BMA does not project to serve dialysis patients currently being served by another provider in a contiguous county. The projected patient population for the facility begins with patients currently served by BMA, and a growth of that patient population using a five year average annual change rate of 5.2% for the Cumberland County patients.

•••

BMA facilities are compelled to operate at maximum dollar efficiency as a result of fixed reimbursement rates from Medicare and Medicaid. The majority of our patients rely upon Medicare and Medicaid to cover the expense of their treatments. In this application, BMA projects that greater than 90% of the In-center patients will be relying upon government payors (Medicare/Medicaid/VA). The facility must capitalize upon every opportunity for efficiency.

BMA facilities have done an exceptional job of containing operating costs while continuing to provide outstanding care and treatment to patients. ... This proposal will certainly not adversely affect quality, but rather, enhance the quality of the ESRD patients' lives by offering another convenient venue for dialysis care and treatment."

See also Sections B, C, E, F, G, H and L in which the applicant discusses the impact of the project on cost-effectiveness, quality and access.

The information in the application is reasonable and adequately demonstrates that any enhanced competition in the service area includes a positive impact on the cost-effectiveness, quality and access to the proposed services. This determination is based on the information in the application and the following analysis:

- The applicant adequately demonstrates the need to add five dialysis stations to the existing facility and that it is a cost-effective alternative. The discussions regarding the analysis of need and alternatives found in Criteria (3) and (4), respectively, are incorporated herein by reference.
- The applicant adequately demonstrates it will provide quality services. The discussion regarding quality found in Criteria (1) and (20) is incorporated herein by reference.
- The applicant demonstrates that it will provide adequate access to medically underserved populations. The discussion regarding access found in Criteria (1), (3) and (13) is incorporated herein by reference.

Therefore, the application is conforming to this criterion.

- (19) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (20) An applicant already involved in the provision of health services shall provide evidence that quality care has been provided in the past.

С

In Exhibit A-4, the applicant identifies the kidney disease treatment centers located in North Carolina owned and operated by the applicant or an affiliated company. In Section O.3, pages 60 - 62, the applicant identifies three of its North Carolina facilities, BMA Lumberton, BMA East Charlotte and RAI West College-Warsaw Dialysis that were cited in the past 18 months for deficiencies in compliance with 42 CFR Part 494, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) Conditions for Coverage of ESRD facilities. On page 62, the applicant states all three facilities are currently back in full compliance with CMS Guidelines as of the date of submission of this application. Based on a review of the certificate of need application and publicly available data, the applicant adequately demonstrates that it has provided quality care during the 18 months immediately preceding the submittal of the application through the date of the decision. The application is conforming to this criterion.

- (21) Repealed effective July 1, 1987.
- (b) The Department is authorized to adopt rules for the review of particular types of applications that will be used in addition to those criteria outlined in subsection (a) of this section and may vary according to the purpose for which a particular review is being conducted or the type of

health service reviewed. No such rule adopted by the Department shall require an academic medical center teaching hospital, as defined by the State Medical Facilities Plan, to demonstrate that any facility or service at another hospital is being appropriately utilized in order for that academic medical center teaching hospital to be approved for the issuance of a certificate of need to develop any similar facility or service.

С

The application is conforming to all applicable Criteria and Standards for End Stage Renal Disease Services promulgated in 10A NCAC 14C .2200. The specific criteria are discussed below:

10 NCAC 14C .2203 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

- .2203(a) An applicant proposing to establish a new End Stage Renal Disease facility shall document the need for at least 10 stations based on utilization of 3.2 patients per station per week as of the end of the first operating year of the facility, with the exception that the performance standard shall be waived for a need in the State Medical Facilities Plan that is based on an adjusted need determination
- -NA- The applicant does not propose to establish a new End Stage Renal Disease facility.

(b) An applicant proposing to increase the number of dialysis stations in an existing End Stage Renal Disease facility or one that was not operational prior to the beginning of the review period but which had been issued a certificate of need shall document the need for the additional stations based on utilization of 3.2 patients per station per week as of the end of the first operating year of the additional stations.

-C- The applicant proposes to increase the number of in-center stations at FMC West Fayetteville pursuant to the facility need methodology. In Section C.1, page18, the applicant projects the facility will dialyze 169 in-center patients on 40 stations in the first operating year, which is 4.22 patients per station per week.

(c) An applicant shall provide all assumptions, including the methodology by which patient utilization is projected.

-C- The applicant provides all assumptions, including the methodology by which patient utilization is projected, in Section C.1, pages 17 - 18.