

NORTH CAROLINA STATE HEALTH COORDINATING COUNCIL

MEDICAL FACILITIES PLANNING SECTION

DIVISION OF FACILITY SERVICES

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

July 2007 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report

Issued July 2, 2007

Prepared by
Victoria McClanahan, Planner
Medical Facilities Planning Section
Division of Facility Services
NC Department of Health and Human Services

Under the direction of the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council

For information or copies, contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section Division of Facility Services 2714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714 (919) 855 - 3865 Telephone Number (919) 715 - 4413 FAX Number



The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.

END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE DIALYSIS FACILITIES July 2007 Semiannual Dialysis Report

Introduction

The <u>2007 State Medical Facilities Plan</u> requires semiannual determination of need for new dialysis stations in North Carolina. This approach calls for publication of "Semiannual Dialysis Reports" (SDR) during January and July. The <u>2007 Plan</u> specifies that the Semiannual Dialysis Reports "...will use facility, station and active patient data as of June 30, 2006 for the 'January 2007 SDR,' and as of December 31, 2006 for the 'July 2007 SDR.' A new five-year trend line will be established in the 'July 2007 SDR,' based on validated data as reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the time period ending December 31, 2006."

This document is the "July 2007 SDR." It reiterates the methodology and presents need determinations for the Certificate of Need Review beginning October 1, 2007.

Summary of Dialysis Station Supply and Utilization

For purposes of the Semiannual Dialysis Report, as of June 25, 2007 there were 152 End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) dialysis facilities certified and operating in North Carolina (i.e., facilities reporting patient data via the Southeastern Kidney Council), providing a total of 3,627 dialysis stations. Certificates of need had been issued for an additional 233 dialysis stations, but the stations were not yet certified. Another 85 dialysis stations had been requested, but had not completed the certificate of need review and appeals process. The number of facilities per county ranged from zero to twelve.

Utilization data as of December 31, 2006 are presented in the final two columns of Table A. Of the 149 certified facilities operational on that date, 78 were at or above 80% utilization (i.e., operating with at least 3.2 patients per station).

Sources of Data

Inventory Data:

Data on the current number of dialysis facilities and stations were obtained from the Certificate of Need Section and from the Licensure and Certification Section, Division of Facility Services, N. C. Department of Health and Human Services.

Dialysis Patient Data:

Data on the dialysis population by county and by facility as of December 31, 2006 were provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) through the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. (SEKC) and the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition, Inc.

County Data are designed to include all North Carolina residents of each county who are receiving dialysis, regardless of where they are currently being served. The numbers of North Carolina patients being served in North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina as of December 31, 2006 were provided by the SEKC on May 17, 2007. The SEKC noted that data in their report were based upon information provided to the SEKC by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of May 17, 2007. The SEKC stated that these data are subject to change (SEKC Disclaimer attached as Appendix A). County totals from the SEKC were supplemented by data from the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition indicating the number of patients residing in North Carolina counties and receiving dialysis in Virginia. Data for December 31st of 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 have been provided by the same sources for the five-year trend analysis.

<u>Facility Data</u> include all patients being served by each provider as of December 31, 2006 regardless of the county or state of each patient's residence. These figures were also provided by the SEKC on May 17, 2007. Again, the SEKC noted that these figures reflect data provided to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of May 17, 2007. The SEKC also stated that these figures are subject to change.

Method for Projection of New Dialysis Station Need

The 2007 State Medical Facilities Plan (SMFP) directs the Medical Facilities Planning Section to "...determine need for new dialysis stations two times each calendar year, and...make a report of such determinations available to all who request it." The basic principles, methodology and timeline to be used were specified in the 2007 SMFP and are presented below:

Basic Principles

The principles underlying projection of need for additional dialysis stations are as follows:

- 1. Increases in the number of facilities or stations should be done to meet the specific need for either a new facility or an expansion.
- 2. New facilities must have a projected need for at least 10 stations (or 32 patients at 3.2 patients per station) to be cost effective and to assure quality of care.
- 3. The Medical Facilities Planning Section will maintain a list of existing facilities and stations, utilization rates and projected need by county that is up-dated semiannually. Updated projections will be available two times a year on a published schedule. Existing or potential providers interested in expanding in any area of the State may contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section for projected need in the area of interest. (Note: A dialysis station's service area is the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the

Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-County Planning Area and the new Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multi-County Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.)

- 4. Updates of the projections may target counties that have developed sufficient need to warrant consideration for facility expansion or for establishment of a new facility. Actual numbers are not published in the Plan so they can be updated as appropriate by the Medical Facilities Planning Section.
- 5. Home patients will not be included in the determination of need for new stations. Home patients include those that receive hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis in their home.
- 6. No existing facility may expand unless its utilization is 80% or greater. Any facility at 80% utilization or greater may apply to expand.
- 7. Facilities reporting no patients through the Southeastern Kidney Council for four consecutive Semiannual Dialysis Reports, beginning from March 1997, will be excluded from future inventories.
- 8. Quality of Care: All facilities should comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations relating to the delivery and certification of ESRD services and with relevant North Carolina statutory provisions. An applicant already involved in the provision of end-stage renal disease services should provide evidence that care of high quality has been provided in the past. The following are considered indicators of quality of care and existing providers proposing to expand their operations should include in their applications data which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. utilization rates
 - b. morbidity and mortality rates
 - c. numbers of patients that are home trained and patients on home dialysis
 - d. number of patients receiving transplants
 - e. number of patients currently on the transplant waiting list
 - f. hospital admission rates
 - g. conversion rates for patients who have acquired hepatitis or AIDS
- 9. Availability of Manpower and Ancillary/Support Services: The applicant should show evidence of the availability of qualified staff and other health manpower and management for the provision of quality ESRD services as well as the availability of a safe and adequate water supply, provision for treatment of wastewater discharge and a standing electrical service with backup capabilities.

- 10. Patient Access to In-Center ESRD Services: As a means of making ESRD services more accessible to patients, one of the goals of the N. C. Department of Health and Human Services is to minimize patient travel time to and from the center. Therefore,
 - a. End-stage renal disease treatment should be provided in North Carolina such that patients who require renal dialysis are able to be served in a facility no farther than 30 miles from the patients' homes.
 - b. In areas where it is apparent that patients are currently traveling more than 30 miles for in-center dialysis, favorable consideration should be given to proposed new facilities which would serve patients who are farthest away from existing, operational or approved facilities.
- 11. Transplantation Services: Transplantation services should be available to, and a priority for, all ESRD patients whose conditions make them suitable candidates for this treatment. New enrollees should meet with and have access to a transplantation representative to provide patient education and evaluation for transplantation.
- 12. Availability of Dialysis Care: The N. C. State Health Coordinating Council encourages applicants for dialysis stations to provide or arrange for:
 - Home training and backup for patients suitable for home dialysis in the ESRD dialysis facility or in a facility that is a reasonable distance from the patient's residence;
 - ESRD dialysis service availability at times that do not interfere with ESRD patients' work schedules;
 - c. Services in rural, remote areas.

Methodology

Need for new dialysis stations shall be determined as follows:

- (1) County Need (for the July 2007 SDR Using a new trend line based on 12/31/06 data)
 - (A) The average annual rate (%) of change in total number of dialysis patients resident in each county from the end of 2002 to the end of 2006 is multiplied by the county's December 31, 2006 total number of patients in the SDR, and the product is added to each county's most recent total number of patients reported in the SDR. The sum is the county's projected total December 31, 2007 patients.
 - (B) The percent of each county's total patients who were home dialysis patients on December 31, 2006 is multiplied by the county's projected total December 31, 2007 patients, and the product is subtracted from the county's projected total December 31, 2007 patients. The remainder is the county's projected December 31, 2007 in-center dialysis patients.

- (C) The projected number of each county's December 31, 2007 in-center patients is divided by 3.2. The quotient is the projection of the county's December 31, 2007 in-center dialysis stations.
- (D) From each county's projected number of December 31, 2007 in-center stations is subtracted the county's number of stations certified for Medicare, CON-approved and awaiting certification, awaiting resolution of CON appeals, and the number represented by need determinations in previous State Medical Facilities Plans or Semiannual Dialysis Reports for which CON decisions have not been made. The remainder is the county's December 31, 2007 projected station surplus or deficit.
- (E) If a county's December 31, 2007 projected station deficit is ten or greater and the July SDR shows that utilization of each dialysis facility in the county is 80% or greater, the December 31, 2007 county station need determination is the same as the December 31, 2007 projected station deficit. If a county's December 31, 2007 projected station deficit is less than ten or if the utilization of any dialysis facility in the county is less than 80%, the county's December 31, 2007 station need determination is zero.

(2) Facility Need

A dialysis facility located in a county for which the result of the County Need methodology is zero in the current Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) is determined to need additional stations to the extent that:

- (A) Its utilization, reported in the current SDR, is 3.2 patients per station or greater.
- (B) Such need, calculated as follows, is reported in an application for a certificate of need:
 - (i) The facility's number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the previous Dialysis Report (SDR₁) is subtracted from the number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the current SDR (SDR₂). The difference is multiplied by 2 to project the net in-center change for 1 year. Divide the projected net in-center change for the year by the number of in-center patients from SDR₁ to determine the projected annual growth rate.
 - (ii) The quotient from (2)(B)(i) is divided by 12.
 - (iii) The quotient from (2)(B)(ii) is multiplied by 12 (the number of months from December 31, 2006 until December 31, 2007) for the July 2, 2007 SDR. (NOTE: For the July SDR, Steps (ii) and (iii) cancel one another.)

- (iv) The product from (2)(B)(iii) is multiplied by the number of the facility's in-center patients reported in the current SDR and that product is added to such reported number of in-center patients.
- (v) The sum from (2)(B)(iv) is divided by 3.2, and from the quotient is subtracted the facility's current number of certified stations as recorded in the current SDR and the number of pending new stations for which a certificate of need has been issued. The remainder is the number of stations needed.
- (C) The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established in (2)(B)(v), up to a maximum of ten stations.

[NOTE: "Rounding" to the nearest whole number is allowed only in Step I(C) and Step 2(B)(v). In these instances, fractions of 0.5000 or greater shall be rounded to the next highest whole number.]

Unless specific "adjusted need determinations" are recommended by the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council, an application for a certificate of need for additional dialysis stations can be considered consistent with the need determinations of the 2007 State Medical Facilities Plan only if it demonstrates a need by utilizing one of the methods of determining need outlined in this chapter.

Timeline

The schedule for publication of the "July 2007 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report" and for receipt of certificate of need applications pursuant to this report shall be as follows:

Data for	Due Dates for	Publication	Application Due Dates for CON Applications	Beginning
Period Ending	SEKC Report	of SDR		Review Dates
Dec. 31, 2006	May 14, 2007	July 2, 2007	September 17, 2007	October 1, 2007

Please be advised that 5:30 p.m. on the specified Application Due Date (i.e., September 17, 2007) is the filing deadline for any certificate of need application in response to this report. The filing deadline is absolute.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates (Inventory Compiled 6.25.07; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12.31.06)

COINTY	- da			Ź	Number of Dialysis Sta		20 56 7					
	NUMBER	R FACILITY	СПУ		Issued	<u>~</u>	Decision	Cel .	Certified # In	# In-Center	Utiliza	Utilization Rates
				Certified			Pending TOTA!			Patients	By	Patients
ALAMANCE	34-2533	BMA of Burlington	Diviliando		L		T 1]	2	12.31.00	Percent	per Station
	34-2567	Burlington Dialysis Center	Burlington	43	0	0	ō	43	88	142	93.4%	3.77
ALEXANDER				7	0	0	0	27	27	64	59.3%	2.37
ALLEGHANY												
ANSON	34-2560	Dialysis Care of Anson County	Wadashoro	\$,	1	1					
	n/a	FMC of Anson County	Wadeshoro	2 0	0 6	0	0	13	13	47	90.4%	3.62
ASHE				5	0	0	0	10	ō	0		
AVERY (Now par	rt of the Mitche	(Now part of the Mitchell - Avery - Yancey Multicounty Society 1			-							
BEAUFORT	34-2561	BMA of Pamlico										
BERTIE	34-2547	Windsor Dialysis Hoit (BMA)	Washington	52	0	0	0	25	25	63	63.0%	200
BLADEN	34-2578	Southeastern Dialysis Center Inc	vvindsor	16	0	0	0	16	19	12	84.4%	20.7
BRUNSWICK	34-2582	Southeastern Dialysis Contor Inc.	Elizabethtown	21	0	0	0	21	21	202	70.2%	3.38
	n/a	Southort Dialysis Contor *	Shallotte	2	0	0	-10	10	20	3 8	01 20	6.01
BUNCOMBE	24 2506	A charge of the control of the charge of the	Southport	0	0	0	10	10	-	2 6	0	3.00
	34-2626	Asneviile Kidney Center	Asheville	36	ī.	-] 		
	34-2604	Weavenule District	Swannanoa	10	5	50	5 0	47	98	136	94.4%	3.78
RIIDKC		read ville Dialysis Center	Weaverville	20	9			2 96	0 0	32	87.5%	3.50
DOLLA	34-2563	BMA of Burke County	Morganton	25	lo	-			7,		71.3%	2.85
CABARRUS	34-2519	Branchview Dialysis (BMA-Concord)	Concord	8		2)		107	25		%0'.29	2.68
	n/a	Harrisburg Dialysis Center *	Concord	9 0	0	0	-10	20	30	44	36.7%	1.47
	34-2631	Copperfield Dialysis	Concord	16	5 0	5 6		00	0	0		
CALDWELL	34-2509	BMA-Lenoir	Pnoir		2	5) 	161	16	47	73.4%	2.94
CAMDEN				\$	ā	5		34	34		70.6%	2.82
CARTERET	34-2588	Crystal Coast Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Morehead City	6								
CASWELL	34-2597	Renal Care Group - Caswell (BMA)	Yancevville	2 5		5 ,		0	20	54	67.5%	2.70
CATAWBA	34-2516	BMA-Hickory	Hickory	2 3		5	0)	10	27	67.5%	2.70
	34-2635	a Valley Dialysis	Conover	25 4		0	0 33		33	113	35.6%	3.42
CHATHAM	34-2621	Carolina Dialysis Siler City		,		0			15	<u> </u>	95.0%	3.80
	34-2617	Carolina Dialysis - Pittsboro	Pittsborn	6 6	0	0			6	1	08.3%	4 33
Cherokee - Clay - Graham	34-2649	Smokey Mountains Dialysis Center		2		0	10		10	19	47.5%	1.90
CHOWAN	34-2541		Mulphy	0 9		0	0 10		10	13	32.5%	13
CLAY (Now part of the	e Cherokee - Cle	CLAY (Now part of the Cherokee - Clay - Graham Multicounty Service Area.)	TO TO THE TOTAL OF	7		0	0 18		17	53	77.9%	3.12
CLEVELAND	34-2529	Dialysis Clinic, Inc. (DC) Shelby										
		DCI Boiling Springs *	Soiling Springs	98					36	134	03.1%	12
	_	DC! Kings Mountain	Kings Mtn.	2 2	0 0				0			3,5
	$ \top $		Shelby	10			12		2	\Box	87.5%	3.50
COLUMBUS	7	er	Whiteville	36					0	이		
	34-2628		Chadbourn	13	0 0		27		26	73 7	70.2%	2.81
* Proposed new cite common at	mond of aviotism								3		3.5%	3.46

Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current locations shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates (Inventory Compiled 6.25.07; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12.31.06)

COUNTRY PROVINDER PACILITY CUITIFE COUNTRY PROVINDER COUNTRY PROVINDER COUNTRY PROVINDER PACILITY CUITIFE COUNTRY PROVINDER PACILITY CUITIFE COUNTRY PACILITY CUITIFE COUNTRY PACILITY CUITIFE COUNTRY PACILITY PACILI													
Caringto Diaysis Center (MFU) Caringto Diaysis Center (MFU) Caringto Diaysis Center (MFU) Ministrone County (MFU) Caringto Diaysis Center (MFU) Ministrone County (MFU) Ministrone Center	COUNTY	PROVIDE		CITY	Ź		sis Stations	as of 6.25.0	7	Certified	# In-Center	Utiliza	Utilization Rates
Wear Deliyase Unit (BMA) New Benn Deliyase Services of West Paydewille 29 0 0 0 20 0 0 20 0 0 20 0 0 20 0 0 20 0 <th></th> <th>NUMBER</th> <th></th> <th>;</th> <th>Certified</th> <th>Not Cert</th> <th>Decision</th> <th></th> <th>í E</th> <th>Stations</th> <th>Patients</th> <th>By</th> <th>Patients</th>		NUMBER		;	Certified	Not Cert	Decision		í E	Stations	Patients	By	Patients
County	CRAVEN	34-2534	Г				ויפווחנופת	-1	TVIOI	12.31.06	12.31.06	Percent	per Station
Petronic King Conterrine, (BMA) Fayelteville 20 10 20 10 10 10 10 10		34-2585	Т	New Bern	39	-2	0	0	37	log O	00	L	
County/Outer Barks Dielysis Center (WFU) Espetieville 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	CIMBERIAND	24 2540	7/	New Bern	29	0	0	0	l g	800	00	ន្ត្រ	2.26
C Dialysis Services Center (WFU) Table (Missis Services Country Cutter (WFU) Table (Missis Services Country Cutter) Table (Missis Cutter) Tabl		34-23 (0	Fayetteville Kidney Center Inc. (BMA	Fayetteville	301	101				4.9	SO.	_1(2.38
County/Outer Banks Dialysis Crinter (Aut)		34-2043	Т	Favetteville	32	2	5 0	0	40	30	126		4.20
County/Outer Banks Dialysis Center (WFU) County Dialysis Center (WFU) County County Dialysis Center (WFU) County Dia		34-2593	Т	Fayetteville	3 4		2 0	0	27	20	70	Ļ	3.50
CountyCourte Banks Dialysis Clinic Nagis Head 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		34-20UT	FMC Dialysis Services-South Ramsey (BMA)	Favetteville	48	0	2 0	0	40	40	115		2.88
International County	CURRITUCK					7	5	2	52	48	153	79.7%	3.19
Ingran Dalysis Center (WFU)	DARE	34-2598		Moce Load	(<u> </u>	
Intervision Center (WFU) Lexingtion 35 0 0 0 0 0 14 14 15 15 14 15 15 14 15 15	DAVIDSON	34-2553		DBALL SENT	6	0	0	0	6	6	25	69.4%	9.78
Incomasville Inco		34-2639	Thomasville Dialysis Center (WFU)	Lexington	98	0	0	0	36	36	9		2.70
Items Delaysis Center Kenansville Kena	DAVIE			Thomasville	12	0	ō	2	14	2	30	00.7%	7.67
International Content Kernansville Kernansville											7	92.5%	3.70
Search County Winston-Salem Ministon-Salem Minist	JUPLIN	34-2535	Southeastern Dialysis Center Kenansville	Kenanevilla	1	1,							
ce University Hospital CRAD Warrange 10 0 10 e University Hospital ESRD Unit Durham 14 0 0 0 16 Pann-Mest Dialysis Durham 20 0 0 0 20 33 Adant Lake Dialysis Center Durham 26 0 0 0 26 Dialysis Services of Wast Pettigrew Durham 26 0 0 0 27 Dialysis Services of Wast Pettigrew Durham 27 0 0 0 27 Dialysis Services of Wast Pettigrew Durham 27 0 0 0 27 Dialysis Services of Wast Pettigrew Durham 27 0 0 0 26 Dialysis Services of Wast Pettigrew Durham 27 0 0 0 27 Sis Care of Edgecombe County Winston-Salem 33 0 0 0 0 Middley Center (WEU) Winston-Salem 33 0 0 0 0<		n/a	Wallace Dialysis Center *	Wallace	7 3	8		0	4	22	59	67.0%	2.68
Part		34-2630	Warsaw Dialysis Center (RAI)	Warsaw	3 7	200	5	0	9	0	0		
nam Dialysis Durfnam 16 0 0 16 harwest Dialysis Durfnam 33 0 0 0 0 33 bank-West Dialysis Durfnam 26 0 0 0 26 bound Lake Dialysis Services of Briggs Avanue Durfnam 27 0 0 0 26 Collaysis Services of Briggs Avanue Durfnam 27 0 0 0 26 Visit Sarvices of Briggs Avanue Durfnam 27 0 0 0 26 Visit Sarvices of Edgecombe County Tarboro 20 0 0 0 0 0 Visit Sarvices of Edgecombe County Rocky Mount Rocky Mount 20 0	URHAM	34-2302	Duke University Hosnital Food 11-it	10000	7	ō	2	0	16	14	43	76.8%	307
ham-West Dialysis Durham 33 0 0 0 33 bdom Lake Dialysis Center Durham 26 0 0 0 20 bdom Lake Dialysis Center Durham 24 0 0 0 24 C Dialysis Services of Briggs Averue Durham 27 0 0 0 27 C Dialysis Services of Briggs Averue Durham 27 0 0 0 27 Visi Sario of Edgecombe County Rocky Mount Rocky Mount Avinston-Salem 4 0 0 0 25 Not East Rocky Mount Winston-Salem 34 0 0 0 25 0 0 25 Baptist Hospitals, Inc. Winston-Salem 34 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 0		34-2550	Durham Dialvsis	Durham	16	0	0	0	16	16	72	/0V /00	3
Subject Services of Briggs Avenue		34-2616	Durham-West Dialvsis	Duringm	33	0	0	0	33	33	113	85.6%	0000
Dialysis Services of West Pettigrew Durham 26 0 0 26 27 Sis Care of Edgecombe County Tarboro 20 0 0 27 0 0 27 Sis Care of Edgecombe County Tarboro 20 5 0 0 0 27 Babitst Hospitals, Inc. Winston-Salem 4 0 0 0 0 34 Babitst Hospitals, Inc. Winston-Salem 33 7 0 <		34-2538	Freedom Lake Dialysis Center	Durnam	20	Ó	0	0	202	20	208	100.0%	247
Services of Briggs Avenue Durhalin 27 0 0 0 27		34-2590	FMC Dialysis Services of West Pettionew	Durham	92	0	0	0	28	26	86	87.50%	00,4
Visis Care of Edgecombe County Tarboro 27 0 0 27 Very East Rocky Mount Of East Rocky Mount Dialysis County Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Tarboro Salem 4 0 0 0 25 Baptist Hospitals, Inc. Winston-Salem 53 7 0		34-2615	FMC Dialysis Services of Briggs Avenue	Durham	24	0	0	0	24	21	57	%6.79	274
September Sept	DGECOMBE	34-2577	Dialysis Care of Edgecombe County	T I	//	0	0	0	27	27	83	76.9%	3.07
Baptist Hospitals, Inc. Muiston-Salem A 0 0 0 0 30 mont Dialysis Inc. Muiston-Salem A 0 0 0 0 0 mont Dialysis Center (WFU) Winston-Salem A 0 0 0 0 0 Sis Care of Franklin County Muiston-Salem A 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sis Care of Franklin County Louisburg 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sis Care of Franklin County Louisburg 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sis Care of Franklin County Louisburg A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		34-2603	o county	arboro	20	2	0	ō	25	20	73	01 20/	
mont Dialysis Center (WFU) Winston-Salem Ninston-Salem 53 7 0 0 4 nside Dialysis Center (WFU) Winston-Salem Ninston-Salem 72 0 0 0 34 nsis Care of Frankin County Louisburg 23 0 0 0 0 72 of Gastonia Giastonia 33 2 0 0 0 0 72 of Gastonia Giastonia Gastonia 33 2 0	DRSYTH	34-2304		INDUNY MOUNT	3	To	0	0	30	30	6	75.8%	200
Tistide Dialysis Center (WFU) Winston-Salem 53 7 0 -2 58 Minston-Salem 34 0 0 0 0 34 0 0 0 34 rist Care of Franklin County Louisburg 23 0 0 0 0 35 of Gastonia Gastonia 33 2 0 0 0 0 35 of Kings Mountain Kings Mountain Kings Mountain Kings Mountain 16 0 0 0 0 0 16 bro Healthcare Gates County Gatesville 0		34-2505		Winston-Salem	4	0	0	0	4	4	c	10.00	
m Kidney Center (WFU) Winston-Salem 34 0 0 34 vis Care of Franklin County Louisburg 23 0 0 0 72 of Gastonia 33 2 0 0 0 10 73 of Gastonia 33 2 0 0 0 10 10 of Gastonia Mings Mountain Kings Mountain Kings Mountain 16 0 0 0 16 0 16 0 0 10 10 10 0 10 10 0		34-2612		Winston-Salem	53	7	0	-2	28	53	182	0,500	000
sis Care of Franklin County Louisburg 23 0 0 72 of Gastonia 33 2 0 0 23 of Gastonia 33 2 0 0 10 Dila Kidney Center - Belmont ** Belmont 10 0 0 0 Of Kings Mountain Kings Mountain 16 0 0 0 16 Vr Gerham Multicounty Savice Area J Kings Mountain 0 0 0 0 10 Vr Gerham Multicounty Savice Area J Oxford 22 0 0 0 10 Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 10 0 0 0 0 0 Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 Accounty Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 of South Greensboro (Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 0 0		34-2569	2	Winston-Salem	정	0	0	0	34	8	13/5	81.6%	2.43
of Gastonia Dila Kidney Center animi County Louisburg 23 0 0 23 Dila Kidney Center animi County Dilaysis Center (WFU) Gastonia 33 2 0 0 10 Dila Kidney Center - Belmont ** Belmont 10 0 0 0 10 Drown Hall County Savise Area J Kings Mountain 16 0 0 0 16 V - Graham Multicounty Savise Area J Cxford 22 0 0 0 10 Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 10 0 0 0 0 10 ne County Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 of South Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 56 0 </td <td>ZANKLIN</td> <td>34-2571</td> <td>Dialysis Care of Franklin County</td> <td>William Pallelli</td> <td>7,</td> <td>Ю</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>72</td> <td>72</td> <td>189</td> <td>65.6%</td> <td>263</td>	ZANKLIN	34-2571	Dialysis Care of Franklin County	William Pallelli	7,	Ю	0	0	72	72	189	65.6%	263
Unitaristicular Gastonia 33 2 0 0 35 of Kings Mountain Helmont 10 0 0 0 10	ASTON	34.2513	DAVA OF COLUMN	Louisburg	23	0	0	0	23	23	63	69 50/	
of Kings Mountain for things Mountain		34-2652		Gastonia	33	2	С	 -	35	200	2	00.07%	2.14
brothligs wountain Kings Mountain 16 0 0 16 17 <		34-2505		Belmont	10	0	-	,	3 5	60	153	98.1%	3.92
bro Healthcare Gates County Gatesville 0 10 0 10 W- Graham Multicounty Service Area.) Caron Multicounty Service Area.) 22 0 0 0 10 Dialysis Serv. Neuse River Oxford 10 0 0 0 10 10 Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 10 0 0 0 10 10 ne County Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 0 0 1	ATEC	2023	DIVINOUNTIALIN	Kings Mountain	16	0	0	-	16	0 7	5][
VP - Graham Multicounty, Service Area.) Oxford 22 0 0 10 Dialysis Serv. Neuse River Oxford 10 0 0 0 10 Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 10 0 0 0 10 ne County Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 0 10 of South Greensboro Jamestoro Jamestoro 31 0 0 0 0 of Southwest Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Jamestoro 31 0 0 0 31 vy Center of Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro 36 0 0 0 35 west Greensboro (Aidney Center (WFU) High Point 42 0 0 0 35 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 2		11/3	Gambro Healthcare Gates County	Gatesville	ō	10	2			2	40	70.3%	2.81
Dialysis Serv. Neuse River Oxford 22 0 0 22 Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 10 0 0 0 10 ne County Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 0 0 10 of South Greensboro Jamestown 31 0 0 0 0 10 of Southwest Greensboro Jamestown 31 0 0 0 31 of Southwest Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro 56 0 0 0 31 west Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 56 0 0 0 56 of East Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 30 0 0 0 25 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 0 35 Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 0<	ל אוסארו	art of the Cheroke	96 - Clay - Graham Multicounty Service Area.)				500000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0	0		
Dialysis Serv. of Oxford Oxford 22 0 0 22 ne County Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 10 10 of South Greensboro Greensboro Jamestown 31 0 0 0 10 of South Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Jamestown 31 0 0 0 31 vy Celic (Southwest Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro 56 0 0 0 31 west Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 30 0 0 0 56 of East Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 30 0 0 0 25 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 0 35 Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 0 0 0	KANVILLE	34-2520		Oxford	5		1						
ne County Dialysis Center Snow Hill 10 0 0 10 25 10 10 25 10 10 25 10 10 25 10 10 25 25 10 10 25 25 10 10 25 25 10 10 25 25 10 10 25 20 10 25 20 20 25 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		34-2647		Oxford	77	5	1	0	22	22	09	68.2%	2.73
of South Greensboro Greensboro 59 0 0 10 of South Greensboro Greensboro Jamestown 31 0 0 6 59 y Center Sporo (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro 56 0 0 0 31 west Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro 25 0 0 0 56 of East Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 30 0 0 25 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 42 0 0 0 35 Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 20	REENE	34-2650				o	0	0	10	10	36	%0.06	3.60
of Southwest Greensboro Greensboro Seansboro Jamestown 31 0 0 659 Y Center of Greensboro (BMA) Greensboro Greensboro 56 0 0 0 31 west Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA) Greensboro 30 0 0 0 56 of East Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro 30 5 0 0 25 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 42 0 0 -2 40 Diafysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 0 20	JILFORD	34-2537		Of IOW FILL	101	0	0	0	10	10	 -	2.5%	0 10
Y Center of Greensboro (BMA) Jannestown Greensboro (BMA) Jannestown Greensboro (Greensboro (Greensboro (Greensboro (Greensboro (Greensboro (Greensboro (Greensboro (MFU))) 30 0 0 0 31 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 42 0 0 25 Diafysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 25		34-2600	0.000	Greensboro	59	0	0	0	59	59	170	72 00/	
west Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA) Greensboro 25 0 0 56 of East Greensboro 30 0 0 25 Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 42 0 0 35 Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 -2 40		34-2504		Jamestown	31	0	0	0	3	34	1/2	70.2%	2.00
of East Greensboro 25 0 0 25 Point Kidney Center (WFU) Greensboro 30 5 0 0 35 Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 -2 40			(RMA)	Groopporo	26	0	0	0	8	56	1	65.6%	10.2
Point Kidney Center (WFU) High Point 35 0 0 35 Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 -2 40			(, , , ,)	Greenshoro	3 8	0	0	0	75	25	<u> </u>	57.0%	2.02 2.08
Dialysis Center (WFU) High Point 20 0 0 -2 40		\neg		High Point	300	5	0	0	35	30		81.7%	3 27
		7		High Point	200	0 0	5 0	2	8	42	يبا	81.5%	3.26
	ron ette wen besonn				200	<u> </u>	5	0	20	20		70.0%	2.80

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above and with SE Dialysis Center - Burgaw in Pender County.

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates (Inventory Compiled 6.25.07; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12.31.06)

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates (Inventory Compiled 6.25.07; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12.31.06)

												F
COUNTY	PROVIDER	R FACILITY	CH	Number of	>_			Certified	# In-Center	Utilizat	Utilization Rates	
	NUMBER			Certified /Not Cert	ted Decision	Decision Dending	i i	Stations	Patients	By	Patients	
Mitchell - Avery - Yancey	y n/a	Mayland Dialveis Center		ΙL	11	-1 1	TOTAL I	12.31.06	12.31.06	Percent	per Station	
MONTGOMERY	8	Г	Spruce Pine	0	0	0	6					
MOOBE		П	Biscoe	14	0 0	0	14	14	-	70 60/	;	
	34-255	\neg	Pinehurst	33						0.0%	3.14	
	34-2038	Southern Pines Dialysis Center	Southern Pines	10	200	5 6	8	33	88	67.4%	2.70	
NASH	34-2517	Rocky Mount Kidney Center (BMA)	Dooley Mount		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	2	7,	10	35	87.5%	3.50	
	34-2644	FMC Dialysis Services of Spring Hope	Spring Hope	10	000	0	45	42	141	83.9%	3.36	
NEW HANOVER	34-2511	Southeastern Diatysis Center Inc.		Activities appears made) 	0	10	10	16	40.0%	1.60	
NORTHAMPTON		1	vvilmington	49	0 0	0	49	49	170	7 00 7 0		*****
ONSLOW	<u> </u>	Southeastern Dialysis Off Tocksonding	Rich Square	14	0 0	0	4	14	47	83.0%	30.0	
ORANGE	34-2622	Carolina Dialysis Corrbon (1910)	Jacksonville	35	0 0	0	33	35	122	87.10/	5000	
PAMLICO		Caronina Ciarysis Carboro (UNC) (x 34-3503)	Carrboro	36	0 0	0	36	38	130	60.10%	3.48	
PASQUOTANK	34-2515	Flizaboth City Diotais								0.5.1	6/.7	
PENDER	34-2558	Southood on Dishell	Elizabeth City	16	5 0	0	21	19	98	400 464		
PEROUIMANS		Counted Seem Charles Center Inc.	Burgaw	18	2 0	c	۶	2 0	8 7	103.1%	4.13	
PERSON	0.40			!		3	3	<u>•</u>	47	102.8%	14.1	_
LENGON	34-2562	Roxboro Dialysis	Roxboro	23	5		_ <u>_</u>	+				
PITT	34-2502	Greenville Dievelo Costs. (Date)		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	10 CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	0	24	23	80	87.0%	3.48	_
	34-2632	FMC Care of Avden	Greenville	48		0	48	48	1,40	77 40,		
	34-2596	FMC Dialysis of East Carolina Heiv		10	0 0	0	12	100	100	70 50	80.5	
7100			Greenville	38	0	0	 88	38	125	02.2%	2.90	
FOLA	n/a	Polk County Dialysis Center	Undetermined	C	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Section of the second		3	7	07.70	3.29	
KANDOLPH	34-2524	Bio-Medical Applications of Asheboro	<u> </u>			10	9	0	0			
RICHMOND	34-2539					0	22	27	75	69.4%	2.78	
ROBESON	34-2528			200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0	32	92	100	96.2%	3.85	
	34-2623		L			C	33	oc.	II.			
	34-2607	All		18	0	-	100	3 12	001	08.7%	2.79	
	n/a		Spuids as St. Post Is			2	202	2 2		78 80/	3.87	
	34-2651	Center **		0 0	10	0	9	0		2	2	
ROCKINGHAM	34-2536	Dialysis Care of Rockingham Communication				0	10	0	0			
	34-2624			18 2		0	20	ć	L	04 70/		
	34-2640		Poideville			0	9	102	<u></u>	62 5%	2.07	
	34-2641	er (BMA)		2 -	0	0	18	18	<u></u>	83.9%	2.30	
ROWAN	34-254B					0	15	10	39	97.5%	3.60	
	Τ			7	0	C	28	7.0	Ļ			
	71	Caryon Care of Marinapolis/Rowan	Kannapolis 2	25 0	0	0	3 4	770	986	%9.62	3.19	
RUTHERFORD	7	f Rutherford County			10000000	2000	3	67		52.0%	2.08	
SAMPSON	34-2559				0	0	8	30	88	73.3%	2.93	
SCOTLAND	34-2540			0 88	0	0	39	39	122	78.2%	3.13	
	T		Laurinburg 34	-5	0	4	33	77	_			
	I Va		Laurinburg	12	0		3 5	3 6		90.4%	3.62	
* Proposed new site com	posed of existing d					2000 C	171	Б	0		F	

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location(s) shown above.

** New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations is shown with Dialysis Care of Hoke County in Hoke County.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates (Inventory Compiled 6.25.07; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12.31.06)

						10 10 10 D	noo) Seesses					
			-	Ż	Number of Dialy	sis Stations	as of 6.25.07		Certified	# In-Center	11:11	Hon Dates
COCNIX	PROVIDER	FACILITY	CITY				Decision		Stations	Patiente	Ry	Datiente
	NUMBER			Certified	/Not Cert.	Rendered		TOTAL	12.31.06	12.31.06	Percent	Der Station
STANLY	34-2565	BMA of Albemarle	Albemade	10	C		4					
STOKES	34-2633	King Dialysis Center	Kipo	2 7		9 (7	200	18	99	91.7%	3.67
700J			BIIN	-	n i	0	0	11	-1	38	86.4%	3.45
ארטפ	34-2551	Mt. Airy Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mt. Airy	21	3	0	0	24	20	8	87.5%	3.50
	*103-15	Eikii Diaysis Center (W.F.U.)	Eikin	18	-3	0	-2	13	19	63	82.9%	3.32
SWAIN	34-2602	Cherokee Dialysis Center	Cherokee	14	4	c	r	2	7.7	99	3000	
TRANSYLVANIA						,	1	3	*	SC	100.0%	4.00
TYRRELL									ŀ			
UNION	34-2525	Metrolina Kidney Center (RMA Monne)	Monroe	1			0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000					
	34-2526	Union County Diatysis	Monroe	7 6	0 (0	0	77	21	89	81.0%	3.24
	n/a	Marshville Dialysis Center*	Marshville	ţ, c	, 0	<u> </u>	5 6	7.7	24	108	112.5%	4.50
VANCE	04 0540				2	D)	10	o .	0		
	34-2343	Vance County Dialysis	Henderson	33	-7	0	0	26	33	141	106.8%	4 27
		Caling o realificale-neriderson west	Henderson	0	17	0	0	17	0	0		
WAKE	34-2544	Cary Kidney Center (BMA)	Cary	30	•		•	100				
	n/a	FMS Dialysis Services of Apex *	Apex	3 0	~ 0	5 0	5 0		87		99.1%	3.96
	34-2512	BMA of Raleigh Diatysis	Raleich	3 5	2 0		⇒ †°	2	5	0		
	34-2646	FMC of Capital City	Raleigh	7 5	٥	5	σ,	4	43	174	101.2%	4.05
	34-2608	BMA of Fuguay Varina	12	2 6	5 6	5	⇒†;	3	24	92	79.2%	3.17
	34-2589	Zebulon Kidney Center (BMA)	Zehilon	2 62	3 F	5 6	5	22	52	65	65.0%	2.60
	34-2522	Wake Dialysis Clinic	Raleioh	3 4	100	5 6	5 0	9	23	74	80.4%	3.22
	n/a	FMC Eastern Wake *	Rolesville		12	0	٥	3 5	7 0	183	97.3%	3.89
	34-2653	FMS Dialysis Services of Six Forks **	Raleigh	2	10	5 0	5 0	1 0	D	5 0		
	34-2642	Southwest Wake County Dialysis	Raleigh	17	10	0		2/2	2 4	202	/0C 0O	5
	n/a	DaVita Dialysis of Wake Forest	Wake Forest	0	10	0	0	0	90	3 0	90.0%	က်
WARREN	34-2610	FMC Dialysis Services of Warren Hills	Warrenton	ų	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C							
WASHINGTON	34-2618		Phymouth	2 ;	7	5 ,	5	2	15	22	83.3%	3.33
WATAUGA	34-2311	Wataura Kidney Dialysis Contor		= !	5	+	5	F	7	33	75.0%	3.00
Lavavara			boone	13	1	0	0	4	13	45	80.8%	3.23
WAYNE	34-2531	Goldsboro Dialysis	Goldsboro	25	2	0	0	32	25	87	87.0%	3.18
	34.2573	Mount Olive Dielagis	Goldsboro	21	0	0	0	21	21	64	76.2%	3.05
	34-2576	oldeboro	Mount Olive	=	0	0	4	15	11	44	100.0%	4.00
			GOIGSDOLO	11	0	0	0	Ξ	1,	46	104.5%	4.18
WILKES	34-2313	Wilkes Regional Dialysis Center	N. Wilkesboro	10	9	0	0	16	10	ee	95.0%	3.80
WILSON	34-2507		Wilson	90		-			111			2000
	34-2637	ysis	Forest Hills	2 6	م ا	5 6	5	3	97 8	66	95.2%	3.81
VADKIN				2	2	D.	ο	707	77	75	93.8%	3.75
	n/a		Yadkinville	0	10	0	4	14				
YANCEY (Now par	t of the Mitchel	(Now part of the Mitchell - Avery - Yancey Multicounty Service Area.)										
STATE TOTALS												
1 ()				3,627	233	15	70	3,945	3,569	11,383		
* December	money of a feet											1

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current locations shown above.

** New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current locations shown above.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

ா			٦.	Γ-	T	T	T.	-	-													in.			200												_	_	
	County Station Need	Determination		0	0	0	-	> <					13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-		0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	6
Projector	Station Deficit	or Surplus		Surplus of 6	6	1	2	4		Summer of	9	Surplus of 1	13	Surplus of 18	2	Surplus of &	Surplus of 3	-	Surplus of 3	Surplus of 3	Surplus of 11	1	Sumplus of 1	Surplus of 8	4	a Joseph S	S. Carrier	Surplus of 15	4	Surplus of 1	Surplus of 2	8	Surplus of 5	0	Surplus of 3	Surplus of 10	2	8	Surplus of 2
Total	Available	Stations		70	0	0	23	0	0	25	16	21	202	82	25	46		0	20			19			0 2				0	6	50	0	40	146	No. do w	A	_	61	10
Projected 12/31/2007	In-Center	Station Utilization		64	6	T	25	4	8	21	22	20	33	64	27	42	31	=	17	7	45	7	5 (63	41	44	144	4	8	48	8	35	146	52	158	25	69	8
		in-Center Patients		205.6	29.9	4:0	80.8	12.4	10.1	8.79	70.2	62.9	106.7	204.1	85.5	133.3	99.5	2.7	53.9	22.1	145.1	2000	23.0	12.0	202.5	131.9	141.8	462.0	13.6	25.7	153.5	24.2	111.7	466.9	167.4	9.90¢	81.3	222.3	24.3
Projected	Home Patients			0 0	ļ				1.0					22.3	8.3	19.3	0.9	4.0	2.00	0.00	4.2	1 2	7.0.	0.0	18.5	4.3	8.0	37.5	4.2	6.7	18.9	7.4	4.9	18.6	10.3	09.7	20 4	23.1	1.0
17/31/2000	% Home Patients		A 40%	10.00	20.070	20.0%	2.5%	35.3%	9.1%	17.1%	7.0%	1.6%	13.9%	8.6	0.8%	12.1%	0,1.0	5 50/	14 80/	12 10%	6.3%	14 8%	14.3%	0.0%	8.4%	3.1%	5.4%	7.5%	23.5%	20.7%	30.50	23.3%	%7.4	5.0% 0.0%	0 0 0	7 10%	0 4%	200	4.0%
0007/15/41	Home		ξ.	2 6	2 4	- (7	9	+	14	2	- į	15	77 0	0 3	D 4	0 4	0 6	2 4	0	4	4	5	0	17	4	8		4 (_	4	ᆚ	0 0	0 4	5,4	5 4	2	-	-
17/31/7007	Total Patients		224.5	33.3	200	0.00	62.9	2	= ;	87.8	75.5	63.9	123.9	0.022	453.7	105.7	- K	57.0	25.9	165.1	67.9	35.0	36.8	12.9	221.0	136.1	149.8	499.5	300	172 5	31 5	140.5	485.6	183.8	562.3	87.4	245.4	25.3	10.7
Change Rate for	Past Five Years		0.049	0.109	-0.004	0.049	10.0	0.123	0.010	-0.003	0.003	-0.001	0.047	0.00	0.018	-0.005	-0.104	0,036	-0.040	0.052	0.061	0.296	0.050	0.431	0.089	0.064	0.000	0.043	0.110	0.052	0.051	-0.012	0.035	0.021	0.032	0.029	0.049	0.012	1200
Total	Patients		214	8	2	62	17	**	- 6	7 2	2 8	\$ 2	223	6	150	106	6	55	27	157	64	27	35	6	203	128	<u> </u>	17.0	000	164	30	118	469	180	545	85	234	22	=
Total	Patients		197	18	4	82	16	1	- 60	77	33	3 8	231	82	146	103	8	99	29	159	61	27	35	9	196	150	488	12	27	178	24	122	447	183	515	75	215	25	13
Total	Patients		186	21	10	65	=	8	98	62	2000	78/	225	78	152	109	11	09	30	149	20	12	9	7 20,	185	146	422	14	27	159	20	129	427	180	489	78	199	24	100
Total	Patients		180	21	9	59	15	12	06	56	57	76	234	70	131	119	1	61	38	145	45	14	32	S 60	100	152	408	17	20	139	23	130	435	168	501	84	202	26	6
Fotal	Patients	1474)	23	6	29	12	12	84	26	65	63	211	77	142	109	15	64	8	129	25	2 6	5, 0	145	103	146	408	15	19	136	26	124	409	166	482	11	194	24	თ
COUNTY		Alamana	A Series	Alexander	Alleghany	Anson	Ashe	Avery *	Beaufort	Bertie	Bladen	Brunswick	Buncombe	Burke	Cabarrus	Caldwell	Camden	Carteret	Caswell	Charawba	Chorates	n I	Clay **	Cleveland	Columbus	Craven	Cumberland	Currituck	Dare	Davidson	Davie	Duplin	Durham	Edgecombe	Forsyth	Franklin	Gaston	Gates	Graham **

* Avery. Mitchell and Yancey Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2006 State Medical Facilities Plan.
** Cherokee, Clay and Graham Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2005 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY Granville Greene	Total			200	0007/10/7	Average Annual	Projected	12/31/2008							
ranville	00.50	Total	Total	Total	Total	Change Date for		-00-itC/#1	9007/15/7	Projected	Projected	Projected 12/31/2007		Projector	
anville eene	Patients	Patients	Patients	Patients	Patients	Past Five Years	Total Patients	Home	% Home	12/31/2007 Home Patients	12/31/2007		_ `		Station Need
eene	100	90							1	Tronie i dilenta	In-Center Patients	Station Utilization	Stations	or Surplus	Determination
	3,85	000	2 20		105	0.034		8	7.6%	8.3	1003	34	2		
Guilford	603	74.4			9	0.016		5	12.5%	5.1	35.6		40	L to saidine	ا د
Halifax	129	135	13/1	101	692	0.027		43	2.6%	44.2	745.4	2	266		٥
Harnett	107	3 =		5 6	1/5	0.080		23	13.1%	24.8	164.2	51	46	So to smidue	
Havwood	45	7	12,	27 2	122	0.036	126.4	10	8.2%	10.4	116.0	36	35		ء
Henderson	1	9	4 47	2 1	84 ;	0.020	48.9	-	2.1%	1.0	47.9	15	17	S. Carlotte	5
Hertford	. 00	60	2	9	74	0.013	75.0	7	9.5%	7.1	679	5 6	2 6	Surpline of 2	>
Hoke	70	44	Σ (76	8	0.082	90.9	5	11.9%	10.8	80.19	25	07	Surplus of 5	-
H. Ac	00	0	63	88	29	0.004	67.3	9	9.0%	9	500.	73	7	4	٥
2 2	2	10	6	9	7	-0.124	6.1	2	28.6%	α τ	5 2	2	77	Surplus of 3	٥
lienell	140	148	167	174	185	0.073	198.4	10	10 30/	2 00	1 1		0	1	0
Jackson	13	12	11	18	20	0 147	22.0	2 7	2 2	4.0.4	1/8.1	56	68	Surplus of 12	0
Johnston	155	157	165	161	181	0.041	7887	- 6	0,00	1.1	21.8	7	18	Surplus of 11	0
Jones	24	21	24	25	23	-0.005	1000	3 0	0/2/2	20.8	167.6	52	54	Surplus of 2	0
ee	100	91	06	5	108	0,00	22.3	5	0.0%	0.0	22.9	7		Surplus of 3	-
Lenoir	190	179	88	182	3 2	0.0.0	108.0	=	10.4%	11.2	8.96	30		Sumius of F	٥
Lincoln	38	44	48	3 14	5 6	0.000	182.6	7	3.8%	6.9	175.7	55	- Ewitt	Sin	, -
Macon	15	4	7	3 4	7 6	0.130	/0.1	6	14.5%	10.2	59.9	19	25	Surning of &	>
Madison	12	12	- 2	2 5	9	0.104	22.1	2	10.0%	2.2	19.9	9	6	5 2 2 C	9
Martin	76	27.	3 5	2 5	7.	0.002	12.0	6	25.0%	3.0	9.0	6) C	3 6	
McDowell	3.	90	2 2	à	25	-0.064	54.3	8	13.8%	7.5	46.8	7	- K	0	ه د
Mecklenhura	040	070	2 6	7	\$	0.076	43.1	2	5.0%	2.2	409	2 5	···4	Surplus of 8	اد
Mitcholl *	2 F	0/0	8/8	929	986	0.050	1035.2	73	7.4%	76.6	0586	2 000			٥
	-	او	_	80	12	0.167	14.0	2	16.7%	0.3	44.7	anne	<u> (5</u> 7 8	Surplus of 18	0
Monigomery	75	37	45	35	44	0.063	46.8	-	2.3%	1-	15.7	4	6	Surplus of 5	٥
alonie	112	112	116	141	138	0.057	145.9	α	5 80%	4 0	100	4	14	0	0
Nash	139	128	145	162	167	0.050	175.4	L	10.8%	7 0.0 0.0	137.5	43	45 -	Sumplus of 2	0
New Hanover	154	170	167	186	175	0.035	1812		11 10/	0.00	0.001	49		Surplus of 3	0
Northampton	64	25	- 67	63	7	0.033	73.4	1.	0, 1, 7	20.7	160.5	20	49	1	0
Onslow	105	106	106	119	121	0.037	125.5	2 =	2,0%	2.6	68.2	21	14		0
Orange	103	111	106	112	114	0.027	4474	Ш	6/ 6/	4.1.1	114.1	36	35	-	0
Pamlico	14	17	16	16	17	0.054	17.0	4	12.3%	14.4	102.7	32	ુ 9દ	Surplus of 4	0
Pasquotank	54	54	63	62	15	0.00	8: 2- 00	3 r	0.0%	0.0	17.9	9	0	9	0
Pender	62	57	56	99	3 6	7000	7.00	_	10.8%	7.3	6.09	19	21	Surplus of 2	-
Perquimans	12	17	200	3 5	3 5	0.00+	90.0	4	%9.6	8.7	81.3	25	_	3	
Person	76	167	1 &	3 %	5 6	0.142	7.12	_	26.3%	5.7	16.0	3	0	5	ء اد
-	262	259	283	280	000	0.020	86.2		7.1%	6.2	80.0	25	24	<u> </u>	> 0
	13	16	207	41	702	0.022	291.3		10.2%	29.6	261.6	82	77.70	Surpline and a	9 0
Randolph	111	106	07	- 5	2 3	1.055	30.8		%0.0	0.0	30.8	10	**		
			1,000	- I	104	-0.015	102.5	14	13.5%	13.8	88.7	28	2,2	,	ا د

* Avery, Mitchell and Yancey Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2006 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

100					<u> </u>		<u> </u>		400	:::::		V															_			_
	County	Station Need	Determination		٥		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		
	Projected	Station Deficit	or Surplus		13.	2	2 10 Spirit	Surplus of 1	3	Surplus of 9	Surplus of 1	3	Surplus of 12	Surplus of 4	7	40	Surplus of 12	Surplus of 3	Surplus of 23	Surplus of 3	Surplus of 2	Surplus of 5	Surplus of 7	Surplus of t	2	Surplus of 3	4			
	Total		Stations	32	9 6	63	2	30	39	45	20	11	37	20	0	0	55	43	260	18	11	14	79	16	51	14	c	,		
	Projected 12/31/2007	In-Center Patients Steeler	Station Utilization	31	70	51	33	29	42	36	19	14	25	16	7	5	43	40	237	15	6	6	72	15	53	11	4			
	Projected	In-Center Patients	The Court of Allenia	100.2	300.1	163.9	107.1	92.3	134.5	114.8	59.3	45.6	79.4	52.1	21.6	14.5	137.7	126.5	757.0	48.3	27.9	27.2	231.0	48.8	168.7	36.2	12.5			
	12/31/2007	Home Patients		7.5	25.0	5.1	23.5	9.8	4.1	9.2	3.1	2.1	5.2	2.2	3.1	2.9	10.4	5.1	85.1	2.1	0.4	4.2	19.5	7.1	15.4	4.4	3.1			
9006/12/21	% Home	Patients		7.0%	7.7%	3.0%	18.0%	9.6%	3.0%	4.4%	%6.4	4.4%	6.1%	4 5	12.3%	16.7%	%). ().	20,0	10.1%	4.2%	12.5%	0.5	8.7	12.7%	84.0	10.8%	20.U%			8 5%
12/31/2006	Home	Patients		~	24	5	23	o ·	4 0	0 0	7	7	c c	7	2 0	7	2 1	n g	QQ C	7	4	4 (2 1	- ;	0	4 6	7	`		1 057
Projected	12/31/2007	Total Patients		107.7	325.1	168.9	130.5	102.0	120.0	N. 4.2	7.7.7	1.74	54.0	7.10	7.17	4. 6	134 0	0.15.0	042.	4.00	0.10	250.5	0.00.0	9.0.9	104.2 40.5	1.0.0 7.0.0	10.7			
Average Annual	Change Rate for	Past Five Years	1100	0.077	0.042	0.018	0.020	C80.0	0.034	2000	0900	0.000	0.032	0.030	0.000	0000	0.013	0.063	0000	2000	0.003	7600	0.027	0.00	0.006	0.000				
12/31/2006	Total	Patients	007	001	312	92 3	22 2	134	108	61	45	2 6	8	24	12	142	130	8	48	2 &	8 8	244	1 14	170	37	7.		-		12,408
12/31/2005	Total	Fatients	104	100	CON !	2 5	2 8	120	100	56	42	88	43	21	7	142	132	745	53	75	28	230	49	171	37	13		17		12,029
12/31/2004	Total	ratients	6	250	707	136	200	136	91	52	39	12	39	22	4	121	113	999	52	31	25	227	99	161	89	15		161		11,417
12/31/2003	Total	e announce	80	253	442	120	787	134	83	61	34	62	33	19	က	122	125	649	38	39	21	218	56	161	27	13		0	1	11,010
12/31/2002 Texa	Patients		75	267	156	119	89	118	63	57	36	73	37	22	က	121	126	621	42	34	26	220	53	160	26	13		0		10,702
COUNTY			Richmond	Robeson	Rockingham	Rowan	Rutherford	Sampson	Scotland	Stanly	Stokes	Surry	Swain	ransylvania	Tyrrell	Gnion	Vance	Wake	Warren	Washington	Watauga	Wayne	Wilkes	Wilson	Yadkin	Yancey *		Unknowns	L	State Totals

* Avery, Mitchell and Yancey Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2006 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table C: Need Determinations for New Dialysis Stations by County

(Based on the "County Need" Methodology -- July, 2007)

County	HSA	Number of New Dialysis Stations Needed	Certificate of Need Application Due Date *	Certificate of Need Beginning Review Date
Brunswick	V	13	September 17, 2007	October 1, 2007

^{*} Application Due Dates are absolute deadlines. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute.

SEKC Disclaimer

The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. is a nonprofit organization that contracts with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for the provision of information-related services with respect to End Stage Renal Disease Network 6. The data in this report are based upon information generated by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and provided by these facilities to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. The information has been represented to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. as current as of May 17, 2007. These data are subject to change without notice.

This report is made available by the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. to the Medical Facilities Planning Section, Division of Facility Services, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ("Department") solely for the Department's use. The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. takes no responsibility for the use by the Department of this report or the information contained in it for any purpose, including but not limited to any dissemination by the Department of the report or any information in it to other agencies or the public, or any use by the Department of the report or any information in it in preparation of the Department's Semiannual Dialysis Reports or any need determinations for dialysis stations.

While the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. strives for as much accuracy as possible, it expressly disclaims any representations or warranties, whether express or implied, to the Department or any other entity or person regarding the accuracy or reliability for any purpose of the information in this report, including but not limited to any information provided to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. by dialysis facilities.