

**Technology and Equipment Committee**

**Radiation Oncology Services -  
Linear Accelerators**

**Material Presented by**

**Southeast Radiation Oncology**

**At the Linear Accelerator  
Discussion Group Meeting**

**on**

**April 9, 2008**



Five Scenarios for Revising ESTV Calculations

Novant Model				With Revisions to ESTV Values		
	2006-07 Procedures	2006-07 ESTVs	% IMRT Energies	2006-07 ESTVs	Change	Percent
CMC	17,957	17,521	20.90%	21,796	4,275	24.40%
CMC-U	9,171	8,395	23.47%	9,960	1,566	18.65%
MROC	12,049	10,803	18.06%	11,117	314	2.91%
Presby	17,943	15,731	24.87%	17,737	2,006	12.75%
UROC	8,031	<u>7,289</u>	24.10%	<u>7,739</u>	<u>450</u>	<u>6.17%</u>
Service Area Total:		59,739		68,349	8,610	<b>14.41%</b>

Projected linac need in this service area would rise from -2.51 to -0.87; but, pop/linac too low

Novant

Revisions: **1.25** ESTVs for all energies (hospitals only); remains 1.0 for FSCs  
**1.50** for IMRT energies (hospitals only); remains 1.0 for FSCs (all energies)  
 0 for hospital imaging; bundled into energies  
 0 for weekly tx mgmt for FSCs (1,957 ESTVs @ MROC; 1,296 @ UROC)  
**2.0** for adult/pede under anesthesia  
**0.5** for FSC port film, **IGRT and 77014-TC**  
**3.5** for both SRS/SBRT

changes from current ESTV methodology **bolded**

SERO Model #1			With Revisions to ESTV Values		
	2006-07 Procedures	2006-07 ESTVs	2006-07 ESTVs	Change	Percent
CMC	17,957	17,521	22,903	5,382	30.72%
CMC-U	9,171	8,395	10,560	2,166	25.80%
MROC	12,049	10,803	12,849	2,046	18.94%
Presby	17,943	15,731	18,909	3,178	20.20%
UROC	8,031	<u>7,289</u>	<u>9,119</u>	<u>1,830</u>	<u>25.10%</u>
Service Area Total:		59,739	74,340	14,601	<b>24.44%</b>

Projected linac need in this service area would rise from -2.51 to +0.01; but, pop/linac too low

SERO 1

Revisions: **1.20** for all energies (both settings)  
**2.0** for IMRT energies (both settings)  
 0 for imaging codes (both settings)  
 0 for FSC weekly tx mgmt  
 2.0 for adult/pede under anesthesia  
 3.5 for SBRT in either setting  
 5.5 for SRS in either setting

**Note:** Using SBRT/SRS breakdown  
 of 76% body:24% H&N



Five Scenarios for Revising ESTV Calculations

SERO Model #2	2006-07 Procedures	2006-07 ESTVs	With Revisions to ESTV Values		
			2006-07 ESTVs	Change	Percent
CMC	17,957	17,521	21,213	3,692	21.07%
CMC-U	9,171	8,395	9,670	1,276	15.19%
MROC	12,049	10,803	11,986	1,183	10.95%
Presby	17,943	15,731	17,229	1,498	9.52%
UROC	8,031	<u>7,289</u>	<u>8,330</u>	<u>1,041</u>	<u>14.28%</u>
Service Area Total:		59,739	68,428	8,690	<b>14.55%</b>

Projected linac need in this service area would rise from -2.51 to -0.86; but, pop/linac too low

SERO 2

Revisions: 1.20 for all energies (both settings)  
 1.5 for IMRT energies (both settings)  
 0 for imaging codes (both settings)  
 0 for weekly tx mgmt  
 2.0 for adult/pede under anesthesia  
 3.5 for SBRT in either setting  
 5.5 for SRS in either setting

**Note:** this revises the SERO #1 model by dropping IMRT ESTVs from 2.0 to 1.5; result is similar to Novant model

SERO Model #3	2006-07 Procedures	2006-07 ESTVs	With Revisions to ESTV Values		
			2006-07 ESTVs	Change	Percent
CMC	17,957	17,521	20,574	3,053	17.42%
CMC-U	9,171	8,395	9,380	986	11.74%
MROC	12,049	10,803	11,595	792	7.33%
Presby	17,943	15,731	16,722	991	6.30%
UROC	8,031	<u>7,289</u>	<u>8,081</u>	<u>792</u>	<u>10.87%</u>
Service Area Total:		59,739	66,352	6,614	<b>11.07%</b>

Projected linac need in this service area would rise from -2.51 to -1.17; but, pop/linac too low

SERO 3

Revisions: 1.15 for all energies (both settings)  
 1.5 for IMRT energies (both settings)  
 0 for imaging codes (both settings)  
 0 for weekly tx mgmt  
 2.0 for adult/pede under anesthesia  
 3.5 for SBRT in either setting  
 5.5 for SRS in either setting

**Note:** revises SERO #2 by dropping the regular XRT to 1.15, keeping IMRT multiple at 1.50.

With Revisions to ESTV Values



Five Scenarios for Revising ESTV Calculations

<b>SERO</b>	2006-07	2006-07	2006-07		
<b>Model #4</b>	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>ESTVs</u>	<u>ESTVs</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Percent</u>
CMC	17,957	17,521	19,934	2,413	13.77%
CMC-U	9,171	8,395	9,089	695	8.27%
MROC	12,049	10,803	11,203	400	3.70%
Presby	17,943	15,731	16,214	483	3.07%
UROC	8,031	<u>7,289</u>	<u>7,833</u>	<u>544</u>	<u>7.46%</u>
Service Area Total:		59,739	64,273	4,535	<b>7.59%</b>

Projected linac need in this service area would rise from -2.51 to -1.48; but, pop/linac too low

**SERO 4**

Revisions: **1.10** for all energies (both settings)  
**1.5** for IMRT energies (both settings)  
 0 for imaging codes (both settings)  
 0 for weekly tx mgmt  
 2.0 for adult/pede under anesthesia  
 3.5 for SBRT in either setting  
 5.5 for SRS in either setting

**Note:** revises SERO #3 by dropping the regular XRT to 1.10, keeping IMRT at 1.50.

**Note:** this results in the smallest ESTV increase among the various options.

new need



## Application of Formula to Adjust Linac Need for SRS/SBRT Procedures

Examples of revising linac count for proportion of SRS/SBRT procedures performed:

**Formula:**  $(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(\#SRS/SRS\ capacity) - .8(\#SBRT/SBRT\ capacity))) = \text{linac value}$

Using 200 SRS and 800 SBRT procedures as annual capacity

Example:  $(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(20/200) - .8(150/800))) = \text{fractional linac value}$   
.33 + 0.67(1 - .02 - .15) = .886                      low SRS/SBRT volumes

Example:  $(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(40/200) - .8(300/800))) = \text{fractional linac value}$   
.33 + 0.67(1 - .04 - .30) = .772                      mid-range SRS/SBRT volumes

Example:  $(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(100/200) - .8(500/800))) = \text{fractional linac value}$   
.33 + 0.67(1 - .10 - .50) = .598                      high SRS/SBRT volumes

### Assumptions:

- 1) a dedicated SRS/SRT treatment center, i.e. CyberKnife, could be said to be at capacity with 200 SRS and 800 SRT procedures/year (1,000 total)
- 2) SRT procedures are defined as all stereotactic cranial or body procedures with 2-5 total treatments

### Back testing this formula achieves the following revised need:

Currently, the CyberKnife unit at **Mission** is reported as 1.0 linac. We have determined that over the past 12 months the unit performed 138 procedures. 65, or 47%, were SRS, & 73, or 53%, were SBRT. Applying the formula above would yield:

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(65/200) - .8(73/800))) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .065 - .073)) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(.862)) = \quad \mathbf{0.9075} \text{ linac}$$

Population/linac in svc area 2  
is too low for new need.  
Revised need with this formula  
would rise from -1.86 to -1.77.

Currently, the Novallis unit at **CMC** is reported as 1.0 linac. We have determined that over the past 12 months the unit performed 511 procedures. 119, or 23%, were SRS, & 392, or 77%, were SBRT. Applying the formula above would yield:

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(119/200) - .8(392/800))) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .119 - .392)) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(.489)) = \quad \mathbf{0.65763} \text{ linac}$$

Population/linac in svc area 7  
is too low for new need.  
Revised need with this formula  
would rise from -2.51 to -2.17.



### Application of Formula to Adjust Linac Need for SRS/SBRT Procedures

Currently, the CK unit at **CMC-NE** is reported as 1.0 linac. We have checked, and over the past 12 months they performed 183 procedures. 21, or 11.5% were SRS, and, 162, or 88.5%, were SBRT. Applying the formula above would yield:

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(21/200) - .8(162/800))) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .021 - .162)) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(.817)) = \quad \mathbf{0.87739} \text{ linac}$$

Population/linac in svc area 9  
svc area is too low for new need.  
Revised need with this formula  
would rise from -0.42 to -0.29.

Currently, the GammaKnife at **WFU** is reported as 1.0 linac. In 2006-07 they reported 322 GK procedures (head and neck) and a separate 11 SRS linac procedures, presumably SRT, for a combined total of 333 procedures, 97% SRS and 3% SRT, all on one linac:

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .2(322/200) - .8(11/800))) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1 - .322 - 0.011)) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(.667)) = \mathbf{0.7769} \quad \text{linac}$$

Population/linac in svc area 10 is  
too low for new need. Revised  
linac need with this formula  
would rise from -1.21 to -0.98.

We have checked with **DUMC**, which reports SRS/SBRT activity for 2.0 separate linacs. Both these linacs are presently counted as 1.0 units. Over a recent 12 month period, these two linacs performed a combined 265 procedures. 137, or 52% were SRS, and, 128, or 48%, were SBRT. Applying the formula above would yield:

$$(0.33 + 0.67(2 - .2(137/400) - .8(128/1,600))) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(2 - .0685 - .064)) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1.8675)) = \quad \mathbf{1.5812} \text{ linac}$$

Population/linac in svc area 16  
is low, but patient inflow is high.  
Revised need with this formula  
would rise from 0.05 to 0.47, resulting  
in need for an additional linac.

**However:** DUMC notes that it will now perform all SRS/SBRT only on its Novalis unit.

Currently, **ECU** has both GammaKnife and CyberKnife units, counted as 2.0 linacs. For 2006-07 they reported 119 on the former and 48 on the latter, for 167 combined units. I will assume that the GK units are all SRS and that the CK units are 75% SRT and 25% SRS:

$$(0.33 + 0.67(2 - .2(131/400) - .8(36/800))) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(2 - .0655 - 0.036)) =$$

$$(0.33 + 0.67(1.8985)) = \quad \mathbf{1.6020} \text{ linac}$$

Population/linac in svc area 26 is  
too low for new need. Revised  
linac need with this formula  
would rise from -0.94 to -0.54

**Note:** unable to adjust for Carolina Radiation Medicine SRS/SBRT procedures

**Note:** using 2006-07 hospital licensure or other more recent treatment data

revneedformula