# North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report January 2011



### January 2011 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report

Issued January 15, 2011 Re-issued February 1, 2011

Prepared by
Medical Facilities Planning Section
Division of Health Service Regulation
North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

*Under the direction of the*North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council

For information contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section Division of Health Service Regulation 2714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714 (919) 855 - 3866



The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.



### North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Service Regulation Medical Facilities Planning Section

2714 Mail Service Center ■ Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714

Beverly Eaves Perdue, Governor Lanier M. Cansler, Secretary

Elizabeth K. Brown, Section Chief Phone: (919) 855-3751

Fax: (919) 733-2757

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Individuals Interested in the North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports

FROM: Elizabeth K. Brown, Planning Section Chief

Medical Facilities Planning Section

SUBJECT: January 2011 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report - REVISED

DATE: February 1, 2011

Shortly after releasing the January 2011 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) errors were found. Staff has worked diligently to verify, validate and correct the SDR. A revised January 2011 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report is now available. This report is published pursuant to Chapter 14 of the 2011 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan. It applies the County Need methodology on patient data on June 30, 2010 and calculates utilization rates for implementation of the Facility Need methodology.

Certificate of need applications in response to the "January 2011 Semiannual Dialysis Report" are due on March 15, 2011 for review beginning April 1, 2011. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute.

General questions about the Semiannual Dialysis Report may be directed to the Medical Facilities Planning Section at 919-855-3866. For additional information regarding the certificate of need application process, please contact the Division of Health Service Regulation, Certificate of Need Section at 919-855-3873.





### END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE DIALYSIS FACILITIES Semiannual Dialysis Report, January 2011 – Revised February 1, 2011

#### Introduction

The <u>2011 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan</u> requires semiannual determination of need for new dialysis stations in North Carolina. This approach calls for publication of "Semiannual Dialysis Reports" (SDR) during January and July. The <u>2011 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan</u> specifies that the Semiannual Dialysis Reports "...will use facility, station and active patient data as of June 30, 2010 for the 'January 2011 SDR,' and as of December 31, 2010 for the 'July 2011 SDR.' A new five-year trend line will be established in the 'July 2011 SDR,' based on validated data as reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the time period ending December 31, 2010."

This document is the "January 2011 SDR." It reiterates the methodology and presents need determinations for the certificate of need review beginning April 1, 2011.

### **Summary of Dialysis Station Supply and Utilization**

For purposes of the Semiannual Dialysis Report, as of December 30, 2010 there were 168 End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) dialysis facilities certified and operating in North Carolina (*i.e.*, facilities reporting patient data via the Southeastern Kidney Council), providing a total of 4,041 dialysis stations. Certificates of need had been issued for an additional 214 dialysis stations, but the stations were not yet certified. Another 111 dialysis stations had been requested, but had not completed the certificate of need review and appeals process. The number of facilities per county ranged from zero to 13.

Utilization data as of June 30, 2010 are presented in the final two columns of Table A of the 168 certified facilities operational on that date, 71 were at or above 80 percent utilization (i.e., operating with at least 3.2 patients per station).

#### **Sources of Data**

#### **Inventory Data:**

Data on the current number of dialysis facilities and stations were obtained from the Certificate of Need Section and from the Licensure and Certification Section, Division of Health Service Regulation, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services.

#### **Dialysis Patient Data:**

Data on the dialysis population by county and by facility as of June 30, 2010 were provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) through the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. (SEKC) and the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition, Inc.

County data are designed to include all North Carolina residents of each county who are receiving dialysis, regardless of where they are currently being served. The numbers of

North Carolina patients being served in North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina as of June 30, 2010 were provided by the SEKC on November 30, 2010. The SEKC noted that data in its report were based upon information provided to the SEKC by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of November 20, 2010. The SEKC stated that these data are subject to change (*SEKC Disclaimer found on page 19*). County totals from the SEKC were supplemented by data from the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition indicating the number of patients residing in North Carolina counties and receiving dialysis in Virginia. Data for December 31<sup>st</sup> of 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 have been provided by the same sources for the five-year trend analysis.

Facility data include all patients being served by each provider as of June 30, 2010 regardless of the county or state of each patient's residence. These figures were also provided by the SEKC on November 30, 2010. Again, the SEKC noted that these figures reflect data provided to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of November 20, 2010. The SEKC also stated that these figures are subject to change.

### Method for Projection of New Dialysis Station Need

The 2011 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan (SMFP) directs the Medical Facilities Planning Section to "...determine need for new dialysis stations two times each calendar year, and...make a report of such determinations available to all who request it." The basic principles, methodology and timeline to be used were specified in the 2011 SMFP and are presented below:

#### **Basic Principles**

The principles underlying projection of need for additional dialysis stations are as follows:

- 1. Increases in the number of facilities or stations should be done to meet the specific need for either a new facility or an expansion.
- 2. New facilities must have a projected need for at least 10 stations (or 32 patients at 3.2 patients per station) to be cost effective and to assure quality of care.
- 3. The Medical Facilities Planning Section will maintain a list of existing facilities and stations, utilization rates and projected need by county that is updated semiannually. Updated projections will be available two times a year on a published schedule. Existing or potential providers interested in expanding in any area of the state may contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section for projected need in the area of interest. (Note: A dialysis station's service area is the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-County Planning Area and the Avery-Mitchell-

- Yancey Multi-County Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.)
- 4. Updates of the projections may target counties that have developed sufficient need to warrant consideration for facility expansion or for establishment of a new facility. Actual numbers are not published in the North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan so they can be updated as appropriate by the Medical Facilities Planning Section.
- 5. Home patients will not be included in the determination of need for new stations. Home patients include those that receive hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis in their home.
- 6. No existing facility may expand unless its utilization is 80 percent or greater. Any facility at 80 percent utilization or greater may apply to expand.
- 7. Facilities reporting no patients through the Southeastern Kidney Council for four consecutive Semiannual Dialysis Reports, beginning from March 1997, will be excluded from future inventories.
- 8. Quality of Care: All facilities should comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations relating to the delivery and certification of ESRD services and with relevant North Carolina statutory provisions. An applicant already involved in the provision of end-stage renal disease services should provide evidence that care of high quality has been provided in the past. The following are considered indicators of quality of care and existing providers proposing to expand their operations should include in their applications data which include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a. utilization rates
  - b. morbidity and mortality rates
  - c. numbers of patients that are home trained and patients on home dialysis
  - d. number of patients receiving transplants
  - e. number of patients currently on the transplant waiting list
  - f. hospital admission rates
  - g. conversion rates for patients who have acquired hepatitis or AIDS
- 9. Availability of Manpower and Ancillary/Support Services: The applicant should show evidence of the availability of qualified staff and other health manpower and management for the provision of quality ESRD services as well as the availability of a safe and adequate water supply, provision for treatment of wastewater discharge and a standing electrical service with backup capabilities.
- 10. Patient Access to In-Center ESRD Services: As a means of making ESRD services more accessible to patients, one of the goals of the N. C. Department of Health and

Human Services is to minimize patient travel time to and from the center. Therefore,

- a. End-stage renal disease treatment should be provided in North Carolina such that patients who require renal dialysis are able to be served in a facility no farther than 30 miles from the patients' homes.
- b. In areas where it is apparent that patients are currently traveling more than 30 miles for in-center dialysis, favorable consideration should be given to proposed new facilities which would serve patients who are farthest away from existing, operational or approved facilities.
- 11. Transplantation Services: Transplantation services should be available to, and a priority for, all ESRD patients whose conditions make them suitable candidates for this treatment. New enrollees should meet with and have access to a transplantation representative to provide patient education and evaluation for transplantation.
- 12. Availability of Dialysis Care: The North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council encourages applicants for dialysis stations to provide or arrange for:
  - a. Home training and backup for patients suitable for home dialysis in the ESRD dialysis facility or in a facility that is a reasonable distance from the patient's residence:
  - b. ESRD dialysis service availability at times that do not interfere with ESRD patients' work schedules;
  - c. Services in rural, remote areas.

### Methodology

Need for new dialysis stations shall be determined as follows:

- (1) County Need (for the January 2011 SDR Using the trend line ending with 12/31/09 data)
  - (A) The average annual rate (percent) of change in total number of dialysis patients resident in each county from the end of 2005 to the end of 2009 is multiplied by the county's June 30, 2010 total number of patients in the SDR, and the product is added to each county's most recent total number of patients reported in the SDR. The sum is the county's projected total June 30, 2011 patients.
  - (B) The percent of each county's total patients who were home dialysis patients on June 30, 2010 is multiplied by the county's projected total June 30, 2011 patients, and the product is subtracted from the county's projected total June 30, 2011 patients. The remainder is the county's projected June 30, 2011 in-center dialysis patients.

- (C) The projected number of each county's June 30, 2011 in-center patients is divided by 3.2. The quotient is the projection of the county's June 30, 2011 in-center dialysis stations.
- (D) From each county's projected number of June 30, 2011 in-center stations is subtracted the county's number of stations certified for Medicare, CON-approved and awaiting certification, awaiting resolution of CON appeals, and the number represented by need determinations in previous State Medical Facilities Plans or Semiannual Dialysis Reports for which CON decisions have not been made. The remainder is the county's June 30, 2011 projected station surplus or deficit.
- (E) If a county's June 30, 2011 projected station deficit is 10 or greater and the January SDR shows that utilization of each dialysis facility in the county is 80 percent or greater, the June 30, 2011 county station need determination is the same as the June 30, 2011 projected station deficit. If a county's June 30, 2011 projected station deficit is less than 10 or if the utilization of any dialysis facility in the county is less than 80 percent, the county's June 30, 2011 station need determination is zero.

### (2) Facility Need

A dialysis facility located in a county for which the result of the County Need methodology is zero in the current Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) is determined to need additional stations to the extent that:

- (A) Its utilization, reported in the current SDR, is 3.2 patients per station or greater.
- (B) Such need, calculated as follows, is reported in an application for a certificate of need:
  - (i) The facility's number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the previous Dialysis Report (SDR<sub>1</sub>) is subtracted from the number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the current SDR (SDR<sub>2</sub>). The difference is multiplied by 2 to project the net in-center change for 1 year. Divide the projected net in-center change for the year by the number of in-center patients from SDR<sub>1</sub> to determine the projected annual growth rate.
  - (ii) The quotient from (2)(B)(i) is divided by 12.
  - (iii) The quotient from (2)(B)(ii) is multiplied by 6 (the number of months from June 30, 2010 until December 31, 2010) for the January 2011 SDR.
  - (iv) The product from (2)(B)(iii) is multiplied by the number of the facility's incenter patients reported in the current SDR and that product is added to such reported number of in-center patients.

- (v) The sum from (2)(B)(iv) is divided by 3.2, and from the quotient is subtracted the facility's current number of certified stations as recorded in the current SDR and the number of pending new stations for which a certificate of need has been issued. The remainder is the number of stations needed.
- (C) The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established in (2)(B)(v), up to a maximum of 10 stations.

[NOTE: "Rounding" to the nearest whole number is allowed only in Step I(C) and Step 2(B)(v). In these instances, fractions of 0.5000 or greater shall be rounded to the next highest whole number.]

Unless specific "adjusted need determinations" are recommended by the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council, an application for a certificate of need for additional dialysis stations can be considered consistent with the need determinations of the 2011 State Medical Facilities Plan only if it demonstrates a need by utilizing one of the methods of determining need outlined in Chapter 14.

#### Timeline

The schedule for publication of the North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports and for receipt of certificate of need applications based on each issue of that report shall be as follows:

Data for Period Ending	Due Dates for SEKC Report	Publication of SDR	Application Due Dates for CON Applications	Beginning Review Dates
June 30, 2010	Nov. 9, 2010	January 15, 2011	March 15, 2011	April 1, 2011
Dec. 31, 2010	May 10, 2011	July 1, 2011	September 15, 2011	October 3, 2011

Please be advised that 5:30 p.m. on the specified Application Due Date is the filing deadline for any certificate of need application in response to these dialysis reports. The filing deadline is absolute.

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Statio	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
Alamance	34-2533		Burlington	49			) (					
Alamance	34-2567		Burlington	27								
	Proposed new site	North Burlington Dialysis -			_							
	consisting of existing	Utilization included with										
Alamance	stations.	Burlington Dialysis Center	Burlington	0	10	(		10	C	C		
	Proposed new site	,	Ü									
		FMC Mebane - utilization										
Alamance	stations.	included with BMA of Burlington	Mebane	0	10	(	0	10	C	C		
		Fresenius Medical Care of										
Alexander	n/a	Alexander County	Taylorsville	0	10	(	) (	10	C	C		
Alleghany												
Anson	34-2560	Dialysis Care of Anson County	Wadesboro	15	0	(	) (	15	15	42	70%	2.80
Anson	34-2673	FMC of Anson County	Wadesboro	10	0	(	0	10	10	17	43%	1.70
Ashe												
Avery		ey Multicounty Planning Area.)										
Beaufort	34-2561	BMA of Pamlico	Washington	25		(	0					
Bertie	34-2547	Windsor Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Windsor	20	0	(	0					
Bladen	34-2578	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Elizabethtown	21			0					
Brunswick	34-2582	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Shallotte	13			0					
Brunswick	34-2669	Southport Dialysis Center	Southport	10			0					2.60
Brunswick	n/a	FMC of Brunswick County	Supply	0			0					
Buncombe	34-2506		Asheville	46			0					
Buncombe	34-2626	Swannanoa Dialysis Center	Swannanoa	10			0	-				
Buncombe	34-2604	Weaverville Dialysis Center	Weaverville	20			•					
Burke	34-2563	BMA of Burke County	Morganton	25		`	0					
Cabarrus	34-2670	Harrisburg Dialysis Center	Concord	15			0					
Cabarrus	34-2631		Concord	21	0	(	0	21	16	54	84%	3.38
		July 2010 Cabarrus County										
		Need Determination - Four CON										
Cabarrus	n/a	applications submitted	TBD	0			) 23					
Caldwell	34-2509	BMA-Lenoir	Lenoir	34	0	(	) (	34	. 34	89	65%	2.62
Camden												
_		Crystal Coast Dialysis Unit			_							
Carteret	34-2588	(BMA)	Morehead City	20	0	(	ο 6	26	20	64	80%	3.20
	0.4.0507	Renal Care Group - Caswell			_							,
Caswell	34-2597	(BMA)	Yanceyville	10			) (					
Catawba	34-2516	BMA-Hickory	Hickory	33			) (					
Catawba	34-2635		Conover	23			) (	-				
Chatham	34-2621	Carolina Dialysis Siler City	Siler City	9	6	(	0	15	9	49	136%	5.44

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Statio	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	on Rates
County	Provider Number	Facility	City	Certified	CON Issued/ Not Certified	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	Total	Certified Stations 6.30.10	Number In-Center Patients 6.30.10	Utilization by Percent	Patients per Station
Chatham	34-2617	Carolina Dialysis -Pittsboro	Pittsboro	10		) (	) (	) 10	) 10	20	50%	6 2.00
Cherokee (Cherokee-Clay- Graham Multicounty		Smokey Mountains Dialysis										
Planning Area.)	34-2649	Center	Murphy	10				10			589	6 2.30
	34-2541	Edenton Dialysis	Edenton	17		) (	) (	1	7 17	7 58	85%	6 3.4
Clay		am Multicounty Planning Area.)										
		Dialysis Clinic (DCI Shelby)	Shelby	34		9 (	) (	) 2:	5 35	5 90	649	6 2.57
		DCI Boiling Springs	Boiling Springs	10								
		DCI Kings Mountain	Kings Mtn.	12			) (					
	Proposed new site consisting of existing	DCI South - utilization included										
Cleveland		with DCI Shelby.	Shelby	1		9 (				1 (		, , ,
		Southeastern Dialysis Center	Whiteville	27			) (					
		Chadbourn Dialysis Center	Chadbourn	17			) (					
		New Bern Dialysis Unit (BMA)	New Bern	37			) (					6 2.49
Craven		FMC Craven County	New Bern	29		0	-1	2	3 29	9 73	639	6 2.52
Cumberland	34-2510	Fayetteville Kidney Center (BMA)	Fayetteville	40		3	1 (	3	3 39	9 139	899	6 3.56
Cumberland	34-2643	FMC Dialysis Services of West Fayetteville FMC Dialysis Services-North	Fayetteville	37		0	) (	3	7 33	3 104	799	6 3.15
Cumberland	34-2593	Ramsey (BMA) FMC Dialysis Services-South	Fayetteville	37	:	3 (	) (	4	9 40	115	729	6 2.88
Cumberland		Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	51		) (	) (	5	1 5	1 132	659	6 2.59
Currituck		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	0.		,				102	307	2.00
		Dare County/Outer Banks					1			1		
Dare		Dialysis Clinic	Nags Head	9			) (	)	9	27	759	6 3.00
22		Lexington Dialysis Center				-		1	`		1.07	2.00
Davidson	34-2553	(WFU)	Lexington	36	;	) (		3	36	99	699	6 2.75
	34-2639	Thomasville Dialysis Center	Thomasville	16			) 2		3 16			
Davie		Davie Kidney Center	Mocksville	0			) (					
		Southeastern Dialysis Center					1		1	1		
Duplin	34-2535	Kenansville	Kenansville	14				1.	1 14	46	829	6 3.29
	34-2659	Wallace Dialysis Center	Wallace	12			) (					
		Warsaw Dialysis Center (RAI)	Warsaw	16		-	) (					

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Station	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
County	Nullibei		City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	0.30.10	0.30.10	by Percent	Station
Dula a .ua	0.4.0000	Duke University Hospital ESRD	D	4.0						40	770	, , ,
Durham	34-2302	Unit Durham Dialysis	Durham	16	6 ( 6 -9	·			33			
Durham			Durham									
Durham	34-2616	Durham-West Dialysis	Durham	26	) (	) (	3	29	26	89	86%	6 3.42
Durham	34-2538	Freedom Lake Dialysis Center	Durham	22	2 (	) (	0	22	26	87	84%	6 3.35
		FMC Dialysis Services of West										
Durham	34-2590		Durham	20	(		0	20	24	1 63	66%	6 2.63
		FMC Dialysis Services of Briggs										
Durham	34-2615	Avenue	Durham	29	) (	) (	C	29	27	7 103	95%	6 3.81
Durkon	0 0		Durch one		4.			10	2			
Durham	stations.		Durham	C	12	2 (	) (	12		0 0	)	
		Durham South Dialysis -										
B 1	-	utilization included with Durham	D 1									
Durham	stations.		Durham	C	12	2 (	) (	12	2 (	0 0	)	
C-1		Dialysis Care of Edgecombe	T	0.5				0.5			740	, ,
Edgecombe	34-2577	County	Tarboro	25								
Edgecombe	34-2603	BMA of East Rocky Mount	Rocky Mount	30	) (	) (	) (	30	30	97	81%	6 3.23
Forsyth	34-2304	North Carolina Baptist Hospitals	Winston-Salem	4	. (		0	4	. 4	1 1	6%	6 0.25
Forsyth	34-2505	Piedmont Dialysis	Winston-Salem	62	2 (	) (	0	62	62	130	52%	6 2.10
Forsyth	34-2612	Northside Dialysis Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	45	,	) (	) (	45	5 45	5 131	73%	6 2.91
roloyar	012012	Trentifica Biaryole Conten (VVI C)	Willoton Calom				,	10		101	107	2.01
Forsyth	34-2569	Salem Kidney Center (WFU) Miller Street Dialysis Center of	Winston-Salem	36	6 (	) (	0	36	36	131	91%	6 3.64
Forsyth	34-2667	WFU	Winston-Salem	36	6 (	) (	0	36	36	119	83%	6 3.31
Franklin	34-2571	Dialysis Care of Franklin County	Louisbura	23	3			23	3 23	3 70	76%	6 3.04
Gaston	34-2513		Gastonia	39			) (				87%	
		Metrolina Kidney Center -										
Gaston	34-2652	Belmont	Belmont	16	6		) c	16	16	6 43	67%	6 2.69
Gaston	34-2595	BMA of Kings Mountain	Kings Mountain	14	. (	) (	) (	14	14			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>									
Gaston	34-2671	FMC South Gaston	Gastonia	17	,			17	12	2 43	90%	6 3.58

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Statio	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
	Provider				CON Issued/ Not	Decision	Decision		Certified Stations	Number In-Center Patients	Utilization	Patients per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
County	110	Gambro Healthcare Gates		Cortinoa	Cortinoa	rtoridorod	ronang	Total	0.00.10	0.00.10	by i diddin	Otation
Gates	n/a	County	Gatesville	C	10	) (	0	10	(	0		
Graham	(Cherokee-Clay-Grah	nam Multicounty Service Area.)										
		FMC Dialysis Services Neuse										
Granville	34-2520	River	Oxford	18	3 (	) (	0	18	18	62	86%	6 3.44
Granville	34-2647	FMS Dialysis Services of Oxford	Oxford	16	6 (	) (	0	16	16	6 46	72%	6 2.88
Greene	34-2650	Greene County Dialysis Center	Snow Hill	13	3 (	) (	3	16	13	3 47	90%	3.62
Guilford	34-2537	BMA of South Greensboro	Greensboro	59								
Guilford	34-2600	BMA of Southwest Greensboro	Jamestown	31	-2		) 0	29	31	85	69%	6 2.74
Galliora	34-2000	Kidney Center of Greensboro	Jamestown	31			, 0	23	31	00	037	2.17
Guilford	34-2504	(BMA)	Greensboro	56	s c	) (	0	56	56	155	69%	6 2.77
		Northwest Greensboro Kidney										
Guilford	34-2613	Center (BMA)	Greensboro	25	5 (							
Guilford	34-2634	FMC of East Greensboro	Greensboro	37	′ (	) (	0	37	37	94	64%	6 2.54
Guilford	34-2514	High Point Kidney Center (WFU)	High Point	42	2	) (	0	42	42	148	88%	3.52
Guilford	34-2599	Triad Dialysis Center (WFU)	High Point	20								
			J									
Halifax	34-2542	BMA of Roanoke Rapids	Roanoke Rapids	35	-2	2 (	0	33	35	142	101%	4.06
		FMC Dialysis of Halifax County										
Halifax	34-2619	(BMA)	Scotland Neck	11	2	(	0	15	11	44	100%	6 4.00
	Proposed new site	Fresenius Medical Care of										
	consisting of existing						_					
Halifax	stations.	BMA Roanoke Rapids.	Weldon	C								
Harnett	34-2557	Dunn Kidney Center (BMA)	Dunn	25	5 (	-2	2 0	23	22	79	90%	3.59
11	0.4.00.40	FMS Dialysis Services of	Lance	4.5				4.0				
Harnett	34-2648	Lillington	Lillington	15	1	(	0	16	13	3 46	88%	3.54
	Proposed new site	FMC Angier - utilization included										
l lowoott	consisting of existing	with BMA Cary, BMA Fuquay- Varina and BMA Dunn	Angier	(			0	10				
Harnett Haywood	stations. 34-2629	Waynesville Dialysis Center	Clyde	18								6 3.06
i iaywoou	34-2029	Waynesville Dialysis Center	Ciyue	10	,	,	, 0	10	16	5 55	707	0 3.00
Henderson	34-2564	Hendersonville Dialysis Center	Hendersonville	20		) (	0					
Hertford	34-2570	Ahoskie Dialysis	Ahoskie	27	' (	) (	0	27	27	87		
Hoke	34-2579	Dialysis Care of Hoke County	Raeford	30	) (	) (	0	30	30	106	88%	6 3.53
Hyde												

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Station	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
Journey	- Trainison	Statesville Dialysis Center	City	Ocranica	Ocranica	rendered	renaing	Total	0.00.10	0.00.10	by i crocin	Otation
Iredell	34-2527	(WFU)	Statesville	27	,			27	27	71	66%	2.63
Iredell	34-2636	West Iredell Dialysis Center	Statesville	20								
	0.2000	Lake Norman Dialysis Center	O LOCK CONTINUE									
Iredell	34-2606		Mooresville	27	,			27	27	76	70%	2.8
Jackson	34-2556	` '	Sylva	18								
Johnston	34-2545	FMC Four Oaks (BMA)	Four Oaks	19			_					
COMMISSION	012010	Tive Four Gaile (Bivin)	1 our ouno	10			, .		10	,		0.1
Johnston	34-2572	Johnston Dialysis Center (BMA)	Smithfield	25	-5	5		20	25	83	83%	3.32
		FMC West Johnston - utilization										
		included with Johnston Dialysis										
Johnston	stations.		Clayton		10		0	10			)	
Johnston	34-2678		Clayton	10								
		FMC Dialysis Services of Jones	,									
Jones	34-2625	County	Trenton	10				10	10	29	73%	2.90
001100	0 1 2020	County	TTOTAGE	10			, .					2.00
Lee	34-2620	Carolina Dialysis Sanford (UNC)	Sanford	39	) (			39	31	137	110%	4.42
200	0 1 2020	Kinston Dialysis Unit (BMA	odinora -				, .			101	1107	1.12
Lenoir	34-2518	Kinston)	Kinston	39	) (			39	39	96	62%	2.46
Lenoir		FMC Vernon Dialysis	Kinston	24		`						
Lincoln	34-2568	FMC Lincolnton	Lincolnton	25								
Macon												
Madison												
Martin	34-2584	Dialysis Care of Martin County	Williamston	23	3 (	) (	) 0	23	23	61	66%	2.65
McDowell	34-2645		Marion	13		) (	) 0				65%	
Mecklenburg	34-2554	BMA-West Charlotte	Charlotte	29								
Mecklenburg	34-2581	BMA of Beatties Ford (Metrolina)	Charlotte	32	2		0	32	32	102	80%	3.19
Mecklenburg	34-2549	BMA of North Charlotte	Charlotte	28	3 -1	(	) (	27	28	3 70	63%	6 2.50
Mecklenburg	34-2306	Carolina's Medical Center	Charlotte	9	) (	) (	0	9	9	3	8%	
Mecklenburg	34-2552	RAI Care Centers - Charlotte	Charlotte	24	. (	) (	0	24	24	64	67%	2.67
		RAI Care Centers -										
Mecklenburg	34-2591	Mecklenburg/University	Charlotte	29	5	5	3 0	42	29	118	102%	4.07
Mecklenburg	34-2523	South Charlotte Dialysis	Charlotte	17		3 (	) (	20	17	7 80	118%	
Mecklenburg	34-2548	Charlotte Dialysis	Charlotte	26	3 1	(	) 4	31	26	106	102%	4.08
Mecklenburg	34-2627	Charlotte East Dialysis	Charlotte	16		) (	) (	16				
		,										
Mecklenburg	34-2663	North Charlotte Dialysis Center	Charlotte	17	, (		0	17	17	56	82%	3.29
Mecklenburg	34-2503	BMA of Charlotte	Charlotte	46		) (	0	46	46			
Mecklenburg	34-2605	BMA of East Charlotte	Charlotte	25		. (	) (	21			82%	

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Statio	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
Mecklenburg	34-2594	BMA of Nations Ford	Charlotte	20		6 (	) (	26	20	90	113%	4.50
	Proposed new site	New BMA Facility - Matthews - utilization included with BMA Nations Ford, BMA East Charlotte Dialysis, BMA West										
		Charlotte, and BMA North										
Mecklenburg	stations.	Charlotte.	Matthews	0	1	1 (	0	11	C	C	)	
	consisting of existing	Mint Hill Dialysis Center - utilization included with Charlotte										
Mecklenburg	stations.	East and South Charlotte.	Mint Hill	0	1	0 (	) C	10	C	0	)	
Mitchell (Mitchell-Avery- Yancey Multicounty												
Planning Area)		Mayland Dialysis Center	Spruce Pine	9		0 (	0	) g	g	16	44%	6 1.78
Montgomery	34-2583	Dialysis Care of Montgomery County	Biscoe	19		0 (	0 0	19	19	52	2 68%	2.74
Moore	34-2555	Dialysis Care of Pinehurst (Moore County)	Pinehurst	22		3	0	25	33	99	75%	3.00
Moore	34-2638	Southern Pines Dialysis Center	Southern Pines	13		0 0	) (	13	12	2 47	98%	3.92
Moore	Proposed new site consisting of existing	Carthage Dialysis Center - utilization included with Dialysis care of Moore County and Southern Pines Dialysis Center.	Carthage	0	1	2 (		12	. 0	)		
		Rocky Mount Kidney Center		40						4.40	000	0.50
Nash	34-2517	(BMA) FMC Dialysis Services of Spring	Rocky Mount	42		0 (	0	42	42	148	88%	3.52
Nash	34-2644	Hope	Spring Hope	10		0		10	10	25	63%	2.50
New Hanover	34-2511	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Wilmington	49			) 3	32	49	193	98%	
New Hanover	Proposed new site consisting of existing stations.	Cape Fear Dialysis Center - utilization included with Southeastern Dialysis Center - Wilmington	Wilmington	0	2	8 (	0 0	28	C	) (	)	
Northampton	34-2586		Rich Square	16		0 (	0 0	16	16	5 47	7 73%	2.94
Onslow	34-2532	Southeastern Dialysis Ctr. Jacksonville	Jacksonville	35		3 (	0	38	35	5 114	81%	3.26

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Station	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
County	Number	Carolina Dialysis Carrboro	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	rending	TOlai	0.30.10	0.30.10	by Fercent	Station
Orongo	34-2622	(UNC)	Carrbara	36	5 5	i (		41	36	132	92%	2 67
Orange Pamlico	34-2022	(UNC)	Carrboro	30	) 5	) (	) (	41	30	132	929	6 3.67
	34-2515	Flizabath City Diabysis	Elizabeth City	24	. 6	6 (	) (	30	24	1 94	98%	6 3.92
Pasquotank	34-2313		Elizabeth City	24		) (	) (	30	24	94	987	0 3.92
Dandan	04.0550	Southeastern Dialysis Center	D	00				00	00		040	, , ,
Pender	34-2558	Inc.	Burgaw	20	(	) (	) 2	22	20	65	819	6 3.25
Perquimans	0.4.0500	D. L D'.l'.	D . I	0.4				30	24	1 98	3 102%	4 00
Person	34-2562		Roxboro	24	C	(	6	30	22	98	1029	6 4.08
D:	0.4.0500	Greenville Dialysis Center						4.0			0.40	,
Pitt	34-2502	(BMA)	Greenville	48								
Pitt	34-2632	FMC Care of Ayden	Ayden	15	0	(	0	15	15	47	7 78%	6 3.13
		FMC Dialysis of East Carolina			_							
Pitt	34-2596	University	Greenville	38								6 3.11
Polk	n/a	Polk County Dialysis Center	Columbus	C	10	(	0	10	(	) (	)	
		Bio-Medical Applications of										
Randolph	34-2524	Asheboro	Asheboro	27	' 9	(	0	36	27	106	98%	6 3.93
		July 2010 Randolph County Need Determination - Two CON										
Randolph	n/a	applications submitted	TBD	C	) c		10	10	(			
•		Dialysis Care of Richmond										
Richmond	34-2539	County	Hamlet	32	-12	2 (	7	27	32	120	94%	6 3.75
	Proposed new site consisting of existing	Sandhills Dialysis Center - utilization included with Dialysis										
Richmond	stations.		Rockingham	C	12			12	(			
Robeson	34-2528	Lumberton Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Lumberton	32	-6	5	1 0	30	32	113	88%	6 3.53
		FMC Dialysis Services of										
Robeson	34-2623	Robeson County	Fairmont	23	s c		0	23	23	57	62%	6 2.48
Robeson	34-2607	BMA of Red Springs	Red Springs	18	-4	. (	0	14	18	3 44	619	
Robeson	34-2662	BMA of St. Pauls	St. Pauls	10	) (	) (	0	10	10	31	78%	6 3.10
Robeson	34-2651	St. Pauls Dialysis Center	St. Pauls	10	) (	) (	) (	10	10	23	58%	6 2.30
		FMC of Pembroke - utilization										
	Proposed new site	included with BMA Lumberton,										
	consisting of existing	BMA Red Springs, and BMA										
Robeson	stations.	Laurinburg.	Pembroke	C	13	3	0	13	(		o	
		Dialysis Care of Rockingham										
Rockingham	34-2536	County	Eden	23			0	23	23	68	74%	6 2.96
Rockingham	34-2624		Madison	10								
Rockingham	34-2640		Reidsville	19			-					

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Statio	ns as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	on Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	
County		Rockingham Kidney Center	Oity	Certified	Certified	rtendered	renaing	Total	0.00.10	0.00.10	by refeern	Otation
Rockingham	34-2641	(BMA)	Reidsville	15		) (		15	15	5 44	739	% 2.9
Nockingnam	34-2041	(DIVIA)	Reidsville	10	'	,		10	'	, 4-	13,	76 2.9
Rowan	34-2546	Dialysis Care of Rowan County	Salisbury	29		) (	) (	29	29	94	819	% 3.2
		Dialysis Care of	,	_		-		_				
Rowan		Kannapolis/Rowan	Kannapolis	25	5			25	25	73	739	% 2.9
		Dialysis Care of Rutherford	'									
Rutherford		County	Forest City	30	)		) (	30	30	83	699	% 2.7
Sampson		BMA of Clinton	Clinton	39	-		) (					
'	Proposed new site											
		FMC of Roseboro - utilization										
Sampson	stations.	included with BMA of Clinton.	Roseboro	C	1		0	10	) (		O	
Scotland		BMA of Laurinburg	Laurinburg	29	-:	3 (	) (			79	689	
Scotland	34-2664	FMC of Scotland County	Laurinburg	12		) (	) (			2 42	2 889	
Stanly	34-2565	BMA of Albemarle	Albemarle	22		) (	) (	22	22	2 66	759	% 3.0
Stokes	34-2633	King Dialysis Center	King	17		0	) (	17	17	7 49	729	% 2.8
		Mount Airy Dialysis Center										
Surry		(WFU)	Mt. Airy	27		0	0			7 74	1 699	% 2.7·
Surry			Elkin	19		) (	) (					
Swain	34-2602	Cherokee Dialysis Center	Cherokee	20	)	) (	0	20	20	63	799	% 3.1
Transylvania	n/a	Brevard Dialysis Center	Transylvania	C	)	3 (	) (	8	3 (	) (	)	
Tyrrell												
		Metrolina Kidney Center (BMA										
Union		Monroe)	Monroe	21			0					
Union			Monroe	24			) 4					
Union			Marshville	10			0					
Vance	34-2543	Henderson Dialysis Center	Henderson	43	3	) (	) 6	49	43	139	819	% 3.2
	04.0544	0 (514 )		0=								
Wake	34-2544	Cary Kidney Center (BMA)	Cary	27		) -:	3 -4	20	27	7 71	669	% 2.6
Maka	24 2050	TMC Dishusia Complete of Annual	A 2011	10				4.4	47	2	0.50	2.4
Wake		FMS Dialysis Services of Apex	Apex	10			) 4					
Wake		<u> </u>	Raleigh	48			2 0					
Wake		<b>!</b>	Raleigh	30	-	1	) /	30	30	) 110	9/3	% 3.8
		FMC Central Raleigh - utilization										
Woko		included with BMA Raleigh and FMC New Hope.	Raleigh			,		40	,			
Wake				0	-		0 C			5 76		y 2.0
Wake			Fuquay-Varina	23		1						
Wake			Zebulon	30 50		-	0 0					
Wake Wake		Wake Dialysis Clinic FMC Eastern Wake	Raleigh Rolesville	14		-	) (					

				Numbe	r of Dialy	sis Statior	s as of 1	2.30.10			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
	Duardalan				Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	6.30.10	6.30.10	by Percent	Station
Wake	34-2653	FMC Millbrook	Raleigh	16	C	0	0	16	16	41	64%	2.56
		Southwest Wake County										
Wake	34-2642	Dialysis	Raleigh	24	C	0	3	27	24		98%	3.92
Wake	34-2675	Wake Forest Dialysis Center	Wake Forest	10	C	0	0	10	10	14	35%	1.40
		FMC Dialysis Services of										
Warren	34-2610	Warren Hills	Warrenton	18	7	C	0	25	18	63	88%	3.50
		FMC Dialysis Services of										
Washington	34-2618	Plymouth (BMA)	Plymouth	12	2	. 0	0	14	11	43	98%	3.91
Watauga	34-2674	FMC Watauga County	Boone	14	C	0	0	14			107%	4.29
Wayne	34-2531	Goldsboro Dialysis	Goldsboro	25	C	0	0	25				
Wayne	34-2587	Goldsboro South Dialysis	Goldsboro	22	1	0	0	23	22	76	86%	3.45
Wayne	34-2573	Mount Olive Dialysis	Mount Olive	11	4	. 0	0	15				4.27
Wayne	34-2576	RAI Care Centers - Goldsboro	Goldsboro	16	C	0	0	16	16	27	42%	1.69
Wilkes	34-2313	Wilkes Regional Dialysis Center	N. Wilkesboro	16	3	3	0	22	16	56	88%	
Wilson	34-2507	Wilson Dialysis	Wilson	29	4	. 0	3	36	29	109	94%	3.76
Wilson	34-2637	Forest Hills Dialysis	Forest Hills	21	C	0	0	21	21	92	110%	
Yadkin	34-2665	Yadkin Dialysis Center	Yadkinville	13	C	0	0	13	13	26	50%	2.00
Yancey	(Mitchell-Avery-Y	ancey Multicounty Planning Area.)										
State Totals				4,041	214	. 18	93	4,366	4,016	12,551		

#### Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by Planning Area Average Projected County/ Multi-Annual Projected Projected 6.30.11 Projected 12.31.07 12.31.08 12.31.09 6.30.10 6.30.10 % 6.30.11 Station Deficit County Station County 12.31.05 12.31.06 Change Rate Projected 6.30.11 In-Center Total 6.30.10 Total 6.30.11 Total Planning Total Total Total Total Total for Past Five Home Home Home In-Center Station Available (bolded) or Need Utilization Area **Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients** Years **Patients Patients Patients** Patients **Patients Patients** Stations Surplus Determination 0.068 272 290.5 27.8 256 262.7 Alamance 197 214 232 238 26 9.6% 6 0 18 30 27 34 30 0.177 30 23.3% 27.1 10 Alexander 35.3 8.2 Surplus of 2 0 Alleghany 4 5 5 12 0.341 14 18.8 2 14.3% 2.7 16.1 0 5 O 78 79 75 69 68 76 Anson -0.033 73.5 4 5.3% 3.9 69.6 22 25 Surplus of 3 0 16 17 20 18 16 7.0 Ashe 0.007 19 19.1 36.8% 12.1 0 92 82 96 96 96 99 100.5 16 16.2% 16.2 84.3 25 Beaufort 0.016 26 0 71 71 67 68 65 67.5 6 5.9 61.7 Surplus of 1 Bertie -0.021 69 8.7% 19 20 0 Bladen 63 64 78 89 92 0.102 92 101.4 4.3% 4.4 97.0 30 24 0 108 102 Brunswick 96 113 109 0.035 117 121.2 24 20.5% 24.9 96.3 30 33 Surplus of 3 0 223 225 228 26 231 230 222 -0.010 25.8 200.1 63 Buncombe 225.8 11.4% 82 Surplus of 19 0 90 90 92 92 93 15 Burke 82 0.030 95.8 16.1% 15.4 80.3 25 25 0 0 146 150 170 Cabarrus 174 201 0.085 197 213.7 29 14.7% 31.5 182.3 57 59 Surplus of 2 0 103 106 104 106 0.017 105 106.8 13 13.2 93.5 29 Caldwell 110 12.4% 34 Surplus of 5 0 Camden 9 9 12 12 0.115 11 12.3 3 27.3% 3.3 8.9 0 0 56 55 56 54 67 67 4.2 21 Surplus of 5 Carteret 0.051 70.4 6.0% 66.2 26 0 27 25 29 26 32 2.1 Caswell 0.032 32 33.0 6.3% 31.0 10 10 0 0 157 157 25 25.3 Catawba 159 162 167 0.013 171 173.1 14.6% 147.8 46 56 Surplus of 10 0 64 73 71 79 61 0.069 81 86.6 8 8.6 78.0 24 25 0 Chatham 9.9% Surplus of 1 27 27 29 23 20 -0.066 20 5.6 13.1 Cherokee 18.7 30.0% 10 Surplus of 6 6 9 9 0.101 8 8.8 0 0.0% 0.0 8.8 0 3 13 11 12 15.7 Graham 11 11 -0.016 16 6.3% 1.0 14.8 0 5 Cherokee-Clay-Graham Planning Area Total n 35 37 39 0.034 44.5 14.0% 38.3 Surplus of 5 Chowan 35 40 43 6.2 12 17 0 Cleveland 196 203 206 213 214 0.022 227 232.1 23 10.1% 23.5 208.5 65 0 Columbus 133 128 137 137 129 -0.006 122 121.2 4.9% 6.0 115.3 36 43 Surplus of 7 0 150 149 155 159 177 0.043 165 172.1 11 6.7% 11.5 160.6 50 65 Surplus of 15 0 Craven 486 479 510 521 523 52 497.2 155 Surplus of 11 Cumberland 0.019 540 550.2 9.6% 53.0 166 0 17 17 Currituck 15 14 14 -0.046 17 16.2 41.2% 6.7 9.5 0 0 27 29 23 26 22 -0.039 Dare 28 26.9 10.7% 2.9 24.0 Surplus of 1 0 Davidson 178 164 172 172 181 0.006 180 181.0 21 11.7% 21.1 159.9 50 54 Surplus of 4 0 24 30 39 41 13 10 Davie 36 38 0.127 46.2 31.7% 14.7 31.6 10 0 Duplin 122 118 118 127 131 0.019 132 134.5 5.3% 7.1 127.3 40 42 Surplus of 2 0 Durham 447 469 495 505 516 0.037 489 506.9 34 7.0% 35.2 471.7 147 164 Surplus of 17 0 183 180 171 186 182 0.000 189 189.0 22 11.6% 22.0 167.0 52 55 Surplus of 3 0 Edgecombe

Note: Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-county Planning Area and the Mitchell-Avery-Yancey Multi-county Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.

608.9

108.6

283.7

17.7

109.9

48.3

823.9

219.2

72

16

25

61

12.2%

15.5%

9.5%

15.8%

7.4%

6.7%

7.5%

13.7%

74.4

16.9

27.1

2.8

8.1

3.2

62.2

534.5

91.7

256.6

14.9

101.7

45.1

761.7

189.0

167

29

80

32

14

238

183

23

86

10

34

16

270

Surplus of 16

Surplus of 6

Surplus of 5

Surplus of 2

Surplus of 2

Surplus of 32

0

0

0

0

0

n

0

545

85

234

25

105

40

769

515

75

215

25

100

35

761

171

Forsyth

Franklin

Gaston

Granville Greene

Guilford

Halifax

Gates

556

85

246

28

116

38

792

571

94

277

24

40

787

117

588

92

295

18

106

46

822

0.034

0.054

0.083

-0.068

0.017

0.074

0.020

0.039

589

103

262

19

108

45

808

211

#### Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by Planning Area Average Projected County/ Multi-Annual Projected Projected 6.30.11 Projected 12.31.05 12.31.06 12.31.07 12.31.08 12.31.09 Change Rate Projected 6.30.10 6.30.10 % 6.30.11 6.30.11 In-Center Station Deficit County Station Total Total Total for Past Five 6.30.10 Total 6.30.11 Total Home Home Station Available (bolded) or Need Total Total Total Home In-Center **Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients** Utilization Stations Surplus Determination Years 0.082 180 194.8 22.7 172.1 49 120 122 139 153 21 11.7% 0 52 50 48 49 53 0.015 50 12.0% 18 Surplus of 4 6.1 44.7 0 79 78 75 74 71 70 -0.014 76.9 14 17.9% 13.8 63.1 20 20 0 76 84 78 77 87 0.038 93 96.5 11 11.8% 11.4 27 27 85.1 0 0 67 68 67 64 73 0.019 83 84.6 5 6.0% 5.1 79.5 25 30 Surplus of 5 0 6 0.048 7.3 2 28.6% 2.1 5.2 6 0 0 174 185 192 201 192 0.026 196 201.1 34 17.3% 34.9 166.2 52 74 Surplus of 22 0 18 20 25 24 23 0.070 28 4.3 25.7 18 30.0 14.3% Surplus of 10 0 161 181 193 191 202 0.059 205 217.2 18 8.8% 19.1 198.1 62 59 3 0 25 23 24 28 32 0.068 30 32.0 3 10.0% 3.2 28.8 10 Surplus 1 0 91 106 100 102 107 0.044 14 12.5% 14.6 32 112 117.0 102.3 39 Surplus of 7 0 175 174 182 184 174 -0.011 170 168.1 11 6.5% 10.9 157.3 49 63 Surplus of 14 0 55 62 66 78 77 0.090 76 82.9 15 19.7% 16.4 66.5 21 25 Surplus of 4 0 16 20 21 24 23 0.100 29 31.9 7 24.1% 7.7 24.2 0 0 13 12 13 0.047 10 10.5 10.0% 1.0 9.4 3 0 58 74 67 64 62 0.033 77 79.5 10 13.0% 10.3 69.2 22 23 Surplus of 1 0 40 37 38 44 43 0.042 41 42.7 2.4% 1.0 41.7 13 0 1071 Mecklenburg 959 986 1097 1122 0.040 1163 1209.9 119 10.2% 123.8 1086.1 339 359 Surplus of 20 0 Mitchel 12 0.010 7.1 0.0% 0.0 7.1 Surplus of 7 11 11 10 10 -0.020 8.8 22.2% 2.0 6.9 Avery 0 Yancey 13 15 18 17 12 0.001 11 11.0 9.1% 1.0 10.0 0 3 Mitchell-Avery Planning Area Surplus of 2 0 0.074 Montgomery 35 44 53 54 44 50 53.7 6.0% 3.2 50.5 16 19 Surplus of 3 0

165.0

214.0

207.4

86.9

132.6

148.5

23.7

90.3

89.5

22.7

95.1

313.0

14.1

154.1

125.1

335.0

186.1

154.5

88.7

19

30

24

9

23

12

13

28

12

3

21

36

11.8%

14.9%

11.8%

11.0%

17.8%

8.6%

4.5%

9.6%

15.5%

4.5%

2.1%

9.3%

7.7%

8.5%

2.5%

6.4%

3.3%

24.0%

8.9%

19.5

31.8

24.4

9.5

23.7

12.7

1.1

8.7

13.9

1.0

2.0

29.2

1.1

13.1

3.1

21.4

6.2

7.9

37.1

145.5

182.2

183.0

77.3

109.0

135.8

22.6

81.6

75.7

21.7

93.1

283.8

13.0

141.0

121.9

313.6

179.9

117.5

8.08

45

57

57

24

34

42

26

24

29

89

44

38

98

56

37

25

50

52

60

16

38

41

0

30

22

0

30

101

10

46

39

100

67

54

Surplus of 5

Surplus of 3

Surplus 4

Surplus of 4

Surplus of 1

Surplus of 12

Surplus of 6

Surplus of 2

Surplus of 1

Surplus of 2

Surplus of 11

Surplus of 17

30 Surplus of 5

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

County

Area

Harnett

Haywood

Hertford

Hoke

Hyde

Iredell

Jones

Lenoir

Lincoln

Macon

Martin

Madison

McDowell

Yancey

Moore

Onslow Orange

Pamlico

Pender

Person

Randolph

Richmond

Robeson

Rowan Rutherford

Rockingham

Polk

Pasquotank

Perquimans

New Hanover

Northampton

Nash

141

162

186

63

119

112

16

62

66

20

86

262

11

100

104

305

154

134

93

138

167

175

71

121

114

17

65

83

19

84

15

104

100

312

166

128

94

285

150

176

180

71

125

142

20

76

71

18

86

16

105

105

327

159

143

88

292

156

186

191

76

131

128

18

74

77

17

86

12

114

109

314

161

149

80

303

155

204

198

79

133

138

21

86

82

22

90

310

14

141

122

327

175

150

87

0.025

0.060

0.017

0.059

0.028

0.061

0.076

0.088

0.066

0.034

0.012

0.043

0.087

0.093

0.042

0.018

0.034

0.030

-0.014

161

202

204

82

129

140

22

83

84

22

94

13

300

141

120

329

180

150

90

Jackson

Johnston

Henderson

Planning

Note: Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-county Planning Area and the Mitchell-Avery-Yancey Multi-county Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.

		Та	ble B	: ESF	RD Di	alysis	Statio	n Need	Dete	ermin	ations	by Pl	annin	g Area	1	
County/ Multi- County Planning Area	12.31.05 Total Patients	12.31.06 Total Patients	12.31.07 Total Patients	12.31.08 Total Patients	12.31.09 Total Patients		6.30.10 Total Patients	6.30.11 Total	6.30.10 Home Patients	6.30.10 % Home Patients	Projected 6.30.11 Home Patients	Projected 6.30.11 In-Center Patients	Projected 6.30.11 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available	Projected Station Deficit (bolded) or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Sampson	129	134	147	140	142		155	159.0	12	7.7%	12.3	146.7	46	6 40	6	0
Scotland	100	108	110	99	109	0.025	106	108.6	9	8.5%	9.2	99.4	31	38	Surplus of 7	0
Stanly	56	61	64	74	67	0.050	73	76.7	7	9.6%	7.4	69.3	22	2 22	0	0
Stokes	42				54		56	59.7							0	0
Surry	86				99		97	100.8							Surplus of 18	0
Swain	43						48	49.5						5 20	Surplus of 5	0
Transylvania	21				15		14	13.1	2					1 8	Surplus of 4	0
Tyrrell	7	12	11	7	9	0.138	7	8.0	C	0.0%	0.0	8.0	2	2 0	2	0
Union	142	142	135	148	156	0.025	169	173.3	17	10.1%	17.4	155.8	49	61	Surplus of 12	0
Vance	132	130	139	152	158	0.047	159	166.4	7	4.4%	7.3	159.1	50	49	1	0
Wake	745	792	864	929	952	0.063	992	1055.0	108	10.9%	114.9	940.1	294	1 296	Surplus of 2	0
Warren	53		57		63		61	64.0	2	2 3.3%				25	Surplus of 6	0
Washington	34						37	38.3							Surplus of 3	0
Watauga	28	30	30	32			36	35.8	8	22.2%	8.0	27.9	9	14	Surplus of 5	0
Wayne	230	244	241	249	236	0.007	255	256.9	31	12.2%	31.2	225.7	71	I 79	Surplus of 8	0
Wilkes	49	55		69			80	91.3			14.8	76.5			2	0
Wilson	171	179					202	209.9							2	0
Yadkin	37	37	43		45	0.052	42	44.2	7	16.7%	7.4	36.8	12	2 13	Surplus of 1	0
Unknowns	17	-	6	7	9		10		1	1						
State Totals	12,029	12,408	12,947	13,319	13,751		13,985		1,472	2			4,054	4,366		0

Note: Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-county Planning Area and the Mitchell-Avery-Yancey Multi-county Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.

### **Need Determinations**

Application of the County Need Methodology resulted in no dialysis station need determinations for the January 2011 Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR).

### Southeastern Kidney Council Disclaimer November 20, 2010

The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. is a nonprofit organization that contracts with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for the provision of information-related services with respect to End Stage Renal Disease Network 6. The data in this report are based upon information generated by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and provided by these facilities to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. The information has been represented to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. as current as of November 20, 2010. These data are subject to change without notice.

This report is made available by the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. to the Medical Facilities Planning Section, Division of Facility Services, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ("Department") solely for the Department's use. The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. takes no responsibility for the use by the Department of this report or the information contained in it for any purpose, including but not limited to any dissemination by the Department of the report or any information in it to other agencies or the public, or any use by the Department of the report or any information in it in preparation of the Department's Semiannual Dialysis Reports or any need determinations for dialysis stations. While the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. strives for as much accuracy as possible, it expressly disclaims any representations or warranties, whether express or implied, to the Department or any other entity or person regarding the accuracy or reliability for any purpose of the information in this report, including but not limited to any information provided to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. by dialysis facilities.



State Medical Facilities Plan