2

0

0

9

North Carolina
Semiannual
Dialysis Report
July 2009





July 2009 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report

Issued July1, 2009

Prepared by
Victoria McClanahan, Planner
Medical Facilities Planning Section
Division of Health Service Regulation
North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

*Under the direction of the*North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council

For information contact the
Medical Facilities Planning Section
Division of Health Service Regulation
2714 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714
(919) 855 - 3865
Victoria.mcclanahan@ncmail.net



The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.



North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Service Regulation Medical Facilities Planning Section

2714 Mail Service Center ■ Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714

Beverly Eaves Perdue, Governor Lanier M. Cansler, Secretary

Elizabeth K. Brown, Section Chief

Phone: (919) 855-3751 Fax: (919) 733-2757

MEMORANDUM

TO: Individuals Interested in the North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports

FROM: Victoria McClanahan, Planner

Medical Facilities Planning Section

SUBJECT: North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report, July 2009

DATE: July 1, 2009

The North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council and the Medical Facilities Planning Section are pleased to make the "July 2009 Semiannual Dialysis Report" available. This report is published pursuant to Chapter 14 of the 2009 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan. It applies the County Need methodology based on patient data as of December 31, 2008, and calculates utilization rates for implementation of the Facility Need methodology.

Certificate of need applications in response to the "July 2009 Semiannual Dialysis Report" are due on September 15, 2009 for review beginning October 1, 2009. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute.

General questions about the Semiannual Dialysis Report may be directed to the Medical Facilities Planning Section at 919-855-3865. For additional information regarding the certificate of need application process, please contact the Division of Health Service Regulation, Certificate of Need Section at 919-855-3873.





END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE DIALYSIS FACILITIES July 2009 Semiannual Dialysis Report

Introduction

The 2009 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan requires semiannual determination of need for new dialysis stations in North Carolina. This approach calls for publication of "Semiannual Dialysis Reports" (SDR) during January and July. The 2009 Plan specifies that the Semiannual Dialysis Reports "...will use facility, station and active patient data as of June 30, 2008 for the 'January 2009 SDR,' and as of December 31, 2008 for the 'July 2009 SDR.' A new five-year trend line will be established in the 'July 2009 SDR,' based on validated data as reported to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) for the time period ending December 31, 2008."

This document is the "July 2009 SDR." It reiterates the methodology and presents need determinations for the Certificate of Need Review beginning October 1, 2009.

Summary of Dialysis Station Supply and Utilization

For purposes of the Semiannual Dialysis Report, as of June 26, 2009 there were 161 End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) dialysis facilities certified and operating in North Carolina (*i.e.*, facilities reporting patient data via the Southeastern Kidney Council), providing a total of 3,895 dialysis stations. Certificates of need had been issued for an additional 215 dialysis stations, but the stations were not yet certified. Another 60 dialysis stations had been requested, but had not completed the certificate of need review and appeals process. The number of facilities per county ranged from zero to 13.

Utilization data as of December 31, 2008 are presented in the final two columns of Table A. Of the 161 certified facilities operational on that date, 80 were at or above 80 percent utilization (*i.e.*, operating with at least 3.2 patients per station).

Sources of Data

Inventory Data:

Data on the current number of dialysis facilities and stations were obtained from the Certificate of Need Section and from the Licensure and Certification Section, Division of Health Service Regulation, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services.

Dialysis Patient Data:

Data on the dialysis population by county and by facility as of December 31, 2008 were provided by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) through the Southeastern Kidney Council (SEKC) and the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition.

County Data are designed to include all North Carolina residents of each county who are receiving dialysis, regardless of where they are currently being served. The numbers of North Carolina patients being served in North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina as of December 31, 2008 were provided by the SEKC on May 18, 2009. The SEKC noted that data in their report were based upon information provided to the SEKC by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of May 18, 2009. The SEKC stated that these data are subject to change (SEKC Disclaimer shown on last page of this report). County totals from the SEKC were supplemented by data from the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition indicating the number of patients residing in North Carolina counties and receiving dialysis in Virginia. Data for December 31st of 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 have been provided by the same sources for the five-year trend analysis.

<u>Facility Data</u> include all patients being served by each provider as of December 31, 2008 regardless of the county or state of each patient's residence. These figures were also provided by the SEKC on May 18, 2009. Again, the SEKC noted that these figures reflect data provided to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of May 18, 2009. The SEKC also stated that these figures are subject to change.

Method for Projection of New Dialysis Station Need

The <u>2009 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan</u> (SMFP) directs the Medical Facilities Planning Section to "...determine need for new dialysis stations two times each calendar year, and...make a report of such determinations available to all who request it." The basic principles, methodology and timeline to be used were specified in the <u>2009 SMFP</u> and are presented below:

Basic Principles

The principles underlying projection of need for additional dialysis stations are as follows:

- 1. Increases in the number of facilities or stations should be done to meet the specific need for either a new facility or an expansion.
- 2. New facilities must have a projected need for at least 10 stations (or 32 patients at 3.2 patients per station) to be cost effective and to assure quality of care.
- 3. The Medical Facilities Planning Section will maintain a list of existing facilities and stations, utilization rates and projected need by county that is up-dated semiannually. Updated projections will be available two times a year on a published schedule. Existing or potential providers interested in expanding in any area of the State may contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section for projected need in the area of interest. (Note: A dialysis station's service area is the dialysis station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the

Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-County Planning Area and the new Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multi-County Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.)

- 4. Updates of the projections may target counties that have developed sufficient need to warrant consideration for facility expansion or for establishment of a new facility. Actual numbers are not published in the Plan so they can be updated as appropriate by the Medical Facilities Planning Section.
- 5. Home patients will not be included in the determination of need for new stations. Home patients include those that receive hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis in their home.
- 6. No existing facility may expand unless its utilization is 80 percent or greater. Any facility at 80 percent utilization or greater may apply to expand.
- 7. Facilities reporting no patients through the Southeastern Kidney Council for four consecutive Semiannual Dialysis Reports, beginning from March 1997, will be excluded from future inventories.
- 8. Quality of Care: All facilities should comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations relating to the delivery and certification of ESRD services and with relevant North Carolina statutory provisions. An applicant already involved in the provision of end-stage renal disease services should provide evidence that care of high quality has been provided in the past. The following are considered indicators of quality of care and existing providers proposing to expand their operations should include in their applications data which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. utilization rates
 - b. morbidity and mortality rates
 - c. numbers of patients that are home trained and patients on home dialysis
 - d. number of patients receiving transplants
 - e. number of patients currently on the transplant waiting list
 - f. hospital admission rates
 - g. conversion rates for patients who have acquired hepatitis or AIDS
- 9. Availability of Manpower and Ancillary/Support Services: The applicant should show evidence of the availability of qualified staff and other health manpower and management for the provision of quality ESRD services as well as the availability of a safe and adequate water supply, provision for treatment of wastewater discharge and a standing electrical service with backup capabilities.

- 10. Patient Access to In-Center ESRD Services: As a means of making ESRD services more accessible to patients, one of the goals of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services is to minimize patient travel time to and from the center. Therefore,
 - a. End-stage renal disease treatment should be provided in North Carolina such that patients who require renal dialysis are able to be served in a facility no farther than 30 miles from the patients' homes.
 - b. In areas where it is apparent that patients are currently traveling more than 30 miles for in-center dialysis, favorable consideration should be given to proposed new facilities which would serve patients who are farthest away from existing, operational or approved facilities.
- 11. Transplantation Services: Transplantation services should be available to, and a priority for, all ESRD patients whose conditions make them suitable candidates for this treatment. New enrollees should meet with and have access to a transplantation representative to provide patient education and evaluation for transplantation.
- 12. Availability of Dialysis Care: The North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council encourages applicants for dialysis stations to provide or arrange for:
 - a. Home training and backup for patients suitable for home dialysis in the ESRD dialysis facility or in a facility that is a reasonable distance from the patient's residence;
 - b. ESRD dialysis service availability at times that do not interfere with ESRD patients' work schedules;
 - c. Services in rural, remote areas.

Methodology

Need for new dialysis stations shall be determined as follows:

- (1) County Need (for the July 2009 SDR Using a new trend line based on 12/31/2008 data)
 - (A) The average annual rate (percent) of change in total number of dialysis patients resident in each county from the end of 2004 to the end of 2008 is multiplied by the county's December 31, 2008 total number of patients in the SDR, and the product is added to each county's most recent total number of patients reported in the SDR. The sum is the county's projected total December 31, 2009 patients.
 - (B) The percent of each county's total patients who were home dialysis patients on December 31, 2008 is multiplied by the county's projected total December 31, 2009 patients, and the product is subtracted from the county's projected total December 31, 2009 patients. The remainder is the county's projected December 31, 2009 incenter dialysis patients.

- (C) The projected number of each county's December 31, 2009 in-center patients is divided by 3.2. The quotient is the projection of the county's December 31, 2009 incenter dialysis stations.
- (D) From each county's projected number of December 31, 2009 in-center stations is subtracted the county's number of stations certified for Medicare, CON-approved and awaiting certification, awaiting resolution of CON appeals, and the number represented by need determinations in previous State Medical Facilities Plans or Semiannual Dialysis Reports for which CON decisions have not been made. The remainder is the county's December 31, 2009 projected station surplus or deficit.
- (E) If a county's December 31, 2009 projected station deficit is 10 or greater and the July SDR shows that utilization of each dialysis facility in the county is 80 percent or greater, the December 31, 2009 county station need determination is the same as the December 31, 2009 projected station deficit. If a county's December 31, 2009 projected station deficit is less than 10 or if the utilization of any dialysis facility in the county is less than 80 percent, the county's December 31, 2009 station need determination is zero.

(2) Facility Need

A dialysis facility located in a county for which the result of the County Need methodology is zero in the current Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) is determined to need additional stations to the extent that:

- (A) Its utilization, reported in the current SDR, is 3.2 patients per station or greater.
- (B) Such need, calculated as follows, is reported in an application for a certificate of need:
 - (i) The facility's number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the previous Dialysis Report (SDR₁) is subtracted from the number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the current SDR (SDR₂). The difference is multiplied by 2 to project the net in-center change for 1 year. Divide the projected net in-center change for the year by the number of in-center patients from SDR₁ to determine the projected annual growth rate.
 - (ii) The quotient from (2)(B)(i) is divided by 12.
 - (iii) The quotient from (2)(B)(ii) is multiplied by 12 (the number of months from December 31, 2007 until December 31, 2008) for the July 1, 2009 SDR.

(NOTE: For the July SDR, Steps (ii) and (iii) cancel one another.)

- (iv) The product from (2)(B)(iii) is multiplied by the number of the facility's in-center patients reported in the current SDR and that product is added to such reported number of in-center patients.
- (v) The sum from (2)(B)(iv) is divided by 3.2, and from the quotient is subtracted the facility's current number of certified stations as recorded in the current SDR and the number of pending new stations for which a certificate of need has been issued. The remainder is the number of stations needed.
- (C) The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established in (2)(B)(v), up to a maximum of ten stations.

[NOTE: "Rounding" to the nearest whole number is allowed only in Step 1(C) and Step 2(B)(v). In these instances, fractions of 0.5000 or greater shall be rounded to the next highest whole number.]

Unless specific "adjusted need determinations" are recommended by the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council, an application for a certificate of need for additional dialysis stations can be considered consistent with the need determinations of the 2009 North Carolina State Medical Facilities Plan only if it demonstrates a need by utilizing one of the methods of determining need outlined in this chapter.

Timeline

The schedule for publication of the "July 2009 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report" and for receipt of certificate of need applications pursuant to this report shall be as follows:

Data for	Due Dates for	Publication	Application Due Dates	Beginning
Period Ending	SEKC Report	of SDR	for CON Applications	Review Dates
Dec. 31, 2008	May 15, 2009	July 1, 2009	September 15, 2009	October 1, 2009

Please be advised that 5:30 p.m. on the specified Application Due Date (*i.e.*, September 15, 2009) is the filing deadline for any certificate of need application in response to this report. The filing deadline is absolute.

				Numbe	er of Dial	ysis Statio	ns as of 6	.29.09			Utilizatio	on Rate
County	Provider Number	Facility	City	Certified	CON Issued/ Not Certified	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	Total	Certified Stations 12.31.08	Number In-Center Patients 12.31.08	Utilization by Percent	
	34-2533	BMA of Burlington	Burlington	49		(0				79%	
Alamance	34-2567	Burlington Dialysis Center	Burlington	27	((0	27	27	61	56%	6 2
Alexander												
Alleghany												
Anson	34-2560	Dialysis Care of Anson County	Wadesboro	13	2	2) (15	13	40	77%	6 3
Anson	n/a	FMC of Anson County	Wadesboro	C	10) () (10	0	0)	
Ashe												
Avery	(Mitchell-Avery-Yance	ey Multicounty Planning Area.)										
Beaufort	34-2561	BMA of Pamlico	Washington	25	() () (25	25	76	76%	6 3
Bertie	34-2547	Windsor Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Windsor	20	() () (20	20	45	56%	
Bladen	34-2578	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Elizabethtown	21	() () (21	21	68	819	6 3
Brunswick	34-2582	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Shallotte	20	-7	() (13	3 20	73	919	6 3
		Southport Dialysis Center - utilization included with										
Brunswick	stations.	Southeastern Dialysis Center.	Southport	C			,				·	
Brunswick	n/a	FMC of Brunswick County	Supply	C) (1	
	34-2506	Asheville Kidney Center	Asheville	41	Į.	5 (0					
Buncombe	34-2626	Swannanoa Dialysis Center	Swannanoa	10			,					
Buncombe	34-2604	Weaverville Dialysis Center	Weaverville	20	(6	0	26			45%	
Burke	34-2563	BMA of Burke County	Morganton	25	() (0		25	74	74%	6 2
Cabarrus	34-2519 Proposed new site consisting of existing	Davita - Branchview Dialysis Harrisburg Dialysis Center - utilization included with	Concord	30	-10) (-20	C	30	50	42%	6
Cabarrus	stations.	Branchview Dialysis.	Concord	C	10) c		
Cabarrus	34-2631	Copperfield Dialysis	Concord	16	() (10	26	16	55	86%	6 :
Caldwell Camden	34-2509	BMA-Lenoir	Lenoir	34	. () (0 0	34	34	93	689	6
Carteret	34-2588	Crystal Coast Dialysis Unit (BMA) Renal Care Group - Caswell	Morehead City	20	() (0 (20	20	57	71%	6
Caswell	34-2597	(BMA)	Yanceyville	10				10	10	28	70%	6
	34-2516	BMA-Hickory	Hickory	33		'						
	34-2635	FMC Catawba Valley Dialysis	Conover	23								
Chatham	34-2621	Carolina Dialysis Siler City	Siler City	9								
		, ,							_			
Chatham Cherokee (Cherokee-Clay-	34-2617	Carolina Dialysis -Pittsboro	Pittsboro	10	((0 (10	10	19	9 48%	<u>′o</u>
Graham Multicounty Planning Area.)	34-2649	Smokey Mountains Dialysis Center	Murphy	10	() () 10) 10) 29	73%	6
Chowan	34-2541	Edenton Dialysis	Edenton	17	() () (17	17			6

				Numbe	er of Dial	ysis Statio	ns as of 6	.29.09			Utilizatio	n Rates
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patients
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	12.31.08	12.31.08	by Percent	Station
Clay		nam Multicounty Planning Area.)										
Cleveland	34-2529	Dialysis Clinic (DCI Shelby)	Shelby	35								
Cleveland	34-2661	DCI Boiling Springs	Boiling Springs	10		`	-					
Cleveland	34-2611	DCI Kings Mountain	Kings Mtn.	12	2 () 2	2 0	14	1 12	50	104%	6 4.17
	Proposed new site consisting of existing	DCI South - utilization included										
Cleveland	stations.	with DCI Shelby.	Shelby	C			0			0		
Columbus	34-2521	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Whiteville	26		(,					
Columbus	34-2628	Chadbourn Dialysis Center	Chadbourn	17) (-					
Craven	34-2534	New Bern Dialysis Unit (BMA)	New Bern	37) (,					
Craven	34-2585	FMC Craven County	New Bern	29) () (0	29	29	79	68%	2.72
Cumberland	34-2510	Fayetteville Kidney Center (BMA)	Fayetteville	36	3	3 (0	39	36	130	90%	3.61
		FMC Dialysis Services of West										
Cumberland	34-2643	Fayetteville	Fayetteville	33	3 () (0	33	33	92	70%	2.79
Cumberland	34-2593	FMC Dialysis Services-North Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	40) () (0	40	40	115	72%	2.88
Cumberland	34-2601	FMC Dialysis Services-South Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	51	() (-3	48	51	143	3 70%	6 2.80
Currituck												
		Dare County/Outer Banks										
Dare	34-2598	Dialysis Clinic	Nags Head	9) () (0	9	9	22	61%	6 2.44
Davidson	34-2553	Lexington Dialysis Center (WFU)	Lexington	36) (0					
Davidson	34-2639	Thomasville Dialysis Center	Thomasville	14		`				48	86%	3.43
Davie	n/a	Davie Kidney Center	Mocksville	C	10) (0	10	0	0)	
Duplin	34-2535	Southeastern Dialysis Center Kenansville	Kenansville	12			0	12	2 12	2 40	83%	3.33
Duplin	34-2659	Wallace Dialysis Center	Wallace	12								
Duplin	34-2630	Warsaw Dialysis Center (RAI)	Warsaw	16								
Барііі	34-2030	Duke University Hospital ESRD	vvaisaw	10	,	,	, 0	10	, 10	, 30	337	2.50
Durham	34-2302	Unit	Durham	16	6		0	16	16	48	75%	3.00
Durham	34-2550	Durham Dialysis	Durham	33		-12						
Durham	34-2616	Durham-West Dialysis	Durham	26								
Durham	34-2538	Freedom Lake Dialysis Center FMC Dialysis Services of West	Durham	26	-4	1 (0	22	2 26	87	84%	3.35
Durham	34-2590	Pettigrew	Durham	24	-4	1 (0	20	24	70	73%	2.92
	24.0045	FMC Dialysis Services of Briggs										
Durham	34-2615	Avenue	Durham	27	((0	27	7 27	94	87%	3.48

				Numbe	er of Dial	ysis Statio	ns as of 6	.29.09			Utilizatio	n Rate
	Provider				CON Issued/				Certified	Number In-Center	LICE C	Patient
					Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	12.31.08	12.31.08	by Percent	Station
		FMC South Durham - utilization										
	Proposed new site	included with BMA Freedom										
		Lake, FMC West Pettigrew and										
Durham	stations.	FMC Briggs Avenue.	Durham	C	12	2 0	0	12	. 0	0		
	Proposed new site	Durham South Dialysis -										
		utilization included with Durham										
Durham	stations.	Dialysis.	Durham	C) () 12	2 0	12	. 0	0		
l	04.0577	Dialysis Care of Edgecombe										
Edgecombe	34-2577	County	Tarboro	25		,	-					2
Edgecombe	34-2603	BMA of East Rocky Mount	Rocky Mount	30) (0	0	30	30	88	73%	5 2
Forsyth	34-2304	North Carolina Baptist Hospitals	Winston-Salem	4	. (0	4	. 4	1	6%	0
Forsyth	34-2505	Piedmont Dialysis	Winston-Salem	59) () 3			59	197	83%	
							_					
Forsyth	34-2612	Northside Dialysis Center (WFU)		39) C	0					
Forsyth	34-2569	Salem Kidney Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	36	6 (0 0	0	36	72	180	63%	5 2
1	Manager 1	Miller Street Dialysis Center of										
E	New site consisting	WFU - utilization included with	M.C 1 O - I	36								
Forsyth	of existing stations.	Salem Kidney Center.	Winston-Salem	36	6 (, .	0	36	U			-
Franklin	34-2571	Dialysis Care of Franklin County	Louisburg	23	3		0	23	23	75	82%	3
Gaston	34-2513	BMA of Gastonia	Gastonia	35		2 0	0			144	103%	
		Metrolina Kidney Center -										
Gaston	34-2652	Belmont	Belmont	10) 6	6 0	0	16	10	40	100%	
Gaston	34-2595	BMA of Kings Mountain	Kings Mountain	16	5 -2	2 0	0	14	16	44	69%	5 2
	Proposed new site	FMC South Gaston - utilization										
		included with BMA Gastonia and								_		
Gaston	stations.	BMA Kings Mountain.	Gastonia	C	12	2 0	0	12	. 0	0		
0-1	. 1-	Gambro Healthcare Gates	0 - 1 '11 -									
Gates	n/a	County	Gatesville	C	10) (0	10	0	0		-
Graham	(Cherokee-Clay-Grah	nam Multicounty Service Area.)										
l		FMC Dialysis Services Neuse										
Granville	34-2520	River	Oxford	18	3 (0	0	18	22	70	80%	3
Granville	34-2647	FMS Dialysis Services of Oxford	Oxford	16	6 () () 2	18	10	37	93%	3
Greene	34-2650	Greene County Dialysis Center	Snow Hill	10		2	. 1	13	10	40	100%	6 4
Guilford	34-2537	BMA of South Greensboro	Greensboro	59) (
		DIM (0 11 10 1					_					
Guilford	34-2600	BMA of Southwest Greensboro	Jamestown	31	Π (0	31	31	88	71%	51 2

				Numbe	er of Dialy	sis Statio	ns as of 6	5.29.09			Utilizatio	n Rates
County	Provider Number	Facility	City	Certified	CON Issued/ Not Certified	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	Total	Certified Stations 12.31.08	Number In-Center Patients 12.31.08	Utilization by Percent	Patients per Station
Guilford	34-2504	Kidney Center of Greensboro (BMA)	Greensboro	56	· () (0 0	56	5 56	153	68%	6 2.
Guilford	34-2613	Northwest Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA)	Greensboro	25) (,					
Guilford	34-2634	FMC of East Greensboro	Greensboro	37	() (0	37	37	105	71%	ó 2.
Guilford	34-2514	High Point Kidney Center (WFU)		40		2 (-					
Guilford	34-2599	Triad Dialysis Center (WFU)	High Point	20	C) (0	20	20	64	80%	3.
Halifax	34-2542	BMA of Roanoke Rapids FMC Dialysis of Halifax County	Roanoke Rapids	35	-10) 8	3 0	33	35	129	92%	3.
Halifax	34-2619	(BMA)	Scotland Neck	11	(11	11	42	95%	3.
- Iamax	Proposed new site consisting of existing	Fresenius Medical Care of Weldon - utilization included with					, ,				307	<u> </u>
Halifax		BMA Roanoke Rapids.	Weldon	0			4					
Harnett	34-2557	Dunn Kidney Center (BMA)	Dunn	22	C) () 3	25	5 22	74	84%	6 3
Harnett	34-2648	FMS Dialysis Services of Lillington	Lillington	13	C) (o c	13			69%	2.
Haywood	34-2629	Waynesville Dialysis Center	Clyde	17	. (,	1 0	18	17	53	78%	3.
Henderson	34-2564	Hendersonville Dialysis Center	Hendersonville	20		6 (0	26				
Hertford	34-2570	Ahoskie Dialysis	Ahoskie	27			-					
Hoke	34-2579	Dialysis Care of Hoke County	Raeford	26	C) 4	4 C	30) 22	85	97%	3.
Hyde		Statesville Dialysis Center										
Iredell Iredell	34-2527 34-2636	(WFU) West Iredell Dialysis Center	Statesville Statesville	25 18) (-
Iredell	34-2606	Lake Norman Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mooresville	27								
Jackson	34-2556	Sylva Dialysis Center	Sylva	18								
Johnston	34-2545	FMC Four Oaks (BMA)	Four Oaks	19								
Johnston	34-2572	Johnston Dialysis Center (BMA)	Smithfield	25	-8	3 () c	17	' 25	84	84%	
	Proposed new site consisting of existing	FMC West Johnston - utilization included with Johnston Dialysis										
Johnston	stations.	Center.	Clayton	0			,					
Johnston	n/a	Clayton Dialysis Center	Clayton	0	10) (0	10	0	0		
Jones	34-2625	FMC Dialysis Services of Jones County	Trenton	10	C) (0	10	10	26	65%	2
Lee	34-2620	Carolina Dialysis Sanford (UNC)	Sanford	31	8	3 () c	39	31	122	98%	6 3
	34-2518	Kinston Dialysis Unit (BMA	Kinston	39) (0 0	39	39	98	63%	6 2.

				Numbe	er of Dial	ysis Statio	ns as of 6	.29.09			Utilizatio	n Rates
County	Provider Number	Facility	City	Certified	CON Issued/ Not Certified	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	Total	Certified Stations 12.31.08	Number In-Center Patients 12.31.08	Utilization by Percent	Patients per Station
Lenoir	34-2609	FMC Vernon Dialysis	Kinston	24	() (24			
	34-2568	FMC Lincolnton	Lincolnton	25	() (0	25	25	81	81%	3.2
McDowell	34-2645	McDowell Dialysis Center	Marion	13	() (0	13	10	34	85%	3.4
Macon												
Madison												
Martin	34-2584	Dialysis Care of Martin County	Williamston	23	() (0	23	23	47	51%	2.0
Mecklenburg	34-2554	BMA-West Charlotte	Charlotte	29	-2	2 (-3	3 24	29	77	66%	2.6
Mecklenburg	34-2581	BMA of Beatties Ford (Metrolina)	Charlotte	32	(-3	29	32	94	73%	2.9
	34-2549	BMA of North Charlotte	Charlotte	28								
	34-2306	Carolina's Medical Center	Charlotte	9								
	34-2552	RAI Care Centers - Charlotte	Charlotte	24								
	34-2591	RAI Care Centers - Mecklenburg/University	Charlotte	29	() (D 0	29	29	107	92%	3.6
Mecklenburg	34-2523	South Charlotte Dialysis	Charlotte	17	() () (17	17	69	101%	4.0
	34-2548	Charlotte Dialysis	Charlotte	31	-7	7 (5	29	31	110	89%	
Mecklenburg	34-2627	Charlotte East Dialysis	Charlotte	16	() (0	16	16	71	111%	4.4
Mecklenburg	34-2663	North Charlotte Dialysis Center	Charlotte	10		7	o c	17	10	37	93%	
	34-2503	BMA of Charlotte	Charlotte	46) (74%	
Mecklenburg	34-2605	BMA of East Charlotte	Charlotte	25	-4	1 (0	21	25	68	68%	5 2.7
Mecklenburg	34-2594	BMA of Nations Ford	Charlotte	20	() (0	20	20	86	108%	4.3
Mecklenburg	Proposed new site consisting of existing stations.	New BMA Facility - Matthews - utilization included with BMA Nations Ford, BMA East Charlotte Dialysis, BMA West Charlotte, and BMA North Charlotte.	Matthews	0	11) c	11	0	0		
		Mint Hill Dialysis Center - utilization included with Charlotte			4.0			4.0				
Mecklenburg	Proposed new site	East and South Charlotte. FMC Huntersville (F-8284-09) - utilization included with BMA Beatties Ford, BMA North Charlotte, BMA Charlotte and	Mint Hill	0	10		O C	10	0	0		
Mecklenburg	stations.	BMA West Charlotte.	Huntersville	0	(12	12	0	n		
Mitchell (Mitchell-Avery- Yancey	occusion.	San Trod Originate.	TIMITOTOVING				, 12	12				
Multicounty Planning Area)	0.4.0000	Mayland Dialysis Center	Spruce Pine	9	(g	9	13	36%	5 1.4

				Numbe	er of Dial	ysis Statio	ns as of 6	.29.09			Utilizatio	n Rates
County	Provider Number	Facility	City	Certified	CON Issued/ Not Certified	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	Total	Certified Stations 12.31.08	Number In-Center Patients 12.31.08	Utilization by Percent	Patients per Station
Montgomery	34-2583	Dialysis Care of Montgomery County	Biscoe	19)			19	14	59	105%	6 4.
Moore	34-2555	Dialysis Care of Pinehurst (Moore County)	Pinehurst	33		1 (
Moore	34-2638	Southern Pines Dialysis Center	Southern Pines	12	2	1	0 0	13	3 12	2 41	85%	3.
Moore	Proposed new site consisting of existing stations.	,	Carthage	C) 12	2 (o c	12	2 0	0		
Nash	34-2517	Rocky Mount Kidney Center (BMA)	Rocky Mount	42	2 () (o 0	42	2 42	149	89%	6 3.
Nash	34-2644	FMC Dialysis Services of Spring Hope	Spring Hope	10) (0	10	10	21	53%	6 2
New Hanover	34-2511	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Wilmington	49) () (-21	28			93%	
New Hanover	Proposed new site consisting of existing stations.	Cape Fear Dialysis Center - utilization included with Southeastern Dialysis Center - Wilmington Rich Square Dialysis Unit (BMA	Wilmington	C) () () 28	28	3 C	0		
Northampton	34-2586	Northampton)	Rich Square	16	6 () (0 0	16	5 14	43	77%	3
Onslow	34-2532	Southeastern Dialysis Ctr. Jacksonville	Jacksonville	35	5 3	3	0 0	38	35	122	. 87%	3
Orange Pamlico	34-2622	Carolina Dialysis Carrboro (UNC)	Carrboro	36	6 () (o c	36	36	118	82%	6 3
Pasquotank	34-2515	Elizabeth City Dialysis	Elizabeth City	22	2 () :	2 0	24	1 21	78	93%	3
Pender	34-2558	Southeastern Dialysis Center Inc.	Burgaw	20) () (0	20	20	66	83%	6 3
Perquimans												
Person	34-2562	Roxboro Dialysis	Roxboro	24	() (0	24	24	86	90%	6 3
Pitt	34-2502	Greenville Dialysis Center (BMA)		48			-					
Pitt	34-2632	FMC Care of Ayden FMC Dialysis of East Carolina	Ayden	10) () (0 0	10	10	35	88%	6 3
Pitt	34-2596	University	Greenville	38	3) (o o	38	38	124	82%	6 3
Polk	n/a	Polk County Dialysis Center Bio-Medical Applications of	Columbus	C	10) (0					
Randolph	34-2524	Asheboro Dialysis Care of Richmond	Asheboro	27	, () (0 0	27	27	94	87%	6 3
Richmond	34-2539	County	Hamlet	32	2		0	32	32	111	87%	6 3

				Numb	er of Dial	ysis Static	ons as of 6	5.29.09			Utilizatio	n Rates
County	Provider Number	Facility	City	Certified	CON Issued/ Not Certified	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	Total	Certified Stations 12.31.08	Number In-Center Patients 12.31.08	Utilization by Percent	Patients per Station
Robeson	34-2528	Lumberton Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Lumberton	32	-	3	0 0	29	32	106	83%	3.31
D. I	0.4.0000	FMC Dialysis Services of	F.:	4.0							700/	0.05
Robeson	34-2623 34-2607	Robeson County BMA of Red Springs	Fairmont Red Springs	19		•	0 0					
Robeson Robeson	34-2607	BMA of St. Pauls	St. Pauls	10		•	0 0					
Robeson	34-2651	St. Pauls Dialysis Center	St. Pauls	10		-	0 0					
Robeson	34-2031	FMC of Pembroke - utilization	St. Pauls	10	,	U	0 0	10	10	23	56%	2.30
	0 0	included with BMA Lumberton, BMA Red Springs, and BMA										
Robeson	stations.	Laurinburg.	Pembroke	(1	0	0 0	10	0	C)	
D. H. L. Harris	04.0500	Dialysis Care of Rockingham	E.L.								740	0.05
Rockingham	34-2536	County Madison Biologic Contact	Eden	20		0	0 0					
Rockingham	34-2624	Madison Dialysis Center Reidsville Dialysis	Madison	10		~	0 0					
Rockingham	34-2640		Reidsville	18	3	U	0 1	18	18	54	75%	3.00
Doolsinghom	24.2644	Rockingham Kidney Center	Daidovilla	4.5		0	0	1.5	. 45	. 40	700/	2.00
Rockingham	34-2641	(BMA)	Reidsville	15)	U	0 0	15	15	42	70%	2.80
Rowan	34-2546	Dialysis Care of Rowan County Dialysis Care of	Salisbury	28	3	0	0 1	29	28	98	88%	3.50
Rowan	34-2592	Kannapolis/Rowan	Kannapolis	25		0	0 0	25	25	58	58%	2.32
Nowali	34-2332	Dialysis Care of Rutherford	Kaririapolis	20	,	0	0 0	20	20	30	30 /0	2.32
Rutherford	34-2566	County	Forest City	30		0	0 0	30	30	75	63%	2.50
Sampson	34-2559	BMA of Clinton	Clinton	39			1 0					
	Proposed new site consisting of existing	FMC of Roseboro - utilization										0.10
Sampson	stations.	included with BMA of Clinton.	Roseboro	(0 0					
Scotland	34-2540	BMA of Laurinburg	Laurinburg	29		-	0 0					
Scotland	34-2664	FMC of Scotland County	Laurinburg	12		~	0 0					
Stanly	34-2565	BMA of Albemarle	Albemarle	22		~	0 0					
Stokes	34-2633	King Dialysis Center	King	14		0	0 0	14	14	46	82%	3.29
Surry	34-2551	Mount Airy Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mt. Airy	27	,	0	0 0	27	. 22	. 82	93%	3.73
Surry	34-2614	Elkin Dialysis Center (WFU)	Elkin	15		0	0 0	15	15	54	90%	
Swain	34-2602	Cherokee Dialysis Center	Cherokee	20		0	0 0					
Transylvania	n/a	Brevard Dialysis Center	Transylvania	(8	0 0					
Tyrrell			·					C)			
		Metrolina Kidney Center (BMA										
Union	34-2525	Monroe)	Monroe	21		~	0 0					
Union	34-2526	Union County Dialysis	Monroe	24	-1	0	0 0	14	24	. 98	102%	4.08
	0 0	Indian Trail Dialysis Center - utilization included with Union										
Union	stations.	County Dialysis Center.	Indian Trail	(1	U	0 0	10	0	0		

				Numbe	er of Dialy	ysis Statio	ns as of 6	.29.09			Utilizatio	on Rate
					CON					Number		
					Issued/				Certified	In-Center		Patien
	Provider				Not	Decision	Decision		Stations	Patients	Utilization	per
County	Number	Facility	City	Certified	Certified	Rendered	Pending	Total	12.31.08	12.31.08	by Percent	Station
Union	34-2666	Marshville Dialysis Center	Marshville	10) (10			,	
Vance	34-2543	Henderson Dialysis Center	Henderson	33	10) (0	43	33	128	97%	6
Wake	34-2544	Cary Kidney Center (BMA)	Cary	27	·) (0 0	27	27	92	85%	6
Wake	34-2658	FMS Dialysis Services of Apex	Apex	10	C			10	10	20	50%	6
Wake	34-2512	BMA of Raleigh Dialysis	Raleigh	48		7	_					
Wake	34-2646	FMC New Hope	Raleigh	30	-4	(0	26	30	99	83%	6
	Proposed new site	FMC St. Agnes - utilization										
	consisting of existing	included with BMA Raleigh and										
Wake	stations.	FMC New Hope.	Raleigh	0		3 (, ,					
Wake	34-2608	BMA of Fuquay Varina	Fuquay-Varina	25) (0 0					
Wake	34-2589	Zebulon Kidney Center (BMA)	Zebulon	30			, ,					
Wake	34-2522	Wake Dialysis Clinic	Raleigh	47	-3	3 (0 0	44	47	180	96%	6
	Proposed new site	FMC Eastern Wake - utilization										
	consisting of existing	included with Wake Dialysis										
Wake	stations.	Clinic.	Rolesville	0		2 (, ,					
Wake	34-2653	FMC Millbrook	Raleigh	10	4	. (0	14	10	38	95%	6
Wake	34-2642	Southwest Wake County Dialysis	Raleigh	27			1 0		27	79	73%	6
Wake	n/a	Wake Forest Dialysis Center	Wake Forest	0	10	(0	10	0	0		
		FMC Dialysis Services of Warren										
Warren	34-2610	Hills	Warrenton	18	7	() (25	18	61	85%	6
		FMC Dialysis Services of										
Washington	34-2618	Plymouth (BMA)	Plymouth	11	C) (0	11	11	38	86%	6
Watauga	34-2311	Watauga Kidney Dialysis Center	Boone	14) (14	14	. 38	68%	6
Wayne	34-2531	Goldsboro Dialysis	Goldsboro	25	7	' () (32	25	87	87%	6
Wayne	34-2587	Goldsboro South Dialysis	Goldsboro	21	C) () 2	23	21	71	85%	6
Wayne	34-2573	Mount Olive Dialysis	Mount Olive	11		2 () 3	16	11	50	114%	6
Wayne	34-2576	RAI Care Centers - Goldsboro	Goldsboro	16	() (0 0	16	16	32	50%	6
Wilkes	34-2313	Wilkes Regional Dialysis Center	N. Wilkesboro	10	6	6 (16	10	54	135%	6
Wilson	34-2507	Wilson Dialysis	Wilson	29		2						
Wilson	34-2637	Forest Hills Dialysis	Forest Hills	21) (-					-
Yadkin	34-2665	Yadkin Dialysis Center	Yadkinville	13) (
Yancey		ey Multicounty Planning Area.)										
State Totals	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,, 5	1	3,895	215	33	3 27	4,170	3,851	12,033		

Table B Revised 7.7.09 - "12.31.08 % Home Patients" was corrected, which changed the 12.31.09 Patient Projections and some Projected Station Surpluses and Deficits.

Need Determination did not change.

Revised July 2009 SDR Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by Planning Area (supersedes Table B posted on July 1, 2009)

County/ Multi- County To Planning Area Pa	otal	12.31.05 Total Patients	12.31.06 Total Patients	12.31.07 Total Patients		Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	Projected 12.31.09 Total Patients	Patients	12.31.08 % Home Patients	Projected 12.31.09 Home Patients	12.31.09 In-Center Patients	Projected 12.31.09 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	(bolded) or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Alamance	186	197	214			0.064	253.2		9.7%	24.5				Surplus of 5	0
Alexander	21	18					39.8		17.6%	7.0					10
Alleghany	10	4	5				7.1		28.6%	2.0				_	0
Anson	65	78					70.4		3 4.3%	3.1	67.4				0
Ashe	11	16					20.7			11.5	9.2			_	0
Beaufort	86	92					99.2			13.4	85.7				0
Bertie	62	71				0.026	69.8		5.9%	4.1	65.7				0
Bladen	59	63					98.9		4.5%	4.4					0
Brunswick	78	96				0.102	124.5			24.2	100.3				0
Buncombe	225	231	223			0.000	225.1			19.0		64			0
Burke	78	82				0.043	95.9			10.4	85.5				0
Cabarrus	152	146				0.036	180.3			22.8					0
Caldwell	109	103				-0.006	105.3			11.9					0
Camden	11	8				0.046	12.6		3 25.0%	3.1	9.4				0
Carteret	60	56				-0.026	52.6		5.6%	2.9					0
Caswell	30	29				-0.034	25.1		2 7.7%	1.9					0
Catawba	149	159				0.022	165.5			20.4	145.1				0
Chatham	50	61				0.096	77.8		7 9.9%	7.7					0
Cherokee	12	27	27	29	23	0.279	29.4		17.4%	5.1	24.3		10	Surplus of 2	
Clay	2	6		8	9	0.628	14.7	(0.0%	0.0	14.7	5	0		
Graham	10	13	11	11	11	0.037	11.4	. (0.0%	0.0	11.4	4	0	4	
Cherokee- Clay-Graham Planning Area Total														7	0
Chowan	36	35				0.021	39.8		7 17.9%	7.1	32.7				0
Cleveland	185	196				0.036	220.7			19.7	201.0				0
Columbus	103	133				0.081	148.1	10		10.8				Surplus of 1	0
Craven	146	150		155		0.022	162.5		7 4.4%	7.2				Surplus of 17	0
Cumberland	422	486			521	0.056	550.1	4	1 8.4%	46.5	503.7	157	160	Surplus of 3	0
Currituck	14	17				0.007	14.1		1 28.6%	4.0				_	0
Dare	27	27				-0.001	26.0		3.1%	6.0					0
Davidson	159	178				0.022	175.9			23.5					0
Davie	20	24				0.183	46.2			15.4	30.8				0
Duplin	129	122	118	118	127	-0.003	126.7		3.9%	5.0	121.7	38	40	Surplus of 2	0
Durham	427	447	469	495	505	0.043	526.7	34	4 6.7%	35.5	491.2	154	159	Surplus of 5	0
Edgecombe	180	183	180	171	186	0.009	187.8	14	7.5%	14.1	173.6	54	55	Surplus of 1	0
Forsyth	489	515	545	556	571	0.040	593.6	5	9.6%	57.2	536.5	168	177	Surplus of 9	0
Franklin	78	75	85	85	94	0.050	98.7	1;	3 13.8%	13.7	85.1	27	23		0
Gaston	199	215				0.087	301.0			23.9		87			0
Gates	24	25	25			0.005	24.1		1 4.2%	1.0		7			0
Granville	98	100	105		117	0.046	122.4	1	9.4%	11.5		35	36		0
Greene	37	35	40	38	40	0.023	40.9		2 5.0%	2.0	38.9	12	13	Surplus of 1	0

Note: Except for the Cherokee-clay-Graham Multi-county Planning Area and the Mitchell-Avery-Yancey Multi-county Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.

Table B Revised 7.7.09 - "12.31.08 % Home Patients" was corrected, which changed the 12.31.09 Patient Projections and some Projected Station Surpluses and Deficits.

Need Determination did not change.

Revised July 2009 SDR Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by Planning Area (supersedes Table B posted on July 1, 2009)

	Planning Area	Total Patients	12.31.05 Total Patients	12.31.06 Total Patients	Total Patients	12.31.08 Total Patients	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	Projected 12.31.09 Total Patients	12.31.08 Home Patients	12.31.08 % Home Patients	Projected 12.31.09 Home Patients	Projected 12.31.09 In-Center Patients	Projected 12.31.09 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	(bolded) or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
	Guilford	73 14		61 76 71 17			0.019					748.8 179.5			Surplus of 36	0
	Halifax Harnett	12		20 12			0.068									0
	Haywood			50 4								49.3				0
	Henderson			75 7								65.4				0
	Hertford			76 8												0
	Hoke			68								59.6				0
	Hyde		9		7 6											0
	Iredell	16		74 18			0.047					179.1			Surplus of 16	0
	Jackson			18 2								28.5				0
	Johnston	16		61 18			0.039					178.7				0
	Jones			25 2												0
	Lee			91 10			0.035					93.1			Surplus of 10	0
	Lenoir	18		32 18			-0.008					160.7			Surplus of 13	0
	Lincoln			55 6								75.7				0
	Macon			16 2								23.3				0
	Madison			13 1			-0.110					6.2				0
	Martin			67 5								53.0				0
	McDowell			37 4								43.8				0
	Mecklenburg	87		59 98			0.058					1071.5				0
	Mitchell		7	8 1												
.	Avery			11 1			0.046									
•	Yancey	1	5	13 1	5 18	17	0.041					14	4	. 0	4	
	Mitchell-Avery- Yancey Planning Area Total														0	0
	Montgomery		15	35 4	4 53	54	0.065	57.5	1	1.9%	1.1	56.4	18	19		0
	Moore	11		41 13								156.6				0
	Nash	14		52 16			0.065					170.4				0
	New Hanover	16		36 17			0.036					178.2				0
	Northampton			63 7								72.4				0
	Onslow	10		19 12			0.055					121.3				0
	Orange	10		12 11			0.055					122.4				0
	Pamlico			16 1												0
	Pasquotank			62 6						13.5%		66.8		24	Surplus of 3	0
	Pender	5	66	66 8	3 71	77	0.094	84.2	11	14.3%	12.0	72.2	23	3 20		0
	Perquimans	2	22 :	20 1			-0.062	15.9			1.9	14.1	4	0	4	0
	Person			36 8											_	0
	Pitt	26		52 28			0.037					287.1				0
	Polk			11 1												0
	Randolph			00 10			0.042					110.4				0
	Richmond	ç	00 10	04 10	0 105	109	0.051	114.6	7	6.4%	7.4	107.2	34	32	2	0

Note: Except for the Cherokee-clay-Graham Multi-county Planning Area and the Mitchell-Avery-Yancey Multi-county Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.

Table B Revised 7.7.09 - "12.31.08 % Home Patients" was corrected, which changed the 12.31.09 Patient Projections and some Projected Station Surpluses and Deficits.

Need Determination did not change.

		Revise	d July	2009 S	DR Ta	ble B:	ESRD I	Dialysis	Statio	n Need	l Deterr	ninatior	ns by F	Planning	g Area	
			-			(supe	rsedes T	able B po	osted or	July 1	, 2009)		-		_	
	County/ Multi- County Planning Area	Total	12.31.05 Total Patients	12.31.06 Total Patients	Total	12.31.08	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	Projected 12.31.09 Total Patients	12.31.08 Home Patients	12.31.08 % Home Patients	Projected 12.31.09 Home Patients	Projected 12.31.09 In-Center Patients	Projected 12.31.09 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit (bolded) or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
	Robeson	267				314	0.043					307.8		96 96		0
	Rockingham	144				161	0.029					159.6		50 67	Surplus of 17	0
	Rowan	136		-		-			-			119.9		37 54		0
	Rutherford	85								,		75.1		23 30		0
	Sampson	136		-		140	0.000					136.2		13 40		0
	Scotland	9				99				, .		94.2		29 38		0
	Stanly	52					0.000			,		73.2		23 22		0
	Stokes	39				51						52.5		14		0
	Surry	77						-			-	99.6		31 42		0
	Swain	39				48				21170		49.6	1	16 20		0
	Transylvania	22	2 21											4 8	Surplus of 4	0
_	Tyrrell	4	1 7	12	11	7	0.254	8.8	1	14.3%	1.3	7.5		2 (2	0
4	Union	12	142	142	135	148	0.055	156.2	16	10.8%	16.9	139.3	4	14 55	Surplus of 11	0
	Vance	113	132	130	139	152	0.079	164.0	12	7.9%	12.9	151.1	4	17 43	4	0
	Wake	666	745	792	864	929	0.087	1009.8	111	11.9%	120.7	889.1	27	78 281	Surplus of 3	0
	Warren	52	2 53	48	57	60	0.041	62.5	2	3.3%	2.1	60.4	1	9 25	Surplus of 6	0
	Washington	3′	34	. 32	29	33	0.021	33.7	4	12.1%	4.1	29.6		9 11	Surplus of 2	0
	Watauga	25	5 28	30	30	32	0.065	34.1	7	21.9%	7.5	26.6		8 14	Surplus of 6	0
	Wayne	227	7 230	244	241	249	0.024	254.9	25	10.0%	25.6	229.3	7	72 87	Surplus of 15	0
	Wilkes	60	49	55	61	69	0.045	72.1	7	10.1%	7.3	64.8	2	20 16	4	0
	Wilson	16	171	179	192	188	0.040	195.6	15	8.0%	15.6	179.9		56 54	2	0
	Yadkin	30	37	37	43	43	0.099	47.3	5	11.6%	5.5	41.8	1	13 13	0	0
	Unknowns	16	17	7	6	7										
	State Totals	11,417	7 12,029	12,408	12,947	13,319			1,251					4,170)	10

Note: Except for the Cherokee-clay-Graham Multi-county Planning Area and the Mitchell-Avery-Yancey Multi-county Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.

Table C: Need Determinations for New Dialysis Stations by County (Based on the County Need Methodology – July 2009)

COUNTY	HSA	NUMBER OF NEW	CERTIFICATE OF	CERTIFICATE OF
		DIALYSIS	NEED APPLICATION	NEED BEGINNING
		STATIONS NEEDED	DUE DATE*	REVIEW DATE
Alexander	I	10	September 15, 2009	October 1, 2009

^{*} Application Due Dates are absolute deadlines. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute

Southeastern Kidney Council Disclaimer May 18, 2009

The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. is a nonprofit organization that contracts with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for the provision of information-related services with respect to End Stage Renal Disease Network 6. The data in this report are based upon information generated by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and provided by these facilities to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. The information has been represented to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. as current as of May 18, 2009. These data are subject to change without notice.

This report is made available by the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. to the Medical Facilities Planning Section, Division of Facility Services, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ("Department") solely for the Department's use. The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. takes no responsibility for the use by the Department of this report or the information contained in it for any purpose, including but not limited to any dissemination by the Department of the report or any information in it to other agencies or the public, or any use by the Department of the report or any information in it in preparation of the Department's Semiannual Dialysis Reports or any need determinations for dialysis stations. While the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. strives for as much accuracy as possible, it expressly disclaims any representations or warranties, whether express or implied, to the Department or any other entity or person regarding the accuracy or reliability for any purpose of the information in this report, including but not limited to any information provided to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. by dialysis facilities.